

1962

COLLEGE EXEMPTION: EXTENSION OF

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.uchastings.edu/ca_ballot_props

Recommended Citation

COLLEGE EXEMPTION: EXTENSION OF California Proposition 13 (1962).
http://repository.uchastings.edu/ca_ballot_props/644

This Proposition is brought to you for free and open access by the California Ballot Propositions and Initiatives at UC Hastings Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Propositions by an authorized administrator of UC Hastings Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact marcusc@uchastings.edu.

13	COLLEGE EXEMPTION: EXTENSION OF. Senate Constitutional Amendment No. 32. Extends nonprofit college tax exemption to all grounds within which buildings are located used exclusively for purposes of education rather than limiting exempt area to 100 acres.	YES	
		NO	

For Full Text of Measure, See Page 16, Part II

Analysis by the Legislative Council

This measure would amend Section 1a of Article XIII of the Constitution which now exempts from taxation up to 100 acres of the grounds of a nonprofit educational institution of collegiate grade, on which the buildings of the institution are located. The amendment would remove the 100 acre limitation, thereby exempting all of such grounds from taxation.

Argument in Favor of Proposition No. 13

From its earliest history, California has acknowledged that education, at all levels, is its primary responsibility as a State. Because of the contribution of our independent colleges and universities in this vital field, Californians have always encouraged their physical expansion. If our independent higher educational institutions cannot increase in size, our tax-supported institutions—the University of California and our State colleges—must assume the entire additional load at the expense of all taxpayers. The explosive growth of our California population, and the great number of young people of college age, poses a critical problem for all of us.

Expansion of our private colleges and universities is discouraged by their exposure to real property taxes. They have a tax exemption at this time, but it is rigidly limited. In 1910, the Constitution of California was amended to remove the tax burden on property used exclusively for educational purposes in institutions of collegiate grade. At the time,

because no affected institution exceeded 100 acres in size, a limit of 100 acres was placed upon the constitutional tax exemption. Since then, many of our finest private colleges have exceeded this acreage limit, or will shortly exceed it. Further physical development by any of them is impeded by the 100-acre limitation.

Proposition 13 would eliminate this arbitrary limit. It would encourage private colleges and universities to expand, and thus to carry a substantial share of the increasing load in the field of college education. Reductions in local property tax revenues will be many times offset by the savings that our private colleges provide to all taxpayers in California.

Collegiate institutions now operated by the State enjoy a total real estate exemption for all lands devoted exclusively to educational purposes. Proposition 13 will place private colleges and universities on the same basis, for the same reason, and in the same vital public interest.

This proposal passed the California Legislature by an overwhelming affirmative vote. We urge that all citizens of California vote "Yes" on Proposition 13.

GEORGE MILLER, JR.
State Senator
Contra Costa County

JOSEPH A. RATTIGAN
State Senator
Sonoma County

14	SALE OF TIDELANDS. Senate Constitutional Amendment No. 38. Permits sale, subject to conditions imposed by the Legislature, of tidelands within 2 miles of any incorporated city, city and county, or town reserved to the State solely for street purposes when Legislature declares they are not used and are no longer necessary for navigation purposes.	YES	
		NO	

For Full Text of Measure, See Page 16, Part II

Analysis by the Legislative Council

Section 3 of Article XV of the Constitution now prohibits the grant or sale to any private person, partnership, or corporation of tidelands located within two miles of any incorporated city or town and fronting on the water of any harbor, estuary, bay or inlet that is used for navigation. This measure would amend that section to permit tidelands so located, which are reserved to the State solely for street purposes and which the Legislature finds and declares are neither used nor necessary for purposes of navigation, to be sold to any town, city, county, city and county, municipal corporation, private person, partnership, or corporation, subject to such conditions as the Leg-

islature determines are necessary to protect the public interest.

Argument in Favor of Proposition No. 14

This amendment would make possible the development of large areas of tidelands, presently fronting on the water of harbors, estuaries, bays or inlets used for navigation in California. At the present time under the Constitution, such tidelands may not be sold to private persons, partnerships or corporations, if they are located within two miles of an incorporated city. Much of the tideland property in certain areas of the State is presently privately owned, having been conveyed to private persons prior to the restrictions presently

able for that year on the basis of the assessment made and any greater sum of taxes that would have been paid or payable for that year in the absence of such agreement.

(d) The assessor shall not assess any property pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section

unless after the enactment or adoption of a law or ordinance which establishes any historical landmark area, the Legislature enacts a law to specifically permit the assessor to so assess the property in that particular historical landmark area.

12	AID TO WIDOWS OF VETERANS. Senate Constitutional Amendment No. 24. Provides that State money or credit can be used in aiding widows of veterans who served during time of war in acquiring or paying for farms or homes.	YES	
		NO	

(This proposed amendment does not expressly amend any existing section of the Constitution, but adds a new section thereto; therefore, the provisions thereof are printed in **BLACK-FACED TYPE** to indicate that they are **NEW**.)

**PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO
ARTICLE IV**

Sec. 31.5. Nothing contained in this Constitution shall prohibit the use of state money or credit in aiding widows of veterans who served in the armed forces of the United States during time of war, in the acquisition of, or payments for, farms or homes.

13	COLLEGE EXEMPTION: EXTENSION OF. Senate Constitutional Amendment No. 32. Extends nonprofit college tax exemption to all grounds within which buildings are located used exclusively for purposes of education rather than limiting exempt area to 100 acres.	YES	
		NO	

(This proposed amendment expressly amends an existing section of the Constitution; therefore **EXISTING PROVISIONS** proposed to be **DELETED** are printed in **STRIKEDOUT TYPE**.)

grounds within which its buildings are located ~~not exceeding 100 acres in area~~; its securities and income used exclusively for the purposes of education.

**PROPOSED AMENDMENT
TO ARTICLE XIII**

SEC. 1a. Any educational institution of collegiate grade within the State of California, not conducted for profit, shall hold exempt from taxation its buildings and equipment, its

The exemption granted by this section applies to and includes a building in the course of construction on or after the first Monday of March, 1950, and the land on which the building is located, if the property is intended when completed to be used exclusively for the purposes of education.

14	SALE OF TIDELANDS. Senate Constitutional Amendment No. 38. Permits sale, subject to conditions imposed by the Legislature, of tidelands within 2 miles of any incorporated city, city and county, or town reserved to the State solely for street purposes when Legislature declares they are not used and are no longer necessary for navigation purposes.	YES	
		NO	

(This proposed amendment expressly amends an existing section of the Constitution; therefore **NEW PROVISIONS** proposed to be **INSERTED** are printed in **BLACK-FACED TYPE**.)

**PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO
ARTICLE XV**

SEC. 3. All tidelands within two miles of any incorporated city, city and county, or town in this State, and fronting on the waters of any harbor, estuary, bay, or inlet used for the purposes of navigation, shall be withheld from

grant or sale to private persons, partnerships, or corporations; provided, however, that any such tidelands, reserved to the State solely for street purposes, which the Legislature finds and declares are not used for navigation purposes and are not necessary for such purposes may be sold to any town, city, county, city and county, municipal corporations, private persons, partnerships or corporations subject to such conditions as the Legislature determines are necessary to be imposed in connection with any such sales in order to protect the public interest.