

7-11-1971

Judges Please With ABA's Code

Jack C. Landau

Follow this and additional works at: <http://repository.uchastings.edu/publicity>

 Part of the [Judges Commons](#), and the [Legal Ethics and Professional Responsibility Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Jack C. Landau, *Judges Please With ABA's Code* (1971).
Available at: <http://repository.uchastings.edu/publicity/48>

This News Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Judicial Ethics and the National News Council at UC Hastings Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publicity & News Clippings by an authorized administrator of UC Hastings Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact marcusc@uchastings.edu.

JUL 8 1971

B. G. Collier

Judges Pleased With ABA's Code

By JACK C. LANDAU
Special to The Jersey Journal

The American Bar Association was surprised to learn last week that there is little opposition so far to a proposed new code of judicial ethics for all local, state and federal judges.

Drawn up in the wake of scandals involving former Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas and Supreme Court nominee Clement F. Haynsworth Jr., the sweeping new proposals to

govern almost all aspects of judicial behavior — on and off the bench — received their first public hearing at the ABA's annual convention here.

The 40 federal, state and local judges from around the nation who attended the initial hearing appeared generally satisfied with the proposed code, which makes radical changes in the existing canons of ethics. For example:

—It absolutely bars a judge from sitting on any case in which

he has any financial interest.

—It flatly stops him from participating in a group, such as the Warren Commission on the Kennedy assassination, that may become politically controversial. political activity even for judges who are elected.

Under the current canons of judicial ethics, adopted in 1924, a judge is only barred from hearing a case in which he has a "significant" financial interest — a determination that he alone makes in the secrecy of his own conscience.

Whitney North Seymour Sr., former president of the ABA, told the judges' meeting that "we feel it is better to have an absolutely flat rule so that a judge does not have to worry in every case whether his financial

interest is significant or insignificant."

Other provisions of the new code aimed at financial integrity require a judge to divest himself "as soon as possible" of stocks and bonds that might lead to frequent disqualification.

A judge also is required to report publicly all outside income from such "extrajudicial" and "quasijudicial" activities as speechmaking, teaching and writing.

This provision was particularly aimed at the type of criticism that arose when it was discovered Justices William O. Douglas and Fortas both had received substantial yearly sums from charitable foundations.

Seymour said there had been a strong debate in the bar com-

mittee, with some members favoring a rule that would require a judge to file his income tax returns, or to at least publicly report his whole income every year.

"We thought that judges have some rights to privacy," Seymour said. Under the current canons of ethics, judges are not required to tell the public anything about their income.

"But we also felt that the public has a right to know how a judge spends his outside time because, after all, he is being paid as a full-time judge," Seymour added.

While encouraging judges to be active in groups seeking improvements in the administration of justice, the proposed canons specifically bar a

judge from accepting appointments "to a government committee . . . charged with resolving issues of fact or policy" unconnected to legal activities.

This rule is aimed particularly at situations such as the Warren

The one provision of the new code that appears to be headed for trouble would bar judges other political activities except when they come up for re-election.

While this provision has no effect on federal judges, who are appointed for life, or on state appellate judges who are appointed by the Commission. After the commission completed its report, there were repeated charges that President Johnson had exploited the office of Chief Justice for political ends.

In explaining this provision, Seymour urged judges to participate in legal affairs and noted that one Supreme Court justice, after the Fortas resignation, refused to accept any more public speaking engagements.

from participating in any political fund-raising events or pointed for life or for long terms, it will pose extreme burdens on judges in lower state courts and in county and city courts who generally have to run for re-election every two to four years.

As one local judge commented: "This is going to be very hard to explain to the party — that you only show up at election time.

But the 40 judges who attended

the hearing seemed, for the most part, pleased with the new code and only asked technical questions, attempting to clarify some specific provision. The code will have further public hearings this fall before it is presented to the entire ABA for approval, probably next February.

One judge at the meeting just sat quietly and listened. He was Clement Haynsworth.