TAX ON CIGARETTES.


**PRO**

**86** Tax on Cigarettes. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.

**SUMMARY** Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures

Imposes additional $2.60 per pack excise tax on cigarettes and indirectly increases taxes on other tobacco products. Provides funding for various health programs, children's health coverage, and tobacco-related programs. Fiscal Impact: Increase in excise tax revenues of about $2.1 billion annually in 2007–08 spent for the specified purposes outlined above. Other potentially significant costs and savings for state and local governments due to program changes.

**WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS**

**YES**

A YES vote on this measure means: The existing state excise tax on cigarettes and other tobacco products would increase by $2.60 per pack to support new or expanded programs for health services, children’s health coverage, and tobacco-related activities. Other existing programs supported with tobacco excise taxes would continue.

**NO**

A NO vote on this measure means: The state would not impose a tax on oil production to fund these activities.

**ARGUMENTS**

**PRO**

Proposition 86 reduces smoking and saves lives. A study by the California Department of Health Services says Proposition 86 will keep 700,000 kids from becoming adult smokers and prevent 300,000 smoking-related deaths. The same study says Proposition 86 will save over $16 BILLION in health care costs. Yes on 86.

**CON**

Proposition 86 is really about hospitals using our Constitution and laws to pocket millions for themselves and HMOs through a $2.1 billion tax hike. Section 9 even gives hospitals an exemption to antitrust laws! It’s another lottery mess—and no guarantees on how the money will be spent. No on 86.

**FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**FOR**

Bob Pence
Coalition For A Healthy California
1717 1 Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 448-2720
info@healthyCalifornia.com
www=yesprop86.com

**AGAINST**

No on 86—Stop the $2 Billion Tax Hike
3001 Douglas Blvd. #225
Roseville, CA 95661
(916) 218-6640
info@86facts.org
www.86facts.org

**CON**

$4 BILLION oil tax increase! HIGHER GAS PRICES. HUGE BUREAUCRACY, LACKS ACCOUNTABILITY. No requirement they produce results. DENIES REVENUES to SCHOOLS. We need alternative energy, but Proposition 87 is not the way to get there. CA Taxpayers’ Association, small business, labor, schools, police, firefighters, farmers, Auto Club say: Vote NO.

**AGAINST**

Californians Against Higher Taxes—No on 87, a coalition of taxpayers, educators, schools, public safety officials, businesses, labor, energy producers, agriculture, and seniors.
111 Anza Blvd., Suite 406
Burlingame, CA 94010
(650) 340-0262
info@NoOilTax.com
www.NoOilTax.com

---

**PRO**


**SUMMARY** Put on the Ballot by Petition Signatures

Establishe $4 billion program to reduce petroleum consumption through incentives for alternative energy, education and training. Funded by tax on California oil producers. Fiscal Impact: State oil tax revenues of $225 million to $485 million annually for alternative energy programs totaling $4 billion. State and local revenue reductions up to low tens of millions of dollars annually.

**WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS**

**YES**

A YES vote on this measure means: The state would impose a tax on oil production to support $4 billion in expenditures to develop and promote alternative energy technologies and promote the reduction of petroleum use.

**NO**

A NO vote on this measure means: The state would not impose a tax on oil production to fund these activities.

**ARGUMENTS**

**PRO**

Vote YES on Prop. 87 and make oil companies pay their fair share for cleaner, cheaper energy. Oil companies pay billions in oil drilling fees in Alaska and Texas—but almost nothing in California. Prop. 87 makes oil companies pay and makes it illegal to pass the cost to consumers.

**CON**

$4 BILLION oil tax increase! HIGHER GAS PRICES. HUGE BUREAUCRACY, LACKS ACCOUNTABILITY. No requirement they produce results. DENIES REVENUES to SCHOOLS. We need alternative energy, but Proposition 87 is not the way to get there. CA Taxpayers’ Association, small business, labor, schools, police, firefighters, farmers, Auto Club say: Vote NO.

**FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**FOR**

Yes on 87 Californians for Clean Energy 6399 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1010 Los Angeles, CA 90048 (323) 782-1045 info@yeson87.com www.yeson87.com

**AGAINST**

Californians Against Higher Taxes—No on 87, a coalition of taxpayers, educators, schools, public safety officials, businesses, labor, energy producers, agriculture, and seniors.
111 Anza Blvd., Suite 406
Burlingame, CA 94010
(650) 340-0262
info@NoOilTax.com
www.NoOilTax.com
TAX ON CIGARETTES.
INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE.

• Imposes additional 13 cent tax on each cigarette distributed ($2.60 per pack), and indirectly increases tax on other tobacco products.
• Provides funding to qualified hospitals for emergency services, nursing education and health insurance to eligible children.
• Revenue also allocated to specified purposes including tobacco-use-prevention programs, enforcement of tobacco-related laws, and research, prevention, treatment of various conditions including cancers (breast, cervical, prostate, colorectal), heart disease, stroke, asthma and obesity.
• Exempts recipient hospitals from antitrust laws in certain circumstances.
• Revenue excluded from appropriation limits and minimum education funding (Proposition 98) calculations.

Summary of Legislative Analyst’s Estimate of Net State and Local Government Fiscal Impact:

• Increase in new state tobacco excise tax revenues of about $2.1 billion annually by 2007–08, declining slightly annually thereafter. Those revenues would be spent for various health programs, children’s health coverage, and tobacco-related programs.
• Unknown net state costs potentially exceeding $100 million annually after a few years due to provisions simplifying state health program enrollment rules and creating a new pilot program for children’s health coverage.
• Unknown, but potentially significant, savings to the state Medi-Cal Program and counties from a shift of children from other health care coverage to the Healthy Families Program (HFP); potential state costs that could be significant in the long term for ongoing support of expanded HFP enrollment.
• Unknown, but potentially significant, savings in state and local government public health care costs over time due to various factors, including an expected reduction in consumption of tobacco products.

ANALYSIS BY THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

BACKGROUND

Tobacco Taxes

Current state law imposes certain taxes directly on cigarettes and other tobacco products that are known as excise taxes. Excise taxes are taxes collected on selected goods or services. Currently, the excise taxes total 87 cents per pack of cigarettes (with a similar tax on other types of tobacco products). The total tax of 87 cents per pack consists of:

• 50 cents to support early childhood development programs, enacted by the voters as Proposition 10 in 1998.
• 25 cents to support tobacco education and prevention efforts, tobacco-related disease research programs, health care services for low-income uninsured persons, and environmental protection and recreational programs, enacted by the voters as Proposition 99 in 1988.
• 10 cents for the state General Fund.
• 2 cents to support research related to breast cancer and breast cancer screening programs for uninsured women.

Current taxes on cigarettes and other tobacco products are estimated to raise about $1.1 billion in 2006–07.
Analysis by the Legislative Analyst (continued)

Children’s Health Care Coverage

Medi-Cal. The Medi-Cal Program (the federal Medicaid Program in California) provides health care services to low-income persons, including eligible children (depending on the age of the child). Families with incomes up to 133 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) (about $27,000 per year for a family of four) are generally eligible for coverage. The program is administered by the state Department of Health Services (DHS).

Under the Medicaid Program, matching federal funds are available for the support of comprehensive medical services for United States citizens and to “qualified aliens”—that is, immigrants who are permanent residents, refugees, or a member of certain other groups granted the legal right to remain in the United States. Federal matching funds are also available for nonqualified aliens, but only for emergency medical services.

The Medi-Cal Program currently serves about 3.2 million adults and 3.2 million children.

Healthy Families. The Healthy Families Program (HFP) offers health insurance to eligible children in families who generally have incomes below 250 percent of FPL (about $50,000 per year for a family of four) who do not qualify for Medi-Cal. (Children in some families with higher incomes are also eligible.) Funding is generally on a two-to-one federal/state matching basis. Children in HFP must be eligible United States citizens or qualified aliens. The HFP is administered by the Managed Risk Medical Insurance Board (MRMIB).

The HFP provides medical coverage for about 781,000 children.

Local Health Coverage Programs. The County Health Initiative Matching (CHIM) Fund program, which is administered by MRMIB and counties, provides health coverage for children in families with an income between 250 percent and 300 percent of FPL (between $50,000 and $60,000 per year for a family of four). The CHIM program relies on county funds as the match required to draw down federal funds to pay for this health coverage. This program has a caseload of about 3,000 children.

In addition to the CHIM program, some counties have established their own health coverage programs for children that are ineligible for Medi-Cal or HFP. These programs are primarily supported with local funding. These programs serve about 69,000 children.

Proposal

This measure increases excise taxes on cigarettes (and, as discussed below, indirectly on other tobacco products) to provide funding for hospitals for emergency services as well as programs to increase access to health insurance for children, expand nursing education, support various new and existing health and education activities, curb tobacco use and regulate tobacco sales. Major provisions of the measure are described below.

New State Tobacco Tax Revenues

A pack of cigarettes now costs roughly $4.00 in California, including 87 cents in excise taxes. This measure increases the existing excise tax on cigarettes by $2.60 per pack effective January 2007. Existing state law requires the Board of Equalization (BOE) to increase taxes on other tobacco products—such as loose tobacco and snuff—in an amount equivalent to any increase in the tax on cigarettes. Thus, this measure would also result in a comparable increase in the excise tax on other tobacco products. All of the additional tobacco revenues (including those on other tobacco products) would be used to support various new and existing programs specified in this measure.

How Additional Tobacco Revenues Would Be Spent

Revenues from the excise tax increase would generally be deposited in a new fund called the Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund and would be allocated for various specified purposes, as shown in Figure 1 later in this analysis.
Backfill of Proposition 10 Programs. An unspecified amount of the additional excise tax revenues would be used to fully backfill Proposition 10 programs for early childhood development for a loss of funding that would result from the enactment of the new tax measure. This is because the tax increases contained in this measure are (1) likely to result in reduced sales of tobacco products and (2) could result in more sales of tobacco products for which taxes would not be collected, such as for smuggled products and out-of-state sales. This, in turn, would reduce the amount of revenues collected through the excise taxes imposed under Proposition 10. The amount of backfill payments needed to offset any loss of funding for the Proposition 10 program would be determined by BOE.

Health Treatment and Services Account. Under the measure, 52.75 percent of the funds that remain after providing the Proposition 10 backfill funding would be allocated to a Health Treatment and Services Account. This funding would be used for the purposes outlined below:

- **Hospital Funding.** Nearly three-fourths of the funds in this account would be allocated to hospitals to pay their unreimbursed costs for emergency services and to improve or expand emergency services, facilities, or equipment. Allocations would be based largely on the number of persons that hospitals treat in their emergency departments and their costs for providing health care for patients who are poor. Private hospitals and certain public hospitals, including those licensed to the University of California (UC), would be eligible to receive funding. Hospitals licensed to other state agencies or the federal government would not be eligible for funding.

- **Nursing Education Programs.** These funds would be used to expand nursing education programs in UC, California State University, community college, and privately operated nursing education programs.

- **Additional Allocations.** Funding would be allocated for the support of nonprofit community clinics; to help pay for uncompensated health care for uninsured persons provided by physicians; for college loan repayments to encourage physicians to provide medical services to low-income persons in communities with insufficient physicians; to provide prostate cancer treatment services; and for services to assist individuals to quit smoking.

Health Maintenance and Disease Prevention Account. Under the measure, 42.25 percent of the funds that remained after providing the Proposition 10 backfill funding would be allocated to a Health Maintenance and Disease Prevention Account. This funding would be used for the purposes outlined below:

- **Children’s Health Coverage Expansion.** Almost one-half of these funds would be allocated to expand the HFP to provide health coverage to include (1) children from families with incomes between 250 percent and 300 percent of the FPL and (2) children from families with incomes up to 300 percent of the FPL who are undocumented immigrants or legal immigrants not now eligible for HFP. This measure requires MRMIB and DHS to simplify the procedures for enrolling and keeping children in HFP and Medi-Cal coverage and creates a pilot project to provide coverage for uninsured children in families with incomes above 300 percent of the FPL.

- **Tobacco-Related Programs.** These funds would support media advertising and public relations campaigns, grants to local health departments and other local organizations, and education programs for school children to prevent and reduce smoking. Funding would also go to state and local agencies for enforcing laws and court settlements which regulate and tax the sale of tobacco products. Also, some funds would be used to evaluate the effectiveness of these tobacco control programs.

- **Health and Education Programs.** Part of these funds would be set aside for various new or
existing health programs related to certain diseases or conditions, including colorectal, breast, and cervical cancer; heart disease and stroke; obesity; and asthma.

**Health and Disease Research Account.** Under the measure, 5 percent of the funds that remained after providing the backfill funding discussed above would be allocated to a Health and Disease Research Account. This funding would be used to support medical research relating to cancer in general and breast and lung cancer in particular. In addition, it would support research into tobacco-related diseases, as well as the effectiveness of tobacco control efforts. Part of these funds would be used to support a statewide cancer registry, a state program that collects data on cancer cases.

**Other Major Provisions**

In addition to the provisions that raise tobacco excise taxes and spend these same revenues, this measure contains a number of other significant provisions, which are described below.

**Existing Funding for Physician Payments Continued.** In recent years, the state has spent almost $25 million per year in Proposition 99 funds for allocations to counties to reimburse physicians for uncompensated medical care for persons who are poor. This measure requires that this same level of Proposition 99 funds be allocated annually in the future for this purpose.

**Expenditure Rules.** The funds allocated under this measure would not be appropriated through the annual state budget act and thus would not be subject to change by actions of the Legislature and Governor. The additional revenues would generally have to be used for the services noted above and could not take the place of existing state or local spending. The state and counties could not borrow these new revenues to use for other purposes, but they could be used to draw down additional federal funds. Contracts to implement some of the new programs funded by this measure would be exempted from state contracting rules for the first five years.

**Oversight Provisions.** This measure requires DHS to prepare an annual report describing the programs that received additional excise tax funding and how that funding was used. This information would be made available to the public by DHS on its Web site. Programs receiving these funds would be subject to audit. New state committees would be established to oversee the expansion of children’s health coverage and antiobesity programs.

**Hospital Charges and Bill Collections.** Hospitals that are allocated funds under this measure for emergency and trauma care services would be subject to limits on what they could charge to certain patients in families with incomes at or below 350 percent of the FPL. These hospitals would also have to adopt written policies on their bill collection practices and, under certain circumstances, could not send unpaid bills to collection agencies, garnish wages, or place liens on the homes of patients as a means of collecting unpaid hospital bills.

**Coordination of Medical Services by Hospitals.** Subject to the approval of certain local officials, hospitals receiving funding under this measure would be allowed to coordinate certain medical services, including emergency services, with other hospitals. For example, hospitals would be permitted to jointly share the costs of ensuring the availability of on-call physicians who provide emergency services. The measure seeks to exempt such coordination of emergency services from antitrust laws that might limit or prohibit such coordination efforts.

**FISCAL EFFECTS**

This measure would have a number of fiscal effects on state and local governments. The major fiscal effects we have identified are discussed below.

*For text of Proposition 86 see page 147.*
Impacts on State and Local Revenues

Revenues Affected by Consumer Response. Our revenue estimates assume that the excise tax increase of $2.60 per pack is passed along to consumers by the distributors of tobacco products who actually pay the excise tax. In other words, we assume that the prices of tobacco products would be raised to include the excise tax increase. This would result in various consumer responses. The price increase is likely to result in consumers reducing the quantity of taxable tobacco products that they purchase. Consumers could also shift their purchases so that taxes would not be collected on tobacco products, such as through Internet purchases or purchases of smuggled products.

The magnitude of these consumer responses is uncertain given the size of the proposed tax increase. There is substantial evidence regarding the response of consumers to small and moderate tax increases on tobacco products in terms of reduced tobacco consumption. As a result, for small-to-moderate increases in price, the revenue impacts can be estimated with a reasonable degree of confidence. However, the increase in taxes proposed in this measure is substantially greater than that experienced previously. As a result, we believe that revenue estimates based on traditional assumptions regarding this consumer response would likely be overstated. Therefore, our revenue estimates below assume a greater consumer response in terms of reduced tobacco consumption to this tax increase than has traditionally been the case. These estimates are subject to uncertainty, however, given a variety of factors, including the large tax changes involved.

Revenues From Tax Increase on Tobacco Products. We estimate that the increase in excise taxes would raise about $1.2 billion in 2006–07 (one-half year effect from January through June 2007). It would raise about $2.1 billion in 2007–08 (first full-year impact). This excise tax increase would raise slightly declining amounts of revenues thereafter.

Effects on State General Fund Revenues. The measure’s increase in the excise tax would have offsetting effects on state General Fund revenues. On the one hand, the higher price and the ensuing decline in consumption of tobacco products would reduce state General Fund revenues from the existing excise taxes. On the other hand, the state’s General Fund sales tax revenues would increase because the sales tax is based on the price of the tobacco product plus the excise tax. The decreases in revenues would approximately equal the increases in revenues.

Effects on Local Revenues. Local governments would likely experience an annual increase in sales tax revenues of as much as $10 million.

Effects on Existing Tobacco Excise Tax Revenues. The decline in consumption of tobacco products caused by this measure would similarly reduce the excise tax revenues that would be generated for Proposition 99 and 10 programs and for the Breast Cancer Fund. We estimate that the initial annual revenue losses are likely to be about $180 million for Proposition 10, about $90 million for Proposition 99, and less than $10 million for the Breast Cancer Fund. However, these losses would be more than offset in most cases by additional tax revenues generated by this measure, as discussed below.

Impacts of New Programs on State and Local Expenditures

State and local government expenditures for the administration and operation of various programs supported through this measure would generally increase in line with the proposed increase in excise tax revenues. Figure 1 (see next page) shows the main purpose of the accounts established by the initiative, the percentage of funds allocated to each purpose, and our estimate of the funding that would be available for each account in the first full year of tax collection. These allocations would probably decline in subsequent years as excise tax revenues also declined, potentially resulting in a corresponding
### FIGURE 1

**How Tobacco Tax Funds Would Be Allocated**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th>Estimate of 2007–08 Funding (Full Year in Millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health Treatment and Services Account</strong></td>
<td><strong>52.75</strong> percent of remaining funds</td>
<td><strong>$1,015</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backfill of California Children and Families First Trust Fund—Proposition 10</td>
<td>Unspecified amount determined by Board of Equalization</td>
<td><strong>$180</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital emergency and trauma care</td>
<td>74.50 percent of account</td>
<td><strong>$756</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing education programs</td>
<td>9.00 percent</td>
<td><strong>91</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonprofit community clinics</td>
<td>5.75 percent</td>
<td><strong>58</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Healthcare for Indigents Program—reimbursement of emergency care physicians</td>
<td>5.75 percent</td>
<td><strong>58</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco cessation services</td>
<td>1.75 percent</td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate cancer treatment</td>
<td>1.75 percent</td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Health Services Program—reimbursement of emergency care physicians</td>
<td>0.75 percent</td>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College loan repayment program to encourage physicians to serve low-income areas lacking physicians</td>
<td>0.75 percent</td>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health Maintenance and Disease Prevention Account</strong></td>
<td><strong>42.25</strong> percent of remaining funds</td>
<td><strong>$810</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s health coverage</td>
<td>45.50 percent of account</td>
<td><strong>$367</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease and stroke program</td>
<td>8.50 percent</td>
<td><strong>69</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast and cervical cancer program</td>
<td>8.00 percent</td>
<td><strong>65</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity, diabetes, and chronic diseases programs</td>
<td>7.75 percent</td>
<td><strong>63</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco control media campaign</td>
<td>6.75 percent</td>
<td><strong>55</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco control competitive grants program</td>
<td>4.50 percent</td>
<td><strong>36</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local health department tobacco prevention program</td>
<td>4.25 percent</td>
<td><strong>34</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma program</td>
<td>4.25 percent</td>
<td><strong>34</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal cancer program</td>
<td>4.25 percent</td>
<td><strong>34</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco prevention education programs</td>
<td>3.50 percent</td>
<td><strong>28</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco control enforcement activities</td>
<td>2.25 percent</td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of tobacco control programs</td>
<td>0.50 percent</td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health and Disease Research Account</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.00</strong> percent of remaining funds</td>
<td><strong>$95</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco control research</td>
<td>34.00 percent of account</td>
<td><strong>$32</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer research</td>
<td>25.75 percent</td>
<td><strong>24</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer research</td>
<td>14.75 percent</td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer registry</td>
<td>14.50 percent</td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer research</td>
<td>11.00 percent</td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Allocations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$2,100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Because the overall revenues from the tobacco tax increase are subject to uncertainty, the actual allocations to programs could be greater or less than the amounts shown here.

Totals may not add due to rounding.
decrease in state and local expenditures for these new programs.

The state administrative costs associated with the tax provisions of this measure would be minor.

**Impacts on Other Tobacco Tax-Funded Programs**

This measure would have a number of significant fiscal effects on the three existing programs supported by tobacco excise taxes—Proposition 99 (which supports various health and public resources programs), Proposition 10 (which supports early childhood development programs), and the Breast Cancer Fund (which supports breast and cervical cancer screening and breast cancer research programs).

**Proposition 99.** This measure does not directly backfill any Proposition 99 accounts for the loss of revenues that would be likely to occur as a result of the excise tax increase proposed in this measure. Specifically, we estimate that this measure would initially result in an annual funding reduction of about $5 million for the public resources account and initially almost $25 million for an account that can be used to support any program eligible for Proposition 99 funding.

However, while this measure would reduce revenues for other Proposition 99 accounts, it would also initially provide significant increases in funding in the new accounts created under this measure for activities comparable to those now funded through Proposition 99. This includes health education and tobacco research, hospital services, and physician services. In the aggregate, these activities could initially experience a net gain in funding of almost $950 million if this measure were enacted.

**Proposition 10.** Proposition 10 would receive full backfill funding under the terms of this measure. We estimate that this backfill would initially amount to about $180 million annually.

**Breast Cancer Fund.** No backfill funding would be provided for the Breast Cancer Fund to offset the loss of revenues resulting from the tax increases proposed in this measure. However, this measure would allocate a set portion of the new tax revenues for breast cancer research and breast cancer early detection services, with the result that these activities initially would likely experience a net gain of about $80 million annually.

**Revenues and Costs From Provisions Affecting Public Hospitals**

Some of the hospital emergency services funding provided under this measure could be allocated to public hospitals licensed to state and local agencies, such as those run by UC, counties, cities, and health care districts. This and certain other provisions of the measure could potentially result in increased revenues and expenditures for support of these hospital operations. The magnitude of the fiscal effects of all of these provisions is unknown, but is likely to result in a net financial gain for hospitals operated by state and local government agencies up to the low hundreds of millions of dollars annually on a statewide basis.

**Fiscal Impact on State and Counties From Children’s Coverage Provisions**

**Long-Term Increase in State Costs for Increased HFP Enrollment.** In the short term, the revenues allocated by this measure to expand HFP would probably exceed the costs to make additional children eligible for health coverage. This would particularly be the case in the early years as enrollment gradually increased. Any excess revenues for expanding children’s health coverage would be reserved to support this same purpose in future years.

Over time, however, as the excise tax revenues allocated for this purpose declined (for the reasons mentioned above) and the number of children enrolled in HFP grew, the costs of the expanded HFP could eventually exceed the available revenues. Current state law would permit MRMIB to limit enrollment...
in the program to prevent this from occurring. If actions were not taken to offset program costs at that point, however, additional state financial support for the program would be necessary. These potential long-term state costs are unknown but could be significant.

**State and County Savings From Shift in Children's Coverage.** This measure allows some children now receiving health coverage in local health coverage programs, such as CHIM, to instead be enrolled in the expanded HFP. Also, some children in low-income families receiving health care from counties without local health initiatives would be likely to become enrolled in HFP. These changes would likely result in unknown, but potentially significant, savings on a statewide basis to local governments, particularly for counties.

The Medi-Cal Program could also experience some state savings for emergency services as some children would instead receive their coverage for these and other services through HFP. These savings to the state could reach the tens of millions of dollars annually unless the state decided, as this measure permits, to have these children continue to receive emergency services through Medi-Cal.

**Net Increase in State Costs From Pilot Projects and Simplified Enrollment.** This measure requires MRMIB and DHS to simplify the procedures for enrolling and keeping children in HFP and Medi-Cal coverage. For example, among other changes, these provisions could allow applicants to “self-certify” their income and assets on their applications for coverage without immediately providing employer or tax documents to verify their financial status. From an administrative perspective, some changes that simplified enrollment rules would reduce state costs, while others, such as changes in computer systems for enrollment activities, would likely increase state costs. As regards caseloads, these changes are likely to increase program enrollment and, therefore, costs for the state. This would occur because children who are eligible for, but not enrolled in, Medi-Cal and HFP would be signed up for medical benefits and existing enrollees would continue to be served in these programs.

As noted earlier, this measure also directs the state to establish a pilot project to provide health coverage for uninsured children in families with incomes above 300 percent of the FPL. This would also increase state caseload costs.

The net fiscal effect of these provisions is an increase in state costs that could exceed $100 million annually after a few years. Some of these costs could be paid for using the new excise tax revenues generated under this measure.

**Potential State and Local Savings on Public Health Costs**

Currently, the state and local governments incur costs for providing (1) health care for low-income persons and (2) health insurance coverage for state and local government employees. Consequently, changes in state law that affect the health of the general populace would affect publicly funded health care costs. Because this measure is likely to result in a decrease in the consumption of tobacco products which have been linked to various adverse health effects, it would probably reduce state and local health care costs over the long term.

Some of the health programs funded in this measure are intended to prevent individuals from experiencing serious health problems that could be costly to treat. To the extent that these prevention efforts are successful and affect publicly funded health care programs, they are likely to reduce state and local government health care costs over time. In addition, the proposed expansion of these state health programs could reduce county costs for providing health care for adults and children in low-income families.

The magnitude of state and local savings from these factors is unknown but would likely be significant.
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION 86

Smoking Kills.
Public health experts agree: Taxing tobacco will save lives. The Tobacco Control Section of the California Department of Health Services has issued an analysis of Proposition 86 titled “Economic and Health Effects of a State Cigarette Excise Tax Increase in California.”

The California Department of Health Services has determined that:

Proposition 86 Will Save Lives:
• Prevent nearly 180,000 deaths due to smoking among California kids now under the age of 17.
• Prevent approximately 120,000 additional deaths due to smoking among current California adult smokers who quit smoking.

Proposition 86 Will Reduce and Prevent Smoking:
• The tax increase alone would prevent more than 700,000 kids now under the age of 17 from becoming adult smokers.
• 120,000 high school students and 30,000 middle school students would either quit or not start smoking.
• More than half a million smokers in California would quit smoking.
• Californians would consume 312 million fewer packs of cigarettes each year.

Proposition 86 Saves Money:
• Nearly $16.5 billion saved in healthcare costs.
• Increases state revenue by over $2.2 billion per year.

[See the report for yourself at www.yesprop86.com.]

That’s why Proposition 86 is supported by a broad coalition, including:

American Cancer Society
American Heart Association
American Lung Association of California
American Academy of Pediatrics/California Chapter
The Children’s Partnership
American College of Emergency Physicians, California Chapter

ARGUMENT IN REBUTTAL TO PROPOSITION 86

THERE’S NOTHING IN PROPOSITION 86 THAT LIMITS WHAT HOSPITALS CAN CHARGE TAXPAYERS FOR EMERGENCY SERVICES FOR THE UNINSURED.

Helping people stop smoking and keeping kids from starting is important. Unfortunately, less than 10% of the $2.1 billion in new tax money goes to programs that help smokers quit or keep kids from starting. Here’s what’s really in the initiative:

• Huge hospital corporations are spending millions promoting Prop. 86 because they will pocket hundreds of millions of dollars every year. HMOs will also get millions of dollars each year.
• Almost 40% of the $2.1 billion in new tax money from Prop. 86 goes to hospitals—THAT’S OVER $800 MILLION A YEAR THAT HAS VIRTUALLY NOTHING TO DO WITH STOPPING SMOKING!
• The $2.1 billion comes from an unfair $2.60 tax increase on each pack of cigarettes—an increase of almost 300%.
• There’s nothing in Prop. 86 that limits what hospitals can charge taxpayers for emergency services for the uninsured.
• The initiative specifically raises the tax on a pack of cigarettes by $2.60 to help fund some of California’s critical healthcare needs, including emergency care services; health insurance for children; nursing education; tobacco use prevention programs; enforcement of tobacco-related laws; and research, prevention, and treatment of serious health problems, including cancers, heart diseases, stroke, asthma, and obesity.

Proposition 86 includes tough financial safeguards, including annual detailed public reporting of the use of tax funds, independent audits, limits on administrative costs, and a strict prohibition against the Legislature raiding the trust funds for any other government program. This means the money will go exactly where voters intend.

This measure will save lives. With smoking-related illnesses driving up our healthcare costs and overloading our healthcare system, Proposition 86 will help discourage smoking and ease some of the problems caused by preventable, smoking-related illnesses.

SAVE LIVES. TAX TOBACCO. VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION 86.

CAROLYN RHEE, Chair
American Cancer Society, California Division

P.K. SHAH, M.D., President
American Heart Association, Western States Affiliate

TIMOTHY A. MORRIS, M.D., Board Member
American Lung Association of California
ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION 86

VOTE “NO” ON PROPOSITION 86—STOP THE $2.1 BILLION TAX HIKE!

We all want to improve our healthcare system, but Proposition 86 is the wrong solution. Prop. 86 is an unfair tax increase supported by special interests who are amending our Constitution to benefit themselves.

Prop. 86’s proponents say it’s about encouraging people not to smoke, but it isn’t. It’s really a money grab by huge hospital corporations who will reap hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars each year!

• Less than 10% of the tax revenues go toward helping smokers quit or keeping kids from starting.
• The largest share—almost 40%—goes to hospitals, many of which are funding the campaign for the new tax.
• HMOs will pocket millions from Prop. 86.

WHY ARE HUGE HOSPITAL CORPORATIONS SPENDING MILLIONS TO PASS PROP. 86?

• Hospitals wrote Prop. 86 to give themselves an exemption to antitrust laws, giving them legal protection to divvy up and limit many medical services, and then raise prices without worrying about competition.
• Prop. 86 puts no limits on what hospitals can bill taxpayers for emergency services for the uninsured. Why should hospitals be allowed to charge taxpayers several times what they charge insurance companies for the same treatment?

PROP. 86: ANOTHER LOTTERY MESS

Like the state lottery, it will be nearly impossible for voters to know how the new taxes will be spent. Prop. 86 lists program after state program that gets a cut of the estimated $2.1 billion in new tax revenue.

PROP. 86: NO ACCOUNTABILITY TO TAXPAYERS

Prop. 86 throws millions of dollars at new bureaucratic state programs without adequate legislative or governmental oversight. There are NO GUARANTEES how the money will actually be spent or assurances the money won’t be wasted.

PROP. 86: INCREASES OUR DEFICIT

Prop. 86 contains 38 pages of spending mandates. But experts agree that the amount of money raised by this tobacco tax will decline over time. Declining revenues and demands to fund Prop. 86’s programs will only worsen our deficit. Other important programs like education, transportation, and law enforcement might have to be cut, or taxes raised further.

PROP. 86: INCREASES CRIME

Law enforcement groups oppose Prop. 86 because it will increase crime and smuggling. Stolen and smuggled cigarettes are already a big source of money for gangs and organized crime. If Prop. 86 passes, a single truckload of stolen cigarettes could be worth over $2 million to criminals.

PROP. 86: UNFAIR

Prop. 86 taxes smokers to pay for programs that have nothing to do with smoking, like obesity programs. Less than 10% of the tax revenues go toward helping smokers quit or keeping kids from starting.

PROP. 86: LOCKED INTO OUR CONSTITUTION

Proposition 86 amends our Constitution and statutes. When problems and abuses are discovered, it will be nearly impossible for the Governor or the Legislature to fix them. The Constitution should not be changed for a special interest money-grab.

Please join health professionals, law enforcement, taxpayers, and small businesses in voting NO on Proposition 86.

LARRY McCARTHY, President
California Taxpayers’ Association

JAMES G. KNIGHT, M.D., Past President
San Diego County Medical Society

STEVEN REMIGE, President
Association for Los Angeles Deputy Sheriffs

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION 86

Make no mistake; big tobacco corporations are bankrolling opposition to Prop. 86.

Raising cigarette taxes means fewer people will smoke—especially kids. That hurts tobacco company profits.

They’ve seen the report by the California Department of Health Services which says that Prop. 86 will reduce the number of cigarettes sold in California by 312 million packs each year.

The report also says that Prop. 86 will prevent 700,000 kids from starting to smoke and save 300,000 lives.

Tobacco companies invest over $1 billion a year marketing cigarettes in California. This is a market they won’t give up without a fight.

When executives of the tobacco companies were called before Congress and put under oath, incredibly, each and every one of them lied by testifying that cigarettes are not addictive.

They lied to Congress under oath and now they’re lying to you.

Their arguments against Prop. 86 are outright distortions and untruths.

Read Prop. 86 for yourself. You’ll see that it includes specific and tough financial safeguards, independent audits, and strict limits on administrative costs. Funding is directed to proven, successful public health programs.

Californians pay more than $8 billion each year in medical costs due to smoking—that’s $700 per family per year—whether you smoke or not. The Department of Health Services report confirms that Prop. 86 will help reduce those costs.

Big tobacco will do, say, and spend anything to defeat Proposition 86. Don’t believe it.

Save Lives. Reduce Smoking.
Vote Yes on Proposition 86.

MILA GARCIA, R.N., Member
American Heart Association, Western States Affiliate

WILLIE GOFFNEY, M.D., FACS, President
American Cancer Society, California Division 2006–07

RICK DONALDSON, Ph.D., RCP, Chair
American Lung Association of California

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and have not been checked for accuracy by any official agency.
agent for the purpose of inducing the physician or the physician's agent to believe that pursuant to this section notice has been or will be delivered, or that a waiver of notice has been obtained, or that an unemancipated minor patient is not an unemancipated minor, is guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of up to one thousand dollars ($1,000).

(q) Notwithstanding any notices delivered pursuant to subdivision (c) or (d) or waivers received pursuant to subdivision (e), (f), (i), or (j), except where the particular circumstances of a medical emergency or her own mental incapacity precludes obtaining her consent, a physician shall not prescribe or induce an abortion upon an unemancipated minor except with the consent of the unemancipated minor herself.

(r) Notwithstanding any notices delivered pursuant to subdivision (c) or (d) or waivers received pursuant to subdivision (e), (f), (i), or (j), an unemancipated minor who is being coerced by any person through force, threat of force, or threatened or actual deprivation of food or shelter to consent to undergo an abortion may apply to the juvenile court for relief. The court shall give the matter expedited consideration and grant such relief as may be necessary to prevent such coercion.

(s) This section shall not take effect until 90 days after the election in which it is approved. The Judicial Council shall, within these 90 days, prescribe the rules, practices, and procedures and prepare and make available any forms it may prescribe as provided in subdivision (k). The State Department of Health Services shall, within these 90 days, prepare and make available the forms prescribed in subdivisions (c), (e), (f), and (l).

(t) If any one or more provision, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this section or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is found to be unconstitutional or invalid, the same is hereby declared to be severable and the balance of this section shall remain effective notwithstanding such unconstitutionality or invalidity. Each provision, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this section would have been approved by voters irrespective of the fact that any one or more provision, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, or word might be declared unconstitutional or invalid.

(u) Except for the rights, duties, privileges, conditions, and limitations specifically provided for in this section, nothing in this section shall be construed to grant, secure, or deny any other rights, duties, privileges, conditions, and limitations relating to abortion or the funding thereof.

**PROPOSITION 86**

This initiative measure is submitted to the people in accordance with the provisions of Section 8 of Article II of the California Constitution.

This initiative measure adds sections to the California Constitution and the Health and Safety Code, the Insurance Code, the Revenue and Taxation Code, and the Welfare and Institutions Code; therefore, new provisions proposed to be added are printed in italic type to indicate that they are new.

**PROPOSED LAW**

THE TOBACCO TAX ACT OF 2006

SECTION 1. Statement of Findings

(a) Cigarette smoking and other uses of tobacco are leading causes of many serious health problems, including cancer, heart disease and respiratory diseases. The treatment of tobacco-related diseases imposes a significant burden upon California's already overstressed health care system. Prior efforts to curb the use of tobacco have not sufficiently eased the health care burden on the taxpayers of California.

(b) Tobacco use costs Californians billions of dollars a year in medical expenses and lost productivity.

(c) Currently, the state imposes a tax on cigarettes and tobacco products. Funds from that tax are used in part by the state to fund programs to offset the adverse health consequences of tobacco use. The tobacco tax is an appropriate source to fund prevention, research and treatment of chronic diseases, including improved access to health care for children and adults.

(d) The tax on tobacco products in California has not been raised since 1998. As a consequence, the total tax levied on tobacco products is much less than in many other states. Yet the health consequences to our citizens, particularly children and young adults, and the corresponding burden on our state's health care system continue.

(e) The deterioration of the state's hospital emergency services network has left many communities unable to adequately cope with the normal flow of emergency services. This emergency services crisis imposes a significant burden on our community clinics and keeps them from fulfilling their important health care function for low income children and adults.

(f) Funds which could be used to provide pioneering research into the prevention and treatment of chronic diseases, and health insurance for our most vulnerable children, are increasingly diverted to address the health crisis caused, in part, by tobacco-related illnesses.

(g) Almost 80% of adult smokers become addicted to tobacco before age 18. Increasing the cost of cigarettes and other tobacco products and providing a comprehensive tobacco control program have proven to be two of the most effective ways to reduce smoking among youth and the associated health problems and economic costs.

(h) The establishment of programs designed to (1) reduce the consumption of tobacco in the first instance, (2) fund research, early detection, and treatment of chronic diseases, and (3) preserve access to emergency hospital services performed by well-trained doctors and nurses is vital to the public's interest.

SEC. 2. Statement of Purpose

(a) The people of California hereby increase the tax on tobacco to reduce the economic costs of tobacco use in California and to provide supplemental funding to:

(1) promote medical research into chronic diseases, particularly cancer;

(2) reduce the impact of chronic diseases through prevention, early detection, treatment and comprehensive health insurance; and

(3) improve access to and delivery of health care, particularly emergency health services.

SEC. 3. Tobacco Tax

Article 4 (commencing with Section 30132) is added to Chapter 2 of Part 13 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, to read:

Article 4. The Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund

30132. The Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund ("Tobacco Trust Fund") is hereby created in the State Treasury. The fund shall consist of all revenues deposited therein pursuant to this Article, including interest and investment income. Moneys deposited into the Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund shall be allocated and are continuously appropriated for the exclusive purpose of funding the programs and services in Section 30132.3 and shall be available for expenditure without regard to fiscal years.

30132.1. (a) In addition to the taxes imposed upon the distribution of cigarettes by Article 1 (commencing with Section 30101) and Article 2 (commencing with Section 30121) and Article 3 (commencing with Section 30131) and any other taxes in this Chapter, there shall be imposed an additional tax upon every distributor of cigarettes at the rate of one hundred thirty mills ($0.130) for each cigarette distributed.

(b) For purposes of this Article, the term "cigarette" has the same meaning as in Section 30003, as it read on January 1, 2005.

(c) The tax imposed by this Section, and the resulting increase in the tax on tobacco products required by subdivision (b) of Section 30123, shall be imposed on every cigarette and on all tobacco products in the possession or under the control of every dealer, wholesaler, and distributor on and after 12:01 a.m. on January 1, 2007, pursuant to rules and regulations promulgated by the State Board of Equalization.

30132.2. The State Board of Equalization shall determine within one year of the passage of this Act, and annually thereafter, the effect that the additional tax imposed on cigarettes by this Act, and the resulting increase in the tax on tobacco products required by subdivision (b) of Section 30123, have on the consumption of cigarettes and tobacco products in this state. To the extent that a decrease in consumption is determined by the State Board of Equalization to be a direct result of the additional tax imposed by this Act, or the resulting increase in the tax on tobacco products required by subdivision (b) of Section 30123, the State Board of Equalization shall determine the fiscal effect the decrease in consumption has on the California Children and Families Trust Fund created by Proposition 10 (1998). Funds shall be transferred from the
Tobacco Trust Fund to the California Children and Families Trust Fund as necessary to offset the revenue decrease directly resulting from imposition of the additional tax imposed by this Act, and the resulting increase in the tax on tobacco products required by subdivision (b) of Section 30123. The reimbursements shall occur, and at such times, as determined necessary to further the intent of this Section.

30123.3. Except for payments of refunds made pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 30361) of Chapter 6, reimbursement of the State Board of Equalization for expenses incurred in the administration and collection of the tax imposed by Section 30132.1 and the resulting increase in the tax on tobacco products required by subdivision (b) of Section 30123, and transfers of funds in accordance with Section 30132.2, all moneys raised pursuant to the tax imposed by Section 30172.1 shall be used in the Tobacco Control Media Campaign Sub-Account.

(1) Six and three-fourths percent (6.75%) shall be deposited in the Tobacco Control Media Campaign Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Tobacco Control Media Campaign Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used solely for media advertisements and public relations programs to prevent and reduce the use of tobacco products as described in paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 104375 of the Health and Safety Code.

(2) Four and one-half percent (4.50%) shall be deposited in a Tobacco Control Competitive Grants Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Tobacco Control Competitive Grants Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used solely for the competitive grants program directed at the prevention of tobacco-related diseases as described in Section 104385 of the Health and Safety Code.

(3) Four and one-fourths percent (4.25%) shall be deposited in a Local Health Department Tobacco Prevention Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Tobacco Prevention Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used solely for the evaluation of tobacco control programs as required by subdivisions (b) and (c) of Section 104375 of the Health and Safety Code.

(4) One-half percent (0.50%) shall be deposited in a Tobacco Education Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Tobacco Education Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Education to be used solely for programs to prevent or reduce the use of tobacco products as described in Section 104420 of the Health and Safety Code. Any program receiving funds pursuant to this section must participate in program evaluations conducted by the State Department of Health Services pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 104350) of Chapter 1 of Part 3 of Division 103 of the Health and Safety Code. Notwithstanding Section 104380 of the Health and Safety Code, funds from the Local Health Department Tobacco Prevention Sub-Account shall be appropriated to local lead agencies based on each county’s proportion of the statewide population.

(5) Three and one-half percent (3.50%) shall be deposited in a Tobacco Education Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Tobacco Education Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Education to be used solely for programs to prevent or reduce the use of tobacco products as described in Section 104420 of the Health and Safety Code. Any program receiving funds pursuant to this section must participate in program evaluations conducted by the State Department of Health Services pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 104350) of Chapter 1 of Part 3 of Division 103 of the Health and Safety Code. Notwithstanding Section 104380 of the Health and Safety Code, funds from the Local Health Department Tobacco Prevention Sub-Account shall be appropriated to local lead agencies based on each county’s proportion of the statewide population.

(6) and one-fourths percent (2.25%) shall be deposited in the Tobacco Control Enforcement Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Tobacco Control Enforcement Sub-Account shall be used solely for programs to enforce tobacco-related statutes and policies, to enforce legal settlement provisions, and to conduct law enforcement training and technical assistance activities, and shall be appropriated as follows:

(A) Fifty percent (50%) of the funds in the Tobacco Control Enforcement Sub-Account is continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used to support programs, including, but not limited to: providing grants to local law enforcement agencies to provide training and funding for the enforcement of state and local tobacco-related laws and policies, including, but not limited to the illegal sales of tobacco to minors, tobacco retailer licensing and exposure to secondhand smoke; and increasing investigative activities, compliance checks and other appropriate activities to reduce illegal sales of tobacco products to minors under the Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act, pursuant to Section 22950 of the Health and Safety Code.

(B) Twenty-five percent (25%) of the funds in the Tobacco Control Enforcement Sub-Account is continuously appropriated to the California Office of the Attorney General to be used for activities including, but not limited to: enforcing laws that regulate the distribution and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products, such as laws that prohibit cigarette smuggling, counterfeiting, selling untaxed tobacco, selling tobacco without a proper license and selling tobacco to minors; enforcing tobacco-related disease, which includes chronic bronchitis and emphysema.

(b) To the Health Maintenance and Disease Prevention Account, which is hereby created. Forty-two and one-half percent (42.5%) of the funds in the Tobacco Control Media Campaign Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used solely for media advertisements and public relations programs to prevent and reduce the use of tobacco products as described in paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 104375 of the Health and Safety Code.

(1) Six and three-fourths percent (6.75%) shall be deposited in the Tobacco Control Media Campaign Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Tobacco Control Media Campaign Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used solely for media advertisements and public relations programs to prevent and reduce the use of tobacco products as described in paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 104375 of the Health and Safety Code.

(2) Four and one-half percent (4.50%) shall be deposited in a Tobacco Control Competitive Grants Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Tobacco Control Competitive Grants Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used solely for the competitive grants program directed at the prevention of tobacco-related diseases as described in Section 104385 of the Health and Safety Code.

(3) Four and one-fourths percent (4.25%) shall be deposited in a Local Health Department Tobacco Prevention Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Tobacco Prevention Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used solely for the evaluation of tobacco control programs as required by subdivisions (b) and (c) of Section 104375 of the Health and Safety Code.

(4) One-half percent (0.50%) shall be deposited in a Tobacco Control Evaluation Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Tobacco Control Evaluation Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Education to be used solely for programs to prevent or reduce the use of tobacco products as described in Section 104420 of the Health and Safety Code. Any program receiving funds pursuant to this section must participate in program evaluations conducted by the State Department of Health Services pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 104350) of Chapter 1 of Part 3 of Division 103 of the Health and Safety Code. Notwithstanding Section 104380 of the Health and Safety Code, funds from the Local Health Department Tobacco Prevention Sub-Account shall be appropriated to local lead agencies based on each county’s proportion of the statewide population.

(5) Three and one-half percent (3.50%) shall be deposited in a Tobacco Education Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Tobacco Education Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Education to be used solely for programs to prevent or reduce the use of tobacco products as described in Section 104420 of the Health and Safety Code. Any program receiving funds pursuant to this section must participate in program evaluations conducted by the State Department of Health Services pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 104350) of Chapter 1 of Part 3 of Division 103 of the Health and Safety Code. Notwithstanding Section 104380 of the Health and Safety Code, funds from the Local Health Department Tobacco Prevention Sub-Account shall be appropriated to local lead agencies based on each county’s proportion of the statewide population.

(6) and one-fourths percent (2.25%) shall be deposited in the Tobacco Control Enforcement Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Tobacco Control Enforcement Sub-Account shall be used solely for programs to enforce tobacco-related statutes and policies, to enforce legal settlement provisions, and to conduct law enforcement training and technical assistance activities, and shall be appropriated as follows:

(A) Fifty percent (50%) of the funds in the Tobacco Control Enforcement Sub-Account is continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used to support programs, including, but not limited to: providing grants to local law enforcement agencies to provide training and funding for the enforcement of state and local tobacco-related laws and policies, including, but not limited to the illegal sales of tobacco to minors, tobacco retailer licensing and exposure to secondhand smoke; and increasing investigative activities, compliance checks and other appropriate activities to reduce illegal sales of tobacco products to minors under the Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act, pursuant to Section 22950 of the Health and Safety Code.

(B) Twenty-five percent (25%) of the funds in the Tobacco Control Enforcement Sub-Account is continuously appropriated to the California Office of the Attorney General to be used for activities including, but not limited to: enforcing laws that regulate the distribution and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products, such as laws that prohibit cigarette smuggling, counterfeiting, selling untaxed tobacco, selling tobacco without a proper license and selling tobacco to minors; enforcing tobacco-related disease, which includes chronic bronchitis and emphysema.
laws, court judgments, and settlements, such as the Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement and the Smokeless Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement, entered into on November 23, 1998, by the State of California and leading United States tobacco product manufacturers, including tracking tobacco industry advertising, marketing, and promotional activities in California, and bringing actions against violators; and assisting local law enforcement agencies in the enforcement of tobacco-related statutes and local ordinances through technical assistance and training activities.

(C) Twenty-five percent (25%) of the funds in the Tobacco Control Enforcement Sub-Account is continuously appropriated to the State Board of Equalization to be used to enforce laws that regulate the distribution and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products, such as laws that prohibit cigarette smuggling, counterfeiting, selling untaxed tobacco, and selling tobacco without a proper license.

(7) Eight percent (8%) shall be deposited in a Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used solely for breast and cervical cancer prevention and early detection services that result in the reduction of breast and cervical cancer morbidity and mortality in California. These early detection services shall be programs that includes a significant quality assurance and improvement component, including patient and provider education, community outreach, and program evaluation.

(8) Eight and one-half percent (8.50%) shall be deposited in a Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used solely for the California Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention Program provided for in Section 104142 of the Health and Safety Code. The intent of this program is to reduce the risk, disability and death from heart disease and stroke.

(9) Seven and three-fourths percent (7.75%) shall be deposited in an Obesity Prevention, Nutrition and Physical Activity Promotion Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Obesity Prevention, Nutrition and Physical Activity Promotion Sub-Account shall be appropriated as follows:

(A) Seventy percent (70%) shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to support programs and activities to be used solely to prevent obesity, diabetes, and chronic diseases through the promotion of community norm change, healthy eating, and physical activity. The department shall design, develop and enhance a comprehensive program that includes, but need not be limited to: media advertisements and public relations programs; competitive grants to community based organizations and agencies; grants to local health departments; research and evaluation of program effectiveness; and those provisions contained in Section 104650 of the Health and Safety Code.

(B) Thirty percent (30%) shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Education to be used solely to: design, develop, and support programs and activities to prevent obesity, diabetes and chronic diseases through the promotion of, and access to, healthy eating and physical activity for children and their families within the context of coordinated school health. Such programs and activities shall include but need not be limited to: promotion of, and access to, fruits, vegetables and other healthy foods; promotion of moderate and vigorous physical activity; promotion of health education and physical education; research, surveillance and evaluation of program effectiveness; professional development for teachers and other appropriate staff in health education and physical education; and monitoring local educational agencies’ compliance with state laws for nutrition and physical education.

(C) The State Department of Health Services, in consultation with the State Department of Education, shall establish an Oversight Committee composed of 13 members selected for their expertise in nutrition, physical activity and education, and related disciplines pertinent to the purposes of this Sub-Account. Membership shall include, but need not be limited to, representation from the following: health and education organizations, public health and local education agencies, advocacy groups, and health care providers.

The Oversight Committee shall advise the State Department of Health Services and the State Department of Education with respect to policy development and evaluation and provide guidance on strategic priorities, coordination, and collaboration among state agencies with regard to the programs funded by the Obesity Prevention and Nutrition and Physical Activity Promotion Sub-Account.

(10) Four and one-fourths percent (4.25%) shall be deposited in an Asthma Prevention and Control Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Asthma Prevention and Control Sub-Account shall be used solely to support asthma assessment, community intervention strategies, training and technical assistance, surveillance, evaluation of asthma prevention and control activities, translational research, research and development of effective interventions, and school-based asthma education, training and coordination activities. These funds shall be appropriated as follows:

(A) Sixty percent (60%) of the funds in the Asthma Prevention and Control Sub-Account is continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to fund programs and services including, but not limited to those described in Chapter 6.5 (commencing with Section 104361) of Part 1 of Division 103 of the Health and Safety Code, including community childhood asthma programs within the California Asthma Public Health Initiative and asthma surveillance within the Environmental Health Investigations Branch, and to support media advertisements, public relations and other public education activities. Areas in the state that have the highest asthma prevalence, and areas with low socioeconomic status populations shall receive priority consideration in the expenditure of these funds.

(B) Forty percent (40%) of the funds in the Asthma Prevention and Control Sub-Account is continuously appropriated to the State Department of Education to improve the management of asthma within the school setting. Funds shall be for activities and programs, including, but not limited to: statewide coordination of asthma programs and services; the development or purchase and dissemination of educational and training materials, delivery of asthma education and training to school personnel, and the reduction of asthma triggers in the indoor and outdoor school environment. Schools in areas of the state that have the highest asthma prevalence, schools serving low socioeconomic status students and school districts that do not have school nurses shall receive priority consideration in the expenditure of these funds.

(11) Four and one-fourths percent (4.25%) shall be deposited in a Colorectal Cancer Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Colorectal Cancer Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used solely for the Colorectal Cancer Prevention, Detection and Treatment Program described in Article 2.7 (commencing with Section 104195) of Chapter 2 of Part 1 of Division 103 of the Health and Safety Code. The intent of this program is to reduce the incidence, morbidity, and mortality due to colorectal cancer. This program shall include various public health components, including a significant quality assurance and improvement component, patient and provider education, community outreach, and program evaluation. No less than forty percent (40%) of the funds for this program shall be used for those non-clinical public health components.

(12) Forty-five and one-half percent (45.50%) of the Fund shall be deposited in the California Healthy Kids Sub-Account, which is hereby created to ensure that every child in California is eligible for comprehensive, affordable health insurance and has access to needed health care. All moneys in the California Healthy Kids Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the California Health and Human Services Agency only for implementation by the State Department of Health Services and the Managed Risk Medical Insurance Board of Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 12693.99) of Part 6.2 of Division 2 of the Insurance Code pursuant to the provisions and restrictions thereof. No less than ninety percent (90%) of the funds appropriated from this Sub-Account shall be used for implementation of Section 12693.99 of the Insurance Code.

(c) To the Health Treatment and Services Account, which is hereby created, fifty-two and three-fourths percent (52.75%), allocated to the following Sub-Accounts for the purposes stated therein:

(1) One and three-fourths percent (1.75%) shall be deposited in a Tobacco Cessation Services Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Tobacco Cessation Services Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used solely to provide tobacco cessation programs and services to assist adult and
minor tobacco users to quit tobacco. It is the intent of this Act that this appropriation supports programs and services including, but not limited to: (1) Prostate Cancer Prevention and Support, (2) tobacco cessation education and training, and (3) tobacco cessation products, and training and technical assistance activities.

(2) One and three-fourths percent (1.75%) shall be deposited in a Prostate Cancer Treatment Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Prostate Cancer Treatment Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be used solely to provide for prostate cancer prevention and treatment for low income and uninsured men.

(3) Five and three-fourths percent (5.75%) shall be deposited in the Community Clinics Uninsured Sub-Account, which is hereby created to fund nonprofit clinic corporations providing vital health care service to the uninsured in accordance with Article 6 (commencing with Section 1246) of Chapter 1 of Division 2 of the Health and Safety Code. All funds in the Community Clinics Uninsured Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services solely for implementation of Article 6 (commencing with Section 1246) of Chapter 1 of Division 2 of the Health and Safety Code.

(4)(f) Five and three-fourths percent (5.75%) to the Emergency Care Physician Services Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Emergency Care Physician Services Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be administered and distributed through the Indigents Program (CHIP), Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 16940) of Part 4.7 of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

(ii) Three-fourths percent (0.75%) to the Rural Emergency Care Physician Services Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Rural Emergency Care Physician Services Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to be administered and allocated for distribution through the Rural Health Services Program (RHSP), Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 16930) of Part 4.7 of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

(iii) Funds allocated to the Emergency Care Physician Services Sub-Account and Rural Emergency Care Physician Services Sub-Account shall be used only for reimbursement of physicians for losses incurred in providing uncompensated emergency services in general acute care hospitals providing basic, comprehensive, or standby emergency services, as defined in Section 16953 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. Funds shall be transferred annually by the Department to the Physician Services Accounts in the county Emergency Medical Services Fund established pursuant to Sections 16951 and 16952 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, and shall be paid only to physicians who directly provide emergency medical services to patients, based on claims submitted or a subsequent reconciliation of claims. Payments shall be made as provided in Sections 16951 to 16959, inclusive, of the Welfare and Institutions Code, and payments shall be made on an equitable basis, without preference to any particular physician or group of physicians. Funds allocated by this Section to counties that have not established an Emergency Medical Services Fund pursuant to Section 16951 shall be deposited into the Department of Health Services EMSA Contract Back Program, to be used only for the reimbursement of uncompensated emergency services, as defined in Section 16953, and payments made, based on claims submitted, in accordance with the procedures and policies established in Sections 16952 through 16959 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

(g) Three-fourths percent (0.75%) to the Medically Underserved Account created by Business and Professions Code section 25154.4. All funds in the Medically Underserved Account shall be continuously appropriated to the Medical Board of California to promote the practice of medicine in areas of the state underserved by physicians to low-income patients pursuant to the Steven M. Thompson Physician Corps Loan Repayment Program set forth in article 7.7 (commencing with Section 25154) of Chapter 5 of Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code.

(h) Nine percent (9%) to the Nursing Workforce Education Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Nursing Workforce Education Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development to be used solely to expand nursing education opportunities and capabilities to meet nursing workforce demands pursuant to Section 128225.5 of the Health and Safety Code. Expenditures from the Nursing Workforce Education Sub-Account shall be made according to the following formula:

(A) Eighty-six percent (86%) shall be used to support the expansion of California Board of Registered Nursing (“BRN”)-approved registered nurse education pre-licensure programs in the California Community Colleges, the California State University and the University of California, and to support the expansion of graduate nursing education programs (MSN, DNSc/Ph.D.), and to support California Advanced Practice Registered Nurse Programs at the California State University and the University of California.

(B) Fourteen percent (14%) shall be used to support the expansion of BRN-approved privately operated registered nurse education pre-licensure programs, the expansion of privately operated graduate nursing education programs (MSN, DNSc/Ph.D.), and to support the expansion of privately operated California Advanced Practice Registered Nurse Programs.

(7) Seventy-four and one-half percent (74.50%) to the Emergency and Trauma Hospital Services Sub-Account, which is hereby created. All funds in the Emergency and Trauma Hospital Services Sub-Account shall be continuously appropriated to the State Department of Health Services to further the provision of hospital and medical services to emergency patients in California pursuant to Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 1797.300) of Division 2.5 of the Health and Safety Code. 30132.4. All moneys allocated to and deposited in the specific Accounts and Sub-Accounts of the Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund shall be expanded as set forth pursuant to the requirements specific to each Account or Sub-Account as set forth in Section 30132.3. Notwithstanding Government Code Section 13340, any moneys allocated and appropriated to any of the Accounts or Sub-Accounts of the Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund that are not encumbered or expended within any applicable period prescribed by law shall, together with the accruing interest on the amount, revert to and remain in the same Account or Sub-Account for encumbrance and expenditure for the next fiscal period.

30132.5. (a) All moneys raised pursuant to the tax imposed by Section 30132.1, and all moneys raised by the resulting increase in the tax on tobacco products required by subdivision (b) of Section 30123, shall be appropriated and expended only for the purposes expressed in this Act. Funds appropriated pursuant to this Act shall be used only to supplement existing levels of service and not to supplant funding for existing levels of service. Funds may be used to match available state, federal, or local funds. Except as specified in subdivision (b), no moneys in the Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund shall be used to supplant state or local General Fund money for any purpose, including back-filling state or local General Fund obligations.

(b) In addition to the provisions of subdivision (a), all moneys raised pursuant to the tax imposed by Section 30132.1, and all moneys raised by the resulting increase in the tax on tobacco products required by subdivision (b) of Section 30123, shall not supplant the following:

(1) Local funds used to secure state or federal matching funds for any children’s health services, children’s health, or medical assistance programs, including but not limited to, the following: (A) Healthy Families, (B) Medi-Cal, whether full-scope or emergency or pregnancy-related care only, and (C) The Child Health and Disability Prevention Program; but not including funds generated by or expended from the California Children and Families Trust Fund (Division 108 (commencing with Section 130100) of the Health and Safety Code) or from the County Health Initiative Matching Fund (Part 6.4 (commencing with Section 12699.50) of Division 2 of the Insurance Code).

(2) State funds used to secure federal matching funds for any children’s health services, children’s health, or medical assistance programs, including but not limited to the following:

(A) Healthy Families,
(B) Medi-Cal, whether full-scope or pregnancy-related care only, and
(C) The Child Health and Disability Prevention Program; or

(3) State or federal funds to continue or maintain the amount, duration, scope and structure of benefits that existed as of September 30, 2005 for any children’s health services, children’s health, or medical assistance programs, including but not limited to the following:

(A) Healthy Families,
(B) Medi-Cal, whether full-scope or pregnancy-related care only, and
(C) The Child Health and Disability Prevention Program.

(c) It is the intent of the people of the State of California that the Tobacco Tax Act of 2006 shall, in accordance with the purposes and intent of this Act, maximize, and not reduce, federal matching funds made available to the State for children’s health coverage under Title XIX and/or Title XXI of the Social Security Act.

(d) No state or local government agency shall consider the revenue supporting emergency services to hospitals provided by this Act in its determination of the amount or rate of payment to hospitals on behalf of patients who are government-sponsored or the responsibility of a governmental agency or body.

30132.6. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, money deposited in the Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund may not be loaned to, or borrowed by, any other special fund or the General Fund, or a county general fund or any other county fund, for any purpose other than those authorized by the Tobacco Tax Act of 2006.

30132.7. Due to the necessity to rapidly and efficiently implement the mandates of the Tobacco Tax Act of 2006, any contract made pursuant to paragraphs (7) through (11) of subdivision (b), and paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) of Section 30132.3, shall not be subject to Part 2 (commencing with Section 10100) of the Public Contract Code for the first five full years after enactment.

30132.8. At least two percent (2%) of the money appropriated to the State Department of Health Services pursuant to paragraphs (1) through (4) and paragraph (6) of subdivision (b) of Section 30132.3, and paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 30132.3, shall be used solely for administration of the department’s tobacco control programs.

30132.9. Moneys in the Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund and any Account or Sub-Account therein, may be used to maximize federal matching funds, so long as all moneys are expended in a manner fully consistent with the Tobacco Tax Act of 2006.

30132.10. To provide full public accountability concerning the uses to which moneys in the Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund are put, and to ensure full compliance with the Tobacco Tax Act of 2006:

(a) Beginning with the first full fiscal year after the adoption of the Tobacco Tax Act of 2006, and annually thereafter, the State Department of Health Services shall prepare a report describing all programs that received Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund moneys in the previous fiscal year, and describing in detail the uses to which fund moneys were put during the previous fiscal year. This report shall be made available to the public on the department’s web site, no later than March 31.

(b) All programs and departments receiving moneys from the Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund are subject to audits by the Bureau of State Audits.

(c) No more than five percent (5%) of the funds appropriated to any Account or Sub-Account created by the Tobacco Tax Act of 2006 may be used for administration, unless a lower amount is specified elsewhere in this Act.

SEC. 4. Article 2.7 (commencing with Section 104195) is added to Chapter 2 of Part 1 of Division 103 of the Health and Safety Code, to read:

Article 2.7. Colorectal Cancer Prevention, Detection, and Treatment

104195. The Colorectal Cancer Prevention, Detection, and Treatment Program shall be established within the State Department of Health Services.

104195.1. The program shall apply to both of the following groups:

(a) Uninsured and underinsured persons 50 years of age and older with incomes at or below two hundred percent (200%) of the federal poverty level.

(b) Uninsured and underinsured persons below 50 years of age who are at high risk for colorectal cancer according to the most recently published colorectal cancer screening guidelines of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force and who have incomes at or below two hundred percent (200%) of the federal poverty level.

104195.2. Services provided under this Article shall include, but are not limited to, all of the following:

(a) Screening of men and women for colorectal cancer as an early detection health care measure, in accordance with the most recent cancer screening guidelines of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.

(b) After screening, medical referral of the screened person and services necessary for a definitive diagnosis.

(c) If a positive diagnosis is made, then assistance and advocacy shall be provided to help the person obtain necessary treatment.

(d) Necessary treatment in accordance with the most recent cancer treatment guidelines of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network.

(e) Outreach and health education activities to ensure that uninsured and underinsured persons are aware, and appropriately utilize, the services provided by the program.

104195.3. The department shall award one or more contracts to provide colorectal cancer screening and treatment through private or public nonprofit organizations, which may include, but shall not be limited to, community-based organizations, local health care providers, and the University of California medical centers.

SEC. 5. Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention Program

Section 104142 is added to Chapter 1 of Part 1 of Division 103 of the Health and Safety Code, to read:

104142. The California Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention Program (CHDSP) is hereby created in the State Department of Health Services. The CHDSP program that is hereby created is consistent with the existing CHDSP program within the department and shall not be duplicated by another cardiovascular disease (CVD) program.

(a) The CHDSP program shall do, but is not limited to, all of the following:

(1) Conduct programs to prevent and reduce risk factors for CVD including, but not limited to, high blood pressure, as provided for in Section 104100, and high cholesterol.

(2) Design, implement, and support programs to improve disease treatment and management, including quality of care for CVD.

(3) Promote and support medical professional development for the prevention and treatment of CVD.

(4) Collect, analyze, and publish data on CVD, which may include the establishment of a heart disease and stroke registry to track the incidence and prevalence of CVD.

(5) Guide the development of public health policies, including linkages with appropriate state agencies, to improve health outcomes from CVD.

(6) Conduct a statewide public education campaign that focuses on the incidence, signs, symptoms, and risk factor reduction strategies for CVD.

(b) The department shall consider, as a priority, the recommendations of the Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention and Treatment Task Force, as provided for in Section 104141.

(c) The department may authorize CVD research, including pilot demonstration projects.

(d) Nothing in this section shall duplicate other programs in the department.

SEC. 6. Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 12693.99) is added to Part 6.2 of Division 2 of the Insurance Code, to read:

Chapter 17. Children’s Health

12693.99. (a) To ensure that every child in California is eligible for comprehensive, affordable health insurance and has access to needed health care, all children described in subdivision (b) shall be eligible for the California Healthy Families Program (Part 6.2 (commencing with Section 12693) of Division 2 of the Insurance Code (hereinafter “Healthy Families”).

(b) All children under 19 years of age shall be eligible for the services and benefits provided under this Chapter, notwithstanding paragraph (4) of subdivision (a) of Section 12693.70 and Section 12693.73, if they meet all of the following:

(1) Are in families with countable household income up to and including 300 percent of the federal poverty level. In a family with annual or monthly household income greater than 300 percent of the federal poverty level, any income deduction that is applicable under Medi-Cal shall be applied in determining annual or monthly household income under this Section;

(2) Meet the state residency requirements of Healthy Families in
(a) of Section 12693.70.

(3) Are in compliance with Sections 12693.71 and 12693.72; and

(4) Are not eligible for Healthy Families, or for full-scope Medi-Cal (Chapters 7 and 8 respectively of Part 3 of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code) without a share of cost, under the eligibility rules in place as of September 30, 2005.

(c) The confidentiality and privacy protections set forth in Sections 12693.99, 12693.991, and 12693.992 of the Welfare and Institutions Code shall apply to all children seeking, applying for or enrolled in Healthy Families.

(d) Families of children enrolled in Healthy Families through this Chapter shall be required to contribute premiums equal to those required of families of children enrolled in Healthy Families not through this Chapter, subject to the following exceptions:

(1) Families of children up to and including 18 years of age who apply for or are enrolled in Healthy Families and whose countable household incomes are up to and including 100 percent of the federal poverty level shall not be required to contribute any premiums; families of children up to one year of age who apply for or are enrolled in Healthy Families and whose countable household incomes are up to and including 200 percent of the federal poverty level shall not be required to contribute any premiums; and families of children up to and including six years of age who apply for or are enrolled in Healthy Families and whose countable household incomes are up to and including 133 percent of the federal poverty level shall not be required to contribute any premiums.

(2) Families of children who are enrolled in Healthy Families whose countable household incomes are greater than 250 percent and up to and including 300 percent of the federal poverty level shall be required to contribute premiums at 150 percent of the premiums required for children who are enrolled in Healthy Families whose countable household incomes are greater than 200 percent and up to and including 250 percent of the federal poverty level. The same premium discounts available to children enrolled in Healthy Families whose families have countable incomes of 200 through 250 percent of the federal poverty level shall be available on the same terms to children enrolled in Healthy Families whose families’ countable incomes are greater than 250 percent of the federal poverty level.

(e) Less restrictive Healthy Families eligibility requirements than those established at subdivision (b) may be established by the Legislature at any time before or after adoption of this Section. If the Legislature adopts less restrictive eligibility criteria for Healthy Families at any time, such a change shall supersede the eligibility requirements of this Section. Nothing in this Section shall preclude a child from eligibility, for Healthy Families pursuant to this Chapter, and who is enrolled in a local CHI both as of enactment of this Chapter and as of September 30, 2005, as set forth in paragraph (5) of Section 12693.99, undertake a pilot research demonstration project to test effective strategies, and gather data about the impact of specific efforts, to increase coverage for uninsured children in families with incomes above 300 percent of the federal poverty level; and recommend to the Legislature strategies for increasing coverage for this population based upon the pilot research demonstration project results.

(6) In coordination with the Healthy Kids Oversight and Accountability Commission, design and implement a process for ensuring a smooth transition for local CHI enrollees to Healthy Families. The transition shall provide that any child who applies for and is determined eligible for Healthy Families pursuant to this Chapter, and is enrolled in a local CHI both as of enactment of this Chapter and as of his or her Healthy Families eligibility determination, shall be automatically rolled over into his or her existing local CHI health plan under Healthy Families, if the health plan is a participating plan in Healthy Families. For good cause or upon the child’s next annual renewal, a child may switch plans or otherwise remain in his or her existing plan. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to delay immediate implementation of this Chapter, including eligibility for Healthy Families.

(7) Maximize federal matching funds available for eligible children’s health insurance under Medi-Cal and Healthy Families and implement strategies that coordinate and integrate existing children’s health insurance programs to maximize available federal and state matching funds, such as matching funds available for emergency or pregnancy-related Medi-Cal benefits, for all eligible children.

(8) Take any additional steps necessary to ensure that from a child’s perspective, Medi-Cal and Healthy Families operate as a single program.

(c) The Healthy Kids Oversight and Accountability Commission is hereby established to guide the implementation and administration of this Chapter; advise the administering agencies on how best to provide affordable health insurance for all children; review financial audits of the children’s Medi-Cal and Healthy Families programs by the Bureau of State Audits; and identify inefficient practices or waste in the administration or operation of Healthy Families and Medi-Cal and direct anticipated savings back into providing health insurance for more children.

(1) The Commission shall consist of 15 members with expertise in children’s health, health insurance and health insurance programs, and shall include representatives from the following categories:

(4) Consumers;

(8) Consumer advocates, including representatives of specific child populations;

(2) Expedite and streamline enrollment by offering enrollment, which may be known as “express lane” or “gateway” enrollment, through entry points such as the National School Lunch Program, the California Supplemental Special Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children, the Food Stamp Program, and the Child Health and Disability Prevention Program or similar programs, by utilizing the enrollment information provided by families to these other programs, with families’ consent and ensuring confidentiality pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 12693.99 for all children seeking, applying for, and enrolled in Healthy Families or Medi-Cal; and by implementing an electronic gateway system to process that enrollment.

(3) Develop a plan to ensure that eligible, enrolled children do not experience a gap in benefits and to ensure continuity of medical care for children when renewing or transferring between Medi-Cal and Healthy Families, or from a local children’s health insurance program (hereinafter “local CHI”). The plan shall include simplifying renewal forms and renewal and transition processes.

(4) Facilitate outreach and education to current and potential beneficiaries, applicants, health care providers, and insurers.

(5) In coordination with the Healthy Kids Oversight and Accountability Commission, and while preserving confidentiality in accordance with subdivision (c) of Section 12693.99, undertake a pilot research demonstration project to test effective strategies, and gather data about the impact of specific efforts, to increase coverage for uninsured children in families with incomes above 300 percent of the federal poverty level; and recommend to the Legislature strategies for increasing coverage for this population based upon the pilot research demonstration project results.
(E) Other stakeholders, including but not limited to schools, business and organized labor, and county agencies.

(2) The Speaker of the Assembly, the Senate President Pro Tempore, and the Governor shall each appoint five commissioners such that each appoints one commissioner from each of the five categories.

(3) Members shall serve without compensation, but shall be reimbursed for all actual and necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.

(4) The term of each member shall be three years, to be staggered so that approximately one-third of the appointments expire in each year.

(5) In carrying out its duties and responsibilities, the Commission may do all of the following:

(A) Meet at least once each quarter at any time and location convenient to the public as it may deem appropriate. All meetings of the Commission shall be open to the public.

(B) Establish technical advisory committees such as a committee of parents and guardians.

(C) Advise the Governor and the Legislature regarding actions the state may take to improve access to, enrollment in, retention of, and use of health coverage for children and their families.

(D) Recommend strategies to increase the efficiency of Medi-Cal and Healthy Families, reduce paperwork requirements for benefit administration, and implement electronic gateways and other “express lanes” for increasing enrollment.

(E) Recommend strategies for transitioning children among and between local CHIs, Medi-Cal and Healthy Families.

(F) Recommend voluntary strategies with employers to maintain or increase employer-sponsored health coverage for employees' dependents under the age of 19 years.

(G) Provide guidance in the development of the pilot research demonstration project for pursuing affordable health insurance or assistance options for uninsured children whose families have incomes over 300 percent of the federal poverty level and, on the results of the pilot research projects, recommend to the Legislature strategies for increasing coverage for this population.

(H) Study the adequacy of the provider network and seek broad participation from traditional and safety-net providers by recommending strategies to ensure adequate provider reimbursement rates.

(I) Employ all other appropriate strategies necessary or convenient to enable it to fully and adequately perform its duties and exercise the powers expressly granted.

12693.992. (a) For the purposes specified in this Chapter and subject to Section 30132.5 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, funds appropriated from the California Healthy Kids Sub-Account established at paragraph (12) of subdivision (b) of Section 30132.3 of the Revenue and Taxation Code shall be used only for:

(1) The provision of children's health insurance, through Healthy Families, only for children defined in subdivision (b) of Section 12693.99;

and

(2) Implementation of those measures contained in Section 12693.991.

(b) Funds expended or transferred from the California Healthy Kids Sub-Account shall supplement and not supplant the following:

(1) Local funds used to secure state or federal matching funds for any children's health services, children's health, or medical assistance programs, including but not limited to the following: (A) Healthy Families; (B) Medi-Cal, whether full-scope or emergency or pregnancy-related care only; and (C) the Child Health and Disability Prevention Program; but not including funds generated by or expended from the California Children and Families Trust Fund (Division 108 (commencing at Section 130100) of the Health and Safety Code) or from the County Health Initiative Matching Fund Part 6.4 (commencing with Section 12699.50) of Division 2 of the Insurance Code.

(2) State funds used to secure federal matching funds for any children's health services, children's health, or medical assistance programs, including but not limited to the following:

(A) Healthy Families;

(B) Medi-Cal, whether full-scope or emergency or pregnancy-related care only; and

(3) State or federal funds to continue or maintain the amount, duration, scope and structure of benefits that existed as of September 30, 2005 for any children's health services, children's health, or medical assistance programs, including but not limited to the following:

(A) Healthy Families;

(B) Medi-Cal, whether full-scope or emergency or pregnancy-related care only; and

(C) The Child Health and Disability Prevention Program.

(3) State or federal funds to continue or maintain the amount, duration, scope and structure of benefits that existed as of September 30, 2005 for any children's health services, children's health, or medical assistance programs, including but not limited to the following:

(A) Healthy Families;

(B) Medi-Cal, whether full-scope or emergency or pregnancy-related care only; and

(C) The Child Health and Disability Prevention Program.

(4) The state may not increase a county's share of costs for children's health services unless the state includes adequate funding to fully compensate for such increased costs.

12693.993. (a) Nothing in this Chapter is intended to:

(1) Reduce or restrict any existing entitlement under Medi-Cal;

(2) Reduce or restrict the existing eligibility levels or the amount, duration, scope or structure of benefits in place as of September 30, 2005 under either Healthy Families or Medi-Cal;

(3) Create a new entitlement for children enrolled in Healthy Families;

(4) Preclude a child from eligibility for any other children's health insurance, medical service or medical assistance program, including but not limited to restricted Medi-Cal or Medi-Cal with a share of cost;

(5) Preclude a child from eligibility for Healthy Families or Medi-Cal if less restrictive eligibility criteria are enacted;

(6) Reduce or erode children's existing employer-sponsored health insurance coverage;

(7) Restrict any public appropriations or private contributions for the provision of children's health insurance through Medi-Cal or Healthy Families, such as federal financial match for state or county Medi-Cal funding; county, regional or local funding; private foundation grants, and family premium contributions;

(8) Prohibit eligibility for Medi-Cal or Healthy Families based on concurrent eligibility for a local CHI; nor

(9) Create or require creation of a new state department or agency.

(b) The State Department of Health Services and the Managed Risk Medical Insurance Board may explore and utilize any options available under federal law to allow the use of charitable or other funding by private and public not-for-profit organizations as a match for federal funds for use in the provision of coverage consistent with the provisions of this Chapter.

SEC. 7. Article 6 (commencing with Section 1246) is added to Chapter 1 of Division 2 of the Health and Safety Code, to read:

Article 6. Community Clinics

1246. (a) Funds in the Community Clinics Uninsured Sub-Account established at paragraph (3) of subdivision (c) of Section 30132.3 of the Revenue and Taxation Code shall be administered by the State Department of Health Services solely for the purposes of this Section. The department shall allocate the funds for eligible non-profit clinic corporations providing vital health care services, including services related to smoking cessation programs to assist smokers to quit smoking, and educational efforts related to tobacco prevention, to the uninsured. The funds shall be allocated by the Department pursuant to the provisions of this Section.

(b) Annually, commencing August 1, 2007, the Department shall allocate to each eligible non-profit clinic corporation a percentage of the balance present in the Community Clinics Uninsured Sub-Account as of July 1 of the year the allocations are made based on the formula provided for in subdivision (c) and subject to subdivision (d).

(c) Funds in the Community Clinics Uninsured Sub-Account shall be allocated only to eligible non-profit clinic corporations. Funds in the Community Clinics Uninsured Sub-Account shall be allocated to eligible non-profit clinic corporations on a percentage basis based on the total number of uninsured patient encounters.

(1) For purposes of this Section, an “eligible non-profit clinic corporation” shall meet both of the following requirements:

(A) The corporation shall consist of non-profit free and community
clinics licensed pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 1204 or of clinics operated by a federally recognized Indian tribe or tribal organization and exempt from licensure pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 1206.5.

(B) The corporation must provide at least 1,000 uninsured patient encounters based on data submitted to the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development pursuant to the reporting procedures established under Section 1216 for the year the allocations are made.

(2) The total number of uninsured patient encounters shall be based on data submitted by each eligible non-profit clinic corporation to the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development pursuant to the reporting procedures established under Section 1216, Beginning August 1, 2007 and every year thereafter, the allocations shall be made by the department based on data submitted by each eligible non-profit clinic corporation to the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development by February 15 of the year the allocations are made.

(3) For purposes of this Section, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (4), an uninsured patient encounter shall be defined as an encounter for which the patient has no public or private third party coverage. An uninsured patient encounter shall also include encounters involving patients in programs operated by counties pursuant to Part 4.7 (commencing with Section 16900) and Part 5 (commencing with Section 17000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. An uninsured patient encounter must consist of a primary and preventive health care service, including tobacco cessation and prevention services and specialty care services traditionally provided by comprehensive primary care providers.

(4) Each uninsured patient encounter shall count as one encounter, except that the encounters involving patients in programs operated pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (aa) of Section 14132 and Division 24 (commencing with Section 24000) of the Welfare and Institutions Code, and pursuant to Article 6 (commencing with Section 120025) of Chapter 3 of Part 2 of Division 106 of the Health and Safety Code shall count as 0.15 encounter for purposes of determining the total number of uninsured patient encounters for each eligible non-profit clinic corporation.

(5) The Department shall compute each eligible non-profit clinic corporation’s percentage of the total uninsured patient encounters for all eligible non-profit clinic corporations. The Department shall then apply these percentages to the available funds in the Sub-Account to compute a preliminary allocation amount for each eligible non-profit clinic corporation. Final allocation amounts will be created pursuant to paragraph (6).

(6) Final allocation amounts shall be determined as follows:

(A) If the preliminary allocation for an eligible non-profit clinic corporation is equal to or less than twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000), the allocation for that eligible non-profit corporation shall be twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000).

(B) For all eligible non-profit clinic corporations with preliminary allocations of more than twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000), the Department shall compute each such eligible non-profit clinic corporation’s percentage of the total uninsured patient encounters and apply the percentage to the remaining funds available to determine the final allocation amount for each such eligible non-profit clinic corporation, subject to subparagraph (c).

(C) No eligible non-profit clinic corporation shall receive an allocation in excess of two percent (2%) of the total monies distributed to all eligible non-profit clinic corporations in that year. Allocations that are subject to the two percent (2%) limit shall be reallocated to those other eligible non-profit clinic corporations receiving allocations under subparagraph (B) utilizing the methodology in paragraphs (3) and (4), but provided that reallocations shall not make any final allocation surpass the two percent (2%) limit.

(d) The Department shall compute each eligible non-profit clinic corporation’s percentage of the total uninsured patient encounters for all eligible non-profit clinic corporations. The Department shall then apply these percentages to the available funds in the Sub-Account to compute a preliminary allocation amount for each eligible non-profit clinic corporation. Final allocation amounts will be created pursuant to paragraph (6).

(6) Final allocation amounts shall be determined as follows:

(A) If the preliminary allocation for an eligible non-profit clinic corporation is equal to or less than twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000), the allocation for that eligible non-profit corporation shall be twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000).

(B) For all eligible non-profit clinic corporations with preliminary allocations of more than twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000), the Department shall compute each such eligible non-profit clinic corporation’s percentage of the total uninsured patient encounters and apply the percentage to the remaining funds available to determine the final allocation amount for each such eligible non-profit clinic corporation, subject to subparagraph (c).

(C) No eligible non-profit clinic corporation shall receive an allocation in excess of two percent (2%) of the total monies distributed to all eligible non-profit clinic corporations in that year. Allocations that are subject to the two percent (2%) limit shall be reallocated to those other eligible non-profit clinic corporations receiving allocations under subparagraph (B) utilizing the methodology in paragraphs (3) and (4), but provided that reallocations shall not make any final allocation surpass the two percent (2%) limit.

(d) The Department shall compute each eligible non-profit clinic corporation’s percentage of the total uninsured patient encounters for all eligible non-profit clinic corporations. The Department shall then apply these percentages to the available funds in the Sub-Account to compute a preliminary allocation amount for each eligible non-profit clinic corporation. Final allocation amounts will be created pursuant to paragraph (6).

(6) Final allocation amounts shall be determined as follows:

(A) If the preliminary allocation for an eligible non-profit clinic corporation is equal to or less than twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000), the allocation for that eligible non-profit corporation shall be twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000).

(B) For all eligible non-profit clinic corporations with preliminary allocations of more than twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000), the Department shall compute each such eligible non-profit clinic corporation’s percentage of the total uninsured patient encounters and apply the percentage to the remaining funds available to determine the final allocation amount for each such eligible non-profit clinic corporation, subject to subparagraph (c).

(C) No eligible non-profit clinic corporation shall receive an allocation in excess of two percent (2%) of the total monies distributed to all eligible non-profit clinic corporations in that year. Allocations that are subject to the two percent (2%) limit shall be reallocated to those other eligible non-profit clinic corporations receiving allocations under subparagraph (B) utilizing the methodology in paragraphs (3) and (4), but provided that reallocations shall not make any final allocation surpass the two percent (2%) limit.

(d) The Department shall compute each eligible non-profit clinic corporation’s percentage of the total uninsured patient encounters for all eligible non-profit clinic corporations. The Department shall then apply these percentages to the available funds in the Sub-Account to compute a preliminary allocation amount for each eligible non-profit clinic corporation. Final allocation amounts will be created pursuant to paragraph (6).

(6) Final allocation amounts shall be determined as follows:

(A) If the preliminary allocation for an eligible non-profit clinic corporation is equal to or less than twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000), the allocation for that eligible non-profit corporation shall be twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000).

(B) For all eligible non-profit clinic corporations with preliminary allocations of more than twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000), the Department shall compute each such eligible non-profit clinic corporation’s percentage of the total uninsured patient encounters and apply the percentage to the remaining funds available to determine the final allocation amount for each such eligible non-profit clinic corporation, subject to subparagraph (c).

(C) No eligible non-profit clinic corporation shall receive an allocation in excess of two percent (2%) of the total monies distributed to all eligible non-profit clinic corporations in that year. Allocations that are subject to the two percent (2%) limit shall be reallocated to those other eligible non-profit clinic corporations receiving allocations under subparagraph (B) utilizing the methodology in paragraphs (3) and (4), but provided that reallocations shall not make any final allocation surpass the two percent (2%) limit.

(d) The Department shall compute each eligible non-profit clinic corporation’s percentage of the total uninsured patient encounters for all eligible non-profit clinic corporations. The Department shall then apply these percentages to the available funds in the Sub-Account to compute a preliminary allocation amount for each eligible non-profit clinic corporation. Final allocation amounts will be created pursuant to paragraph (6).

(6) Final allocation amounts shall be determined as follows:

(A) If the preliminary allocation for an eligible non-profit clinic corporation is equal to or less than twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000), the allocation for that eligible non-profit corporation shall be twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000).

(B) For all eligible non-profit clinic corporations with preliminary allocations of more than twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000), the Department shall compute each such eligible non-profit clinic corporation’s percentage of the total uninsured patient encounters and apply the percentage to the remaining funds available to determine the final allocation amount for each such eligible non-profit clinic corporation, subject to subparagraph (c).

(C) No eligible non-profit clinic corporation shall receive an allocation in excess of two percent (2%) of the total monies distributed to all eligible non-profit clinic corporations in that year. Allocations that are subject to the two percent (2%) limit shall be reallocated to those other eligible non-profit clinic corporations receiving allocations under subparagraph (B) utilizing the methodology in paragraphs (3) and (4), but provided that reallocations shall not make any final allocation surpass the two percent (2%) limit.

(d) The Department shall compute each eligible non-profit clinic corporation’s percentage of the total uninsured patient encounters for all eligible non-profit clinic corporations. The Department shall then apply these percentages to the available funds in the Sub-Account to compute a preliminary allocation amount for each eligible non-profit clinic corporation. Final allocation amounts will be created pursuant to paragraph (6).
30 days from the date the notice is received to provide the department with correct, complete and legible information. Such corrected or supplemental information shall be used by the department to make the calculation required by subdivision (a), but shall be subject to audit under subdivision (f). A hospital that does not provide sufficient legible information to establish that it qualifies as an eligible hospital or to allow the department to make the calculation required under subdivision (a) shall not be an eligible hospital.

(e) The department may enter into an agreement with the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development or another state agency or private party to assist it in analyzing information reported by eligible hospitals and making the hospital funding allocation calculations as provided under this Chapter.

(f) To ensure that the funds received by hospitals are utilized for the purpose specified in this Article, the department shall audit the use by eligible hospitals of any funds received pursuant to Section 1797.304, and the accuracy of data on emergency department patient encounters and other information any hospital reports under this Article, as follows: the department shall randomly select twenty percent (20%) of all eligible hospitals each year for audit of the information they submit. Additionally, the department may conduct a field audit of the use of funds or information submitted by any hospital. If the department determines upon audit that any funds received were improperly used, or that inaccurate data were reported by the eligible hospital resulted in an allocation of excess funds to the eligible hospital, the department shall recover any excess amounts allocated to, or any funds improperly used by, the eligible hospital. The department may impose a fine of not more than twenty-five percent (25%) of any funds received by the eligible hospital that were improperly used, or the department may impose a fine of not more than two times any amounts improperly used or received by the eligible hospital if it finds such amounts were the result of gross negligence or intentional misconduct in reporting data or improperly using allocated funds under this Article on the part of the hospital. Any fines imposed by the department shall be stayed if appealed by the hospital pursuant to subdivision (g) until judgment by a court of final jurisdiction. In no event shall a hospital be subject to multiple penalties for both improperly using and receiving the same funds.

(g)(1) A licensed hospital owner shall have the right to appeal the imposition of any fine by the department, or a determination by the department that its hospital is not an eligible hospital, for any reason, or an alleged computational or typographical error by the department resulting in an incorrect allocation of funds to its hospital under Section 1797.304. A hospital shall not be entitled to be reclassified as an eligible hospital or to have an increase in funds received under this Chapter based upon subsequent corrections to its own final reporting of incorrect data used to determine funding allocations under this Article.

(2) Any such appeal shall be heard before an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings. The hearing shall be held in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code. The decision of the administrative law judge shall be in writing; shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law; shall be final; and shall be subject to appeal as provided by Section 11523 of the Government Code. The decision of the administrative law judge shall be made within 60 days after the conclusion of the hearing and shall be effective upon filing and service upon the petitioner.

(3) The appeal rights of hospitals under this subdivision (g) shall not be interpreted to preclude any other legal or equitable relief that may be available.

(h) Any fines or other recoveries collected by the department shall be deposited in the Emergency and Trauma Hospital Services Sub-Account within the Tobacco Tax Fund for allocation to eligible hospitals in accordance with the provisions of Section 1797.304. Such funds shall not be used for administrative costs, and shall be supplemental to, and shall not supplant, any other funds available to be allocated from such Sub-Account to eligible hospitals.

(i) In the event it is determined, upon a final adjudicatory decision that is no longer subject to appeal, that a hospital has been incorrectly determined to not qualify as an eligible hospital, or was allocated an amount less than the amount to which it was entitled under Section 1797.304, the department shall, from the next allocation of funds to hospitals under Section 1797.304, allocate to such hospital the additional amount to which it is entitled, and reduce the allocation to all other eligible hospitals pro rata.

1797.302. (a) Each hospital seeking designation as an eligible hospital shall submit the following information to the department by no later than February 15 of each year; commencing the first February 15 following the operative date of this Act:

1. The number of emergency department encounters that took place in the hospital’s emergency department during the preceding calendar year;
2. The total amount of charity care costs of the hospital for the preceding calendar year;
3. The total amount of bad-debt costs of the hospital for the preceding calendar year;
4. The total amount of county indigent program effort costs of the hospital for the preceding calendar year;
5. If requested, a photocopy of the hospital’s operating license from the State Department of Health Services or equivalent documentation establishing that it operates a licensed emergency department;
6. A declaration of commitment to provide emergency services and training as required by subdivision (a) of Section 1797.303.

(b) Both pediatric and adult patients shall be included in the data submitted. The accuracy of the data shall be attested to in writing by an authorized senior hospital official. No other data or information shall be required by the department to be reported by eligible hospitals for purposes of this Chapter.

(c) Each hospital seeking status as an eligible hospital under this Chapter that receives a preponderance of its revenue from a single associated comprehensive group practice prepaid health care service plan shall report information required by this section for all patients, and not just for patients who are not enrolled in an associated health care service plan.

1797.303. (a) An eligible hospital shall, throughout each calendar quarter in which it receives an allocation pursuant to Section 1797.304:

1. Maintain an operational emergency department available within its capabilities and licensure to provide emergency care and treatment, as required by law, to any pediatric or adult member of the public who has an emergency medical condition.

2. Do all of the following:
3. Participate in a minimum of two disaster-training exercises annually;
4. Provide training and information as appropriate to the hospital’s medical staff, nurses, technicians and administrative personnel regarding the identification, management, and reporting of emergency medical conditions and communicable diseases, as well as triage procedures in cases of mass casualties;
5. Collaborate with state and local emergency medical services agencies and public health authorities in establishing communications procedures in preparation for and during a disaster situation; and
6. Establish and maintain an emergency and disaster management plan. This plan shall include response preparations to care for victims of terrorist attacks and other disasters. The plan shall be made available by the hospital for public inspection.

(b) It is the policy of the state to encourage hospitals to work cooperatively to develop regional plans for assuring maximum availability of emergency services to all patients, and to share equitably in the provision of emergency services to uninsured and low income underinsured patients in achieving such maximum availability of emergency services.

1. Each hospital receiving funds under this Chapter that operates a basic or comprehensive licensed emergency department may participate in the development of a regional or other local plan for equitably sharing responsibility for providing emergency services to uninsured and low-income underinsured patients arriving at the hospital via ambulance. Any such plan may be developed under the auspices of a hospital association or through other cooperative arrangements, and shall be submitted to the county or other local emergency services authority for approval and continuing oversight of implementation.

2. Each hospital receiving funds under this Chapter may work cooperatively with one or more other hospitals to develop a plan for providing maximum coverage of specialty medical services. Any such plan may include such items as coordinated coverage of particular medical
specialty services; alternate coverage of particular medical specialty services; and joint programs for the payment of coverage fees to physician specialty practice groups for off-call coverage. No on-call contracting shall be to the extent that any hospital or hospitals work cooperatively in developing and implementing the plans for providing emergency services described in this Section, the people intend that such hospital or hospitals shall not for no reason under federal or state antitrust or other competition laws prohibiting combinations in restraint of trade, including, without limitation, the provisions of Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 16700) of Part 2 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code.

(c) Any funds received by an eligible hospital under this Article shall not be used in the determination of uncompensated costs for the purpose of the limitation on payment adjustments described in Section 1923.1g of the Social Security Act and any provision of state law which incorporates such limitation, to the extent consistent with federal law.

1797.304. (a) Funds deposited in the Emergency and Trauma Hospital Services Sub-Account, together with all interest and investment income earned thereon, shall be continuously appropriated without regard to fiscal years and administered by the state Department of Health Services. The department shall allocate the funds solely to eligible hospitals as provided by this Article.

(b) Quarterly, commencing June 30 following the operative date of this Chapter, the department shall allocate to each eligible hospital a percentage of the balance of the Hospital Sub-Account equal to such hospital’s funding percentage, as determined by the department pursuant to Section 1797.301, except as follows:

(1) The annual aggregate allocation to all hospitals that receive a preponderance of their revenue from the same associated comprehensive group practice prepayment health care service plan shall not exceed forty million dollars ($40,000,000.00) during any calendar year, and the department shall reduce the quarterly allocation to each such hospital proportionately, if and to the extent necessary, to contain the aggregate allocation to all such hospitals within any calendar year to a maximum of forty million dollars ($40,000,000.00). The maximum annual aggregate allocation shall be applied by the department in increments of no more than ten million dollars ($10,000,000.00) to each of the first three quarterly distributions of each calendar year, but no specific portion of the limit on maximum aggregate annual distribution provided by this subsection shall apply to other quarterly distributions to such hospitals.

(2) The maximum aggregate annual allocation of forty million dollars ($40,000,000.00) to all hospitals that receive a preponderance of their revenue from the same associated comprehensive group practice prepayment health care service plan set forth in paragraph (1) above shall be increased upward or downward annually, together with corresponding changes in any quarterly limits, commencing on January 1, 2009, by the same percentage increase or decrease in the aggregate amount deposited in the Hospital Sub-Account for the immediately prior calendar year against the aggregate amount deposited in the Hospital Sub-Account during the 2007 calendar year. Any adjustment that increases or decreases the maximum aggregate annual allocation to such hospitals shall be applied only to the then current calendar year.

(3) After making the adjustments to the maximum aggregate annual allocation to hospitals that receive a preponderance of their revenue from the same associated comprehensive group practice prepayment health care service plan provided as provided by paragraph (2) above, the department shall further adjust such maximum aggregate annual allocation by increasing or decreasing it by a percentage factor equal to the percentage increase or decrease in the aggregate funding percentage by all hospitals receiving a preponderance of their revenue from the same associated comprehensive group practice prepayment health care service plan in the 2007 calendar year against the aggregate funding percentage of all hospitals associated with the same health care service plan for the most recent calendar year.

(4) After making the adjustments to the allocation of funds as provided by paragraphs (1) through (3) above, the department shall allocate any funds remaining in the Hospital Sub-Account to hospitals that do not receive a preponderance of their revenue from the same associated comprehensive group practice prepayment health care service plan pro rata based upon their respective funding percentages.

(c) Prior to each allocation under subdivision (b), the actual costs of the department (including any costs to the department resulting from the charges under Section 1527 of the Government Code) for administering the provisions of this Chapter shall be reimbursed from the Hospital Sub-Account. The aggregate funds withdrawn for all administrative costs under this subdivision shall not exceed one half of one percent (0.5%) of the total amounts deposited in the Hospital Sub-Account (not including any fines collected under subdivision (b) of Section 1797.301) during the prior quarter.

(d) An eligible hospital shall use the funds received under this Section only to further the provision of emergency services by such means as payment for the unreimbursed cost of providing emergency services and improving or expanding emergency services, facilities, or equipment. Such funds may not be used to pay for more than the hospital’s unreimbursed costs of providing emergency services, and no funds may be used to pay the hospital for providing emergency services where it receives payment for providing such services and has agreed to accept such payment as payment in full. No funds may be used for the compensation of hospital management executives, except for personnel who work full time in hospital emergency departments. No funds may be used for equipment or capital improvements not directly related to the improvement of hospital emergency department facilities or critical care units. An eligible hospital owned by a public entity may use funds it receives under this Chapter to secure federal matching funds under the Medi-Cal program, or any other federal or state health program that includes coverage of emergency services and reduces the burden of providing uncompensated emergency services by hospitals and physicians.

(e)(1) A hospital may not utilize funds received under this Article to supplement payments physicians receive for services to patients enrolled in the Medicare or Medi-Cal programs, but may use such funds to provide payments to physicians for on-call coverage of emergency services to all patients, including those enrolled in the Medicare or Medi-Cal programs, as provided by subparagraph (2) below. Such payments to physicians for on-call coverage shall not be considered payments for services.

(2) A hospital, in its sole discretion, may utilize funds it receives under this Chapter to provide compensation to a physician that is fair and reasonable for providing on-call coverage of emergency services only if the governing board of the hospital makes the following findings:

(A) The amount or rate of payment is reasonable and necessary for the hospital to maintain coverage of medical services to care for patients entering the hospital through the emergency department, or patients who have emergent conditions requiring the services of on-call physicians while in the hospital; and

(B) The method and amount of compensation to any physician or physicians is in compliance with applicable law.

(3) The governing board of a hospital, in its sole discretion, prior to entering into an agreement to compensate one or more physicians for on-call coverage of emergency services may obtain the opinion of an independent financial analyst with expertise in the hospital industry that the proposed amount or rate of payment to compensate physicians under the proposed agreement is fair and reasonable under the circumstances. If a hospital governing board elects to obtain such an opinion, it shall notify the department in writing, and the department shall, within ten days of receiving the hospital’s written request, provide the hospital with the names of three independent financial analysts (which may be individuals or firms) from a list of such independent financial analysts qualified to issue such an opinion it establishes and maintains. The hospital shall provide the list of the independent financial analysts it receives from the department to the Executive Committee of the hospital’s organized medical staff, and the medical staff Executive Committee shall have fifteen (15) days to review the list and make a peremptory challenge of one of the independent financial analysts by notifying the hospital’s governing board in writing. The hospital governing board may make a peremptory challenge to one of the independent financial analysts. If two of the three independent financial analysts are subject to a peremptory challenge, the hospital governing board may retain only the remaining independent financial analyst. If more than one independent financial analyst is not subject to a peremptory challenge, the hospital shall notify the department, and the department shall select one of the remaining independent financial analysts by lottery. In such event, the hospital may retain only the independent financial analyst selected by lottery, unless the
governing board of the hospital and the medical staff Executive Committee agree upon the retention by the hospital of one of the other independent financial analysts on the list of the three financial analysts provided to the hospital by the department. The selected independent financial analyst may charge the hospital a reasonable fee to issue a written opinion to the hospital governing board as to whether the proposed amount or rate of payment is fair and reasonable under the circumstances. In the event such independent financial analyst opines that the proposed amount or rate of payment is not fair and reasonable, upon request, the independent financial analyst may describe a range of payment amounts and rates that are fair and reasonable, under the circumstances, for the hospital to pay various types of physicians for on-call coverage. The hospital may not pay an amount or rate for on-call coverage of emergency services by a physician that is higher than any amount or rate determined to be fair and reasonable by the opinion of such independent financial analyst, nor shall the hospital pay less than its highest written offer to the physician or physicians that is fair and reasonable.

(4) A hospital may compensate a physician for providing on-call emergency services coverage only through a written agreement.

(5) The requirements of this subdivision relate only to the use of funds eligible hospitals received under this Article, and do not apply to the use of other funds by hospitals to pay for on-call coverage of emergency services by physicians.

(f) Nothing in this Chapter shall be construed to prevent a hospital, in its sole discretion, from providing reasonable compensation to a physician for providing emergency physician staffing for the emergency department in a manner consistent with the Medical Practice Act, Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 2000) of Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code.

(g) The hospital governing board, in consultation with the hospital’s medical staff, shall ensure the appropriate coverage of medical services within its capabilities to meet the emergency services needs of its patients as required by law.

1797.305. The following definitions shall apply to terms utilized in this Chapter:

(a) “Bad-debt cost” means the aggregate amount of accounts and notes receivable accounted for during a calendar year by an eligible hospital as credit losses, using any method generally accepted for estimating such amounts on the date this Act became effective, based on patients’ unwillingness to pay, and multiplied by the eligible hospital’s cost-to-charges ratio.

(b) “County indigent program effort cost” means the amount of care during a calendar year by an eligible hospital, expressed in dollars and based upon the hospital’s full established rates, provided to indigent patients for whom a county is responsible, whether the hospital is a county hospital or a county hospital providing services to indigent patients under arrangements with a county, multiplied by the eligible hospital’s cost-to-charges ratio.

(c) “Charity care” means that portion of care provided to a patient for which a third party payer is not responsible and the patient is unable to pay, and for which the hospital has no expectation of payment.

(d) “Charity-care cost” means amounts actually written off, using any method generally accepted for determining such amounts on the date this Act became effective, by an eligible hospital during a calendar year for that portion of care provided to a patient for whom a third party payer is not responsible and the patient is unable to pay, multiplied by the hospital’s cost-to-charges ratio.

(e) “Charity care policy” means a policy adopted by the hospital establishing eligibility criteria for charity care services provided by the hospital.

(f) “Cost-to-charges ratio” means a ratio determined by dividing an eligible hospital’s operating expenses less other operating revenue by gross patient revenue for its most recent reporting period.

(g) “Operating expenses” means the total expenses incurred for providing patient care by the hospital. Operating expenses include (without limitation) salaries and wages, employee benefits, professional fees, supplies, purchased services on the list of paragraphs (a) to (e) inclusive, depreciation, other than that provided by a charity care service program, and other costs for providing such services, where the hospital has not agreed to accept the payment it receives as payment in full.

(h) “Other operating revenue” means revenue generated by health care operations from non-patient care services to patients and others.

(i) “Gross patient revenue” means the total charges at the hospital’s full established rates for the provision of patient care services and includes charges related to hospital-based physician professional services.

(j) “Eligible hospital” or “hospital” means a hospital licensed to a public or private entity or person under subdivision (a) of Section 1250 of the Health and Safety Code, including without limitation, any hospital licensed to any county, city, hospital district, or the Regents of the University of California (but not including any hospital licensed to a department of the State of California, or to the federal government) which either operates an emergency department or is a county hospital as defined in Section 10727 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

(k) “Emergency department encounter” or “emergency department visit” means a face-to-face contact between a patient and the provider who has primary responsibility for assessing and treating the patient in an emergency department and exercises independent judgment in the care of the patient. An emergency department encounter or visit is counted for each patient of the emergency department, regardless of whether the patient is admitted as an inpatient or treated and released as an outpatient. An emergency department encounter or visit shall not be counted where the patient received triage services only.

(l) “Emergency services” or “hospital emergency services” means all services provided to patients in a hospital emergency department and all other patient services related to treatment of an emergent medical condition in any department or unit of a hospital, including, without limitation, any procedures necessary to avoid loss of life, serious disability, or severe pain until the patient has been stabilized and transferred to another health facility or discharged.

(m) “Office” means the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development.

(n) “Department” means the state Department of Health Services.

(o) “Funding percentage” means the sum of (1) an eligible hospital’s percentage of hospital emergency care (as defined in subdivision (s) below) multiplied by a factor of .50, added to (2) such hospital’s percentage of effort (as defined in subdivision (p) below) multiplied by a factor of .50, the sum to be expressed as a percentage.

(p) “Hospital Sub-Account” or “Emergency and Trauma Hospital Services Sub-Account” means the Emergency and Trauma Hospital Services Sub-Account of the Tobacco Tax Fund established pursuant to paragraph (7) of subdivision (c) of Section 30132.3 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

(q) “Tobacco Tax Fund” means the Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund established pursuant to Section 30132 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

(r) “Percentage of effort” means the sum of the total amount of charity care cost plus that hospital’s total amount of bad-debt cost plus that hospital’s county indigent program effort cost, as a percentage of the sum of the total amount of charity care cost plus the total amount of bad-debt cost plus the total county indigent program effort cost reported in final form to the department by all eligible hospitals for the same calendar year.

(s) “Percentage of hospital emergency care” means an eligible hospital’s total emergency department encounters for the most recent calendar year for which such data has been reported to the department in final form, as a percentage of all emergency department encounters reported in final form by all eligible hospitals for the same calendar year. In the case of a children’s hospital that does not operate an emergency department and provides emergency treatment to a patient under twenty-one years of age under arrangements with an emergency department of a hospital that is: (1) located within 1,000 yards of the children’s hospital; and (2) is either (A) under common ownership or control with the children’s hospital, or (B) has contracted with the children’s hospital to provide emergency services to its patients and the emergency services to such patient shall receive credit for the emergency department encounter, and not the hospital operating the emergency department.

(t) “Unreimbursed cost of providing emergency service” means the difference between the hospital’s cost of providing emergency services, determined by multiplying its gross patient charges for providing such service by its cost-to-charges ratio, and the amount it actually receives for providing such services, where the hospital has not agreed to accept the payment it receives as payment in full.
(a) "Physician" means a physician and surgeon licensed under the Medical Practice Act, Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 2300) of Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code.

1797.306. A hospital receiving funds under this Chapter shall maintain a written record of its use of all such funds, which shall be available to the department upon request, and available for inspection upon written request by the public. A hospital shall return to the department any funds it receives under this Chapter that it does not use for the purposes specified within one year of receipt or, in the case of a capital project, are not completed within two years of receipt by the governing board for a specific use. Any unused funds returned to the department shall be deposited in the Emergency and Trauma Hospital Services Sub-Account within the Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund for allocation to eligible hospitals in accordance with the provisions of Section 1797.304.

1797.307. The department may promulgate and adopt regulations to implement, interpret and make specific the provisions of this Article pursuant to the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act as set forth in Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code. The department shall have no authority to promulgate quasi-legislative rules, or to adopt any rule, guideline, criterion, manual, order, standard, policy, procedure or interpretation that is inconsistent with the provisions of this Chapter. This Section shall not be interpreted to allow the department to adopt regulations (as defined by Section 11342.600 of the Government Code) in contravention of Section 11340.5 of the Government Code.

1797.308. No hospital may receive funds under this Chapter unless it complies with the provisions of Article 2 (commencing with Section 1797.309), relating to financial assistance to certain low-income patients.

Article 2. Hospital Charity Care and Financial Assistance Policies

1797.309. For purposes of this Article the following definitions shall apply:
(a) "Allocation" means an allocation of funds received by a hospital under Article 1 (commencing with Section 1797.300) of this Chapter.
(b) "Discounted payment" means the payment amount after application of a discount from its full charges for services offered by a hospital to patients who have no or inadequate insurance and qualify under the hospital’s discount payment policy.
(c) "Discount payment policy" means a policy adopted by the hospital establishing eligibility criteria for receiving services for a discounted payment.
(d) "Federal poverty level" means the most recent poverty guidelines periodically adopted by the federal Department of Health and Human Services for determining financial eligibility for participation in various programs based upon family size as applicable to California.
(e) "Hospital" means an "eligible hospital," as defined by subdivision (g) of Section 1797.305.
(f) "Indigent" means a patient's family's income. Reasonable and necessary information on monetary assets may include account numbers for all monetary assets, but shall not include statements on retirement or deferred compensation plans qualified under the Internal Revenue Code, or non-qualified deferred compensation plans. Hospitals may require waivers or releases from the patient, or the patient’s family, authorizing the hospital to obtain account information from the financial or commercial institutions, or other entities that hold or maintain the monetary assets to verify their value.
(g) Eligibility for charity care and discounted payments may be determined at any time the hospital is in receipt of all the information needed to determine the patient’s eligibility under its applicable policies.
(h) In determining a patient’s eligibility for financial assistance, a hospital shall assist the patient in determining if he or she is eligible for government-sponsored programs.

1797.311. (a) Each hospital shall post notices regarding the availability of its discount payment policy and charity care policy. These notices shall be posted at all visible locations throughout the hospital, including, but not limited to, patient admissions and registration, the billing office, the emergency department and other outpatient settings.
(b) Every posted notice regarding financial assistance policies shall contain brief instructions on how to apply for charity care or a discounted payment. Each notice shall include a contact telephone number that a patient or family member can call to obtain more information.
(c) A hospital shall train appropriate staff members about the hospital’s discount payment policy. Training shall be provided to all staff members who directly interact with patients regarding their hospital bills.
(d) Each hospital shall make its charity care and discount payment policies available to appropriate community health and human services agencies and other organizations that assist low-income patients.

1797.312. (a) Each hospital shall have a written policy about when and under whose authority patient debt is advanced for collection, and shall use its best efforts to ensure that patient accounts are processed fairly and consistently.
(b) Each hospital shall establish a written policy defining standards and practices for the collection of debt, and shall obtain a written agreement from any agency that collects hospital receivables that it will adhere to the hospital’s standards and scope of practices. In determining the amount of a debt a hospital may seek to recover from patients eligible under the hospital’s charity care policy or discount payment policy, the hospital may consider only income and monetary assets as limited by subdivision (b) of Section 1797.310.
(c) At time of billing, each hospital shall provide to all low-income and uninsured patients, as the same are defined in policies adopted by the hospital, a statement of eligibility for charity care and discounted payment, the same information concerning services and charges provided to all other patients who receive care at the hospital.

(d) When sending a bill to a patient, each hospital shall include:

(1) a statement that indicates that the patient meets certain low-income requirements the patient may be eligible for a government-sponsored program or for financial assistance from the hospital; and

(2) a statement that provides the patient with the name and telephone number of a hospital employee or office from whom or which the patient may obtain information about the hospital’s financial assistance policies for patients and how to apply for such assistance.

(e) For patients who have filed an application pending for either government-sponsored coverage or for eligibility under the hospital’s own charity care or discount payment policies, a hospital shall not knowingly send that patient’s bill to a collection agency prior to 120 days from time of initial billing, and without first having made more than one attempt to collect the bill, or while the completed application is being processed by a governmental agency or the hospital.

(f) If a patient qualifies for eligibility under the hospital’s charity care or discount payment policy and is attempting in good faith to settle an outstanding bill with the hospital by negotiating a reasonable payment plan that includes regular partial payments of a reasonable amount, the hospital shall not send the unpaid bill to any collection agency if the hospital knows that doing so may negatively impact a patient’s credit.

(g) The hospital or collection agency operating on behalf of the hospital shall not, in dealing with patients eligible under the hospital’s charity care or discount payment policies, use wage garnishments or liens on primary residences as a means of collecting unpaid hospital bills. This requirement does not preclude hospitals from pursuing reimbursement from third-party liability settlements or tortfeasors or other legally responsible parties.

(h) Any extended payment plans offered by a hospital to assist patients eligible under the hospital’s charity care or discount payment policy, or any other policy adopted by the hospital for assisting low-income patients with no or inadequate insurance in settling past due outstanding hospital bills, shall be interest free.

1797.313. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amounts paid by parties for services resulting from reduced or waived charges under a hospital’s discount payment or charity care policy shall not constitute the hospital’s uniform, published, prevailing, or customary charges, its usual fees to the general public, or its charges to non-Medi-Cal purchasers under comparable circumstances, and shall not be used to calculate a hospital’s median non-Medi-Cal, or Medi-Cal charges, for purposes of any payment limit under the federal Medicare program, the Medi-Cal program or any other federal or state-financed health care program.

(b) Nothing in this Article shall be construed to prohibit a hospital from uniformly imposing charges from its established charge schedule or published rates, nor shall this Article preclude the recognition of a hospital’s established charge schedule or published rates for purposes of applying any payment limit, interim payment amount, or other payment calculation based upon a hospital’s rates or charges under the Medi-Cal, Medicare, worker’s compensation, or other federal, state, or local public program of health benefits.

(c) To the extent that any requirement of this Article results in a federal determination that a hospital’s established charge schedule or published rates are not the hospital’s customary or prevailing charges for services, the requirement in question shall be inoperative. The department shall seek federal guidance regarding modification to the requirement in question. All other requirements in this Article shall remain operative.

SEC. 10. Preservation of Existing Funding

Section 16950.2 is added to Article 3 of Chapter 5 of Part 4.7 of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, to read:

16950.2. (a) An amount, equal to the amount appropriated and allocated pursuant to Section 39.1 of Chapter 80 of the Statutes of 2005, twenty million eight hundred three thousand dollars ($20,803,000), shall be transferred and allocated pursuant to subdivision (b) from accounts within the Cigarette and Tobacco Products Surplus Fund (commencing with Section 30122 of the Revenue and Taxation Code) as follows:

(1) Twenty million two hundred twenty-seven thousand dollars ($20,227,000) from the Hospital Services Account.

(2) Four million five hundred seventy-six thousand dollars ($4,576,000) from the Physician Services Account.

(b) The funds specified in subdivision (a) shall be allocated proportionately as follows:

(1) Twenty-two million three hundred twenty-four thousand dollars ($22,324,000) shall be administered and allocated for distribution through the California Healthcare for Indigents Program (CHIP), Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 16940) of Part 4.7 of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

(2) Two million four hundred seventy-nine thousand dollars ($2,479,000) shall be administered and allocated through the Rural Health Services Program, Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 16930) of Part 4.7 of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

(c) This transfer shall be made on June 30 of the first fiscal year following adoption of this Act, and on June 30 of each fiscal year thereafter. Funds transferred are continuously appropriated without regard to fiscal years for the purposes so stated for each such account.

(d)(1) Funds allocated pursuant to this Section from the Physician Services Account and the Hospital Services Account in the Cigarette and Tobacco Products Surplus Fund shall be used only for reimbursement of physicians for losses incurred in providing uncompensated emergency services in general acute-care hospitals providing basic, comprehensive, or standby emergency services, as defined in Section 16953 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. Funds shall be transferred to the Physician Services Account in the county Emergency Medical Services Fund established pursuant to Sections 16951 and 16952 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, and shall be paid only to physicians who directly provide emergency medical services to patients, based on claims submitted or a subsequent reconciliation of claims. Payments shall be made as provided in Sections 16951 to 16959, inclusive, of the Welfare and Institutions Code, and payments shall be made on an equitable basis, without preference to any particular physician or group of physicians.

(2) If a county has an EMS Fund Advisory Committee that includes both emergency physicians and emergency department on-call back-up panel physicians, and if the committee unanimously approves, the administrator of the EMS Fund may create a special fee schedule and claims submission criteria for reimbursement for services rendered to uninsured trauma patients, provided that no more than fifteen percent (15%) of the tobacco tax revenues allocated to the county’s EMS Fund is distributed through this special fee schedule, that all physicians who render trauma services are entitled to submit claims for reimbursement under this special fee schedule, and that no physician’s claim may be reimbursed at greater than fifty percent (50%) of losses under this special fee schedule.

SEC. 11. Amendment

(a) Except as hereafter provided, this Act may only be amended by the electors pursuant to Article II, Section 10(c) of the California Constitution.

(b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the Legislature may amend Sections 8 and 9 of this Act to further its purposes by a statute passed in each house by roll-call vote entered in the journal, four-fifths of the membership concurring.

(c) Notwithstanding subdivisions (a) and (b), the Legislature may amend Article 2 (commencing with Section 1797.309) of Chapter 4.5 of Division 2.5 of the Health and Safety Code to further its purposes by a statute passed in each house by roll-call vote entered in the journal, two-thirds of the membership concurring.

(d) Notwithstanding subdivisions (a), (b), and (c), the Legislature may amend Sections 6 and 7 of this Act to further its purposes by a statute passed in each house by roll-call vote entered in the journal, a majority of the membership concurring, except that the Legislature may not amend subdivision (b) of Section 12693.992 of the Insurance Code added by Section 6 of this Act or subdivision (a) or paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 1246 of the Health and Safety Code added by Section 7 of this Act.

SEC. 12. Statutory References

Unless otherwise stated, all references in this act to existing statutes
are to statutes as they existed on December 31, 2005.

SEC. 13. Severability

If any provision of this act, or part thereof, is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional, the remaining provisions shall not be affected, but shall remain in full force and effect, and to this end the provisions of this Act are severable.

SEC. 14. Conflicting Measures

(a) This measure is intended to be comprehensive. It is the intent of the People that in the event that this measure and another initiative measure or measures relating to the same subject shall appear on the same statewide election ballot, the provisions of the other measure or measures shall be deemed to be in conflict with this measure. In the event that this measure shall receive a greater number of affirmative votes, the provisions of this measure shall prevail in their entirety, and all provisions of the other measure or measures shall be null and void.

(b) If this measure is approved by voters but superseded by law by any other conflicting ballot measure approved by the voters at the same election, and the conflicting ballot measure is later held invalid, this measure shall be self-executing and given full force of law.

SEC. 15. Conformity with State Constitution

Section 14 is added to Article XIII B of the California Constitution, to read:

SEC. 14. (a) “Appropriations subject to limitation” of each entity of government shall not include appropriations of revenue from the Tobacco Tax Act of 2006. No adjustment in the appropriations limit of any entity of government shall be required pursuant to Section 3 as a result of revenue being deposited in or appropriated from the Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund.

(b) The tax created by the Tobacco Tax Act of 2006 and the revenue derived therefrom shall not be considered General Fund revenues for the purposes of Section 8 of Article XVI.

(c) Distribution of moneys in the Tobacco Tax of 2006 Trust Fund or any of the Accounts or Sub-Accounts created therein, shall be made pursuant to the Tobacco Tax Act of 2006 notwithstanding any other provision of this Constitution.

PROPOSITION 87

This initiative measure is submitted to the people of California in accordance with the provisions of Section 8 of Article II of the California Constitution.

This initiative measure adds provisions to the California Constitution, amends, repeals, and adds sections to the Public Resources Code, and adds sections to the Revenue and Taxation Code; therefore, existing provisions proposed to be deleted are printed in删除 type and new provisions proposed to be added are printed in斜体 type to indicate that they are new.

PROPOSED LAW

THE CLEAN ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ACT

SECTION 1. TITLE.

This measure shall be known as the “Clean Alternative Energy Act.”

SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS.

The people of California find and declare the following:

A. Californians are facing a severe energy crisis. In 2005, the price of oil nearly doubled and the cost of a gallon of gas soared to over $3 in some areas, causing ordinary consumers extreme financial distress while the big oil companies reported record profits.

B. Our demand for energy is rising rapidly while our energy supply shrinks, and we continue to grow more dependent on foreign oil.

C. Our excessive dependence on fossil fuels is imposing economic, environmental, and social costs. High-polluting vehicles like diesel buses and trucks create significant air pollution that is threatening the health of our families and children with lung diseases and asthma. They can and should be replaced by clean alternative fuel vehicles.

D. California is the only major oil-producing state in the country that does not impose a comparable fee on oil produced at its wells. California’s oil producers are enjoying windfall profits at the expense of California consumers and taxpayers.

E. An assessment paid by California’s big oil companies on their excess profits is a proven way to reclaim some of those revenues without raising prices for consumers. California is the only one of the nation’s top five oil-producing states without a comparable assessment on oil producers. These assessments have proven to be impossible for the big oil companies to “pass along” to consumers in the form of higher gas prices at the pump because oil prices are set on the global market without regard to regional or local costs or assessments.

F. Consumers should be protected from any attempt at price gouging by big oil companies if they try to pass along their assessment costs by increasing gas prices at the pump.

G. The proceeds from the assessment on California oil companies’ excess profits should be used to reduce the consumption of petroleum, foster the development and use of clean alternative fuels, clean alternative fuel vehicles, and renewable energy technologies, and improve energy efficiency in California.

H. A clean, environmentally-sound energy economy with greatly improved energy efficiency is a vital, pro-business goal. Given that fossil fuel reserves are finite, and that the global appetite for energy is growing, the only question is when—not if—we will make our economy significantly more energy efficient and switch to renewable energies and get more work out of less energy. But politicians in Washington have failed to offer visionary leadership for energy independence or to capture the economic rewards of early action in this critical technology sector.

I. The United States’ dependence on foreign oil is a serious danger to U.S. national security, hampers U.S. foreign policy, and is a persistent threat to the U.S. economy. Because 60% of the petroleum the U.S. currently uses comes from foreign imports, and because California is the largest consumer of petroleum products, we must do our part to address these national problems.

J. Further delay in beginning the transition to clean, efficient, and renewable energy puts California and the U.S. at risk for economic upheaval, and cedes the opportunity for new energy technological and industrial leadership to other more pro-active countries, thereby perpetuating our dependence on foreign energy sources.

K. The transition to a renewable energy economy creates an opportunity for California to profit economically, socially, and environmentally. Clean alternative energy technologies like solar, wind, and hydrogen, and clean alternative fuel vehicles like hybrids and bio-fueled cars and trucks are available today and can help reduce our dependence on oil and gasoline.

L. California’s history of technological innovation and entrepreneurship, international leadership in promoting energy efficiency, abundance of world-leading academic institutions, national leadership in environmental stewardship, and position as one of the United States’ largest energy consumers uniquely qualifies us to lead the way into the renewable energy era.

SEC. 3. PURPOSE AND INTENT.

It is the intent of the people of California in enacting this measure to:

A. Invest approximately $4 billion in projects and programs designed to enhance California’s energy independence and to reduce our use of petroleum, including funding for: research, facility, and training grants to California’s universities; vocational training grants to community colleges; and buydowns, loans, loan guarantees, and credits to accelerate the development and deployment of renewable energy technologies, energy efficiency technologies, clean alternative fuels, and clean alternative fuel vehicles.

B. Provide incentives to ordinary Californians to make clean alternative fuel vehicles and clean alternative fuels as affordable and easy to obtain as gasoline and diesel fuels and vehicles. Incentive programs like this have already succeeded in breaking other countries’ oil dependence, and they can easily work in California today.

C. Create new industries, technologies, and jobs focused on renewable energy, energy efficiency, clean alternative fuels, and clean alternative fuel vehicles, expand our state’s wealth, and ensure that any loan proceeds, royalties, or license fees the state receives as a result of the funding are reinvested in this program.

D. Reduce our dependence on foreign oil by developing renewable