

1-1968

Issue Editor's Preface

David Pomerenk

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.uchastings.edu/hastings_law_journal



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

David Pomerenk, *Issue Editor's Preface*, 19 HASTINGS L.J. 991 (1968).

Available at: https://repository.uchastings.edu/hastings_law_journal/vol19/iss4/1

This Comment is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at UC Hastings Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hastings Law Journal by an authorized editor of UC Hastings Scholarship Repository.

Issue Editor's Preface

The absence of an up-to-date, comprehensive American treatise on restitution has made legal periodicals the primary medium for scholarly analysis of this expanding area of the law. This analysis becomes increasingly important as the practicing bar becomes more aware of the area's utility. The scope of restitution transcends the boundary between legal and equitable remedies and provides practical solutions to a broad range of legal problems. It is our hope that knowledge of this scope and utility will be extended through the articles that follow.

Departing from the symposium topic, this issue includes two lead articles proposing California legislation, and another discussing due process and the Selective Service System.

Many members of the Hastings faculty have given freely of their time to make this issue possible; their assistance is greatly appreciated. For the note and comment editors, Gale Guthrie, Terry Ross and Akira Togasaki, this has been a year long endeavor. Their intensive efforts are gratefully acknowledged. Appreciation is also extended to Dick Smith, Jim Jackl, Gary Strieker and Pete Laird for their editorial assistance.

DAVID POMERENK
Issue Editor

