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ELECTIONS. INCREASES RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN PRIMARY ELECTIONS.

- Encourages increased participation in elections for congressional, legislative, and statewide offices by changing the procedure by which candidates are selected in primary elections.
- Gives voters increased options in the primary by allowing all voters to choose any candidate regardless of the candidate’s or voter’s political party preference.
- Provides that candidates may choose not to have a political party preference indicated on the primary ballot.
- Provides that only the two candidates receiving the greatest number of votes in the primary will appear on the general election ballot regardless of party preference.
- Does not change primary elections for President, party committee offices and nonpartisan offices.

Summary of Legislative Analyst’s Estimate of Net State and Local Government Fiscal Impact:
- No significant net change in state and local government costs to administer elections.

---

FINAL VOTES CAST BY THE LEGISLATURE ON SCA 4 (PROPOSITION 14)
(Resolution Chapter 2, Statutes of 2009)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Senate:</th>
<th>Ayes 27</th>
<th>Noes 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assembly:</td>
<td>Ayes 54</td>
<td>Noes 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

ANALYSIS BY THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

BACKGROUND

Primary and General Elections. California generally holds two statewide elections in even-numbered years to elect candidates to state and federal offices—a primary election (in June) and a general election (in November). These elections (such as those for Governor and Members of Congress) are partisan, which means that most candidates are associated with a political party. For these partisan offices, the results of a primary election determine each party’s nominee for the office. The candidate receiving the most votes in a party primary election is that party’s nominee for the general election. In the general election, voters choose among all of the parties’ nominees, as well as any independent candidates. (Independent candidates—those not associated with a party—do not participate in primary elections.) The winner of the general election then serves a term in that office.

Ballot Materials Under Current Primary System. For every primary election, each county prepares a ballot and related materials for each political party. Those voters affiliated with political parties receive their party’s ballot. These party ballots include partisan offices, nonpartisan offices, and propositions. Voters with no party affiliation receive ballots related only to nonpartisan offices and propositions. Parties, however, may allow voters with no party affiliation to receive their party’s ballot.
Partisan Statewide Elections in California. Partisan elections for state office include those for the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Controller, Secretary of State, Treasurer, Insurance Commissioner, Attorney General, the 120 members of the Legislature, and four members of the State Board of Equalization. (The Superintendent of Public Instruction is a nonpartisan state office.) Partisan elections also are held for federal offices including President, Vice President, and Members of Congress.

PROPOSAL

This measure, which amends the State Constitution, changes the election process for most state and federal offices. Its provisions and related legislation would take effect for elections after January 1, 2011.

Creates a Top-Two Primary Election. This measure creates a single ballot for primary elections for those congressional and state elective offices shown in Figure 1. Candidates would indicate for the ballot either their political party (the party chosen on their voter registration) or no party preference. All candidates would be listed—including independent candidates, who now would appear on the primary ballot. Each voter would cast his or her vote using this single primary ballot. A voter registered with the Republican Party, for example, would be able to vote in the primary election for a candidate registered as a Democrat, a candidate registered as a Republican, or any other candidate. The two candidates with the highest number of votes in the primary election—regardless of their party preference—would advance to compete in the general election. In fact, the two candidates in the general election could have the same party preference.

| Figure 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offices Affected by Proposition 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statewide Officials</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant Governor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Commissioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other State Officials</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Senators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Assembly Members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Board of Equalization Members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Congressional Officials</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Senators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members of the U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2 illustrates how a ballot for an office might appear if voters approve this measure and shows how this is different from the current system.

### Current Election System

**Primary Ballot for Selected Political Parties**
- **Democratic Party**
  - John Smith
  - Maria Garcia
  - David Brown
  - Linda Kim
  - Top Vote Getter
- **Republican Party**
  - Lisa Davis
  - Susan Harris
  - Mark Martinez
  - Karen Johnson
  - Top Vote Getter
- **Green Party**
  - Robert Taylor
  - Top Vote Getter

**General Election Ballot**
- John Smith (Democratic Party)
- Karen Johnson (Republican Party)
- Robert Taylor (Green Party)
- Michael Williams (Independent)

### Election System if Voters Approve Proposition 14

**Primary Ballot for All Voters**
- John Smith
  - My party preference is the Democratic Party
- Lisa Davis
  - My party preference is the Republican Party
- Robert Taylor
  - My party preference is the Green Party
- Maria Garcia
  - My party preference is the Democratic Party
- David Brown
  - My party preference is the Democratic Party
- Susan Harris
  - No Party Preference
- Michael Williams
  - No Party Preference
- Mark Martinez
  - My party preference is the Republican Party
- Karen Johnson
  - My party preference is the Republican Party
- Linda Kim
  - My party preference is the Democratic Party

**General Election Ballot**
- John Smith
  - My party preference is the Democratic Party
- Karen Johnson
  - My party preference is the Republican Party

---

*Independent candidates do not participate in party primaries under the current system.*
Does Not Affect Presidential Elections and Political Party Leadership Positions. Under this measure, there would still be partisan primary elections for presidential candidates and political party offices (including party central committees, party officials, and presidential delegates).

FISCAL EFFECTS

Minor Costs and Savings. This measure would change how elections officials prepare, print, and mail ballot materials. In some cases, these changes could increase these state and county costs. For instance, under this measure, all candidates—regardless of their party preference—would be listed on each primary election ballot. This would make these ballots longer. In other cases, the measure would reduce election costs. For example, by eliminating in some instances the need to prepare different primary ballots for each political party, counties sometimes would realize savings. For general election ballots, the measure would reduce the number of candidates (by only having the two candidates who received the most votes from the primary election on the ballot). This would make these ballots shorter. The direct costs and savings resulting from this measure would be relatively minor and would tend to offset each other. Accordingly, we estimate that the measure’s fiscal effects would not be significant for state and local governments.

Indirect Fiscal Effects Impossible to Estimate. In some cases, this measure would result in different individuals being elected to offices than under current law. Different officeholders would make different decisions about state and local government spending and revenues. These indirect fiscal effects of the measure are unknown and impossible to estimate.
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION 14

Our economy is in crisis. Unemployment in California is over 12%. The Legislature, whose members were all elected under the current rules, repeatedly fails to pass the state budget on time, or close the state’s gaping $20+ billion fiscal deficit. Our state government is broken. But the politicians would rather stick to their rigid partisan positions and appease the special interests than work together to solve California’s problems.

In order to change government we need to change the kind of people we send to the Capitol to represent us. IT’S TIME TO END THE BICKERING AND GRIDLOCK AND FIX THE SYSTEM

The politicians won’t do it, but Proposition 14 will.

• Proposition 14 will open up primary elections. You will be able to vote for any candidate you wish for state and congressional offices, regardless of political party preference. It will reduce the gridlock by electing the best candidates.

• Proposition 14 will give independent voters an equal voice in primary elections.

• Proposition 14 will help elect more practical officeholders who are more open to compromise.

“The best part of the open primary is that it would lessen the influence of the major parties, which are now under control of the special interests.” (Fresno Bee, 2/22/09.)

PARTISANSHIP IS RUNNING OUR STATE INTO THE GROUND

Non-partisan measures like Proposition 14 will push our elected officials to begin working together for the common good.

Join AARP, the California Alliance for Jobs, the California Chamber of Commerce and many Democrats, Republicans, and independent voters who want to fix our broken government. Vote YES on Proposition 14.

Vote Yes on 14—for elected representatives who are LESS PARTISAN and MORE PRACTICAL.

www.YESON14OPENPRIMARY.com

JEANNINE ENGLISH, AARP
California State President

JAMES EARP, Executive Director
California Alliance for Jobs

ALLAN ZAREMBERG, President
California Chamber of Commerce

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION 14

Politicians wrote Proposition 14 to change the law so they can conceal their party affiliation on the election ballot. Voters won’t know whether they are choosing a Democrat, Republican, Libertarian, or Green Party candidate.

The proponents claim their measure will stop partisan politics. But how is allowing politicians to hide their party affiliation going to fix partisanship? Proposition 14 is politicians trying to trick voters into thinking they are “independent.”

What the proponents don’t tell you is that special interests are raising hundreds of thousands of dollars to pass Proposition 14, including money from health insurance corporations, developers and financial institutions, because Proposition 14 will make it easier for them to elect candidates they “choose.” But you won’t know which political party the candidate belongs to.

Proposition 14 will decrease voter choice. It prohibits write-in candidates in general elections. Only the top two vote getters advance to the general election regardless of political party. Special interests with money will have the advantage in electing candidates they support.

Currently, only two states use “top-two” elections. In 2008, Washington State had 139 races and only ONE incumbent lost a primary. Proposition 14 will protect incumbents.

California Nurses, Firefighters and Teachers have joined with groups like the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association to oppose Proposition 14. These organizations don’t usually agree on political issues. But this time they do.

Candidates who ask for your vote shouldn’t be allowed to conceal their political party.

Stop the special interest tricks. No on Proposition 14.

ED COSTANTINI, Professor Emeritus of Political Science
University of California, Davis

NANCY J. BRASMER, President
California Alliance of Retired Americans

STEVE CHESSIN, President
Californians for Electoral Reform
Proposition 14 was written in the middle of the night and put on the ballot by a couple of politicians and Arnold Schwarzenegger. They added their own self-serving little twist.

They call it an “open primary” but CANDIDATES WILL BE ALLOWED TO CONCEAL THEIR PARTY AFFILIATION FROM VOTERS. The current requirement that candidates list their party on the ballot is abolished.

Proposition 14 will also decrease voter choice and make elections more expensive:

• The general election will not allow write-in candidates.
• Elections will cost more money at a time when necessary services like firefighters, police and education are being cut. County election officials predict an increased cost of 30 percent.
• Voter choice will be reduced because the top two vote getters advance to the general election regardless of political party.
• This means voters may be forced to choose between two candidates from the same political party. Democrats could be forced to choose between two Republicans, or not vote at all. Republicans could be forced to choose between two Democrats, or not vote at all.
• Independent and smaller political parties like Greens and Libertarians will be forced off the ballot, further reducing choice.

Can’t politicians ever do anything without scheming something that’s in their self-interest?

Here’s the zinger they stuck in Proposition 14 . . .

“Open Candidate Disclosure. At the time they file to run for public office, all candidates shall have the choice to declare a party preference. The names of candidates who choose not to declare a party preference shall be accompanied by the designation ‘No Party Preference’ on both the primary and general election ballots.”

Very clever! They’re making it look like they are “independents” while actually remaining in their political party. Business as usual disguised as “reform.”

POlITICIANS ARE CHANGING THE LEGAL REQUIREMENT THAT MAKES THEM DISCLOSE THEIR POLITICAL PARTY.

Democrats will end up voting for Republican imposters.
Republicans will end up voting for Democratic imposters.


Special interest groups will pump money into trick candidates . . . imposters with hidden agendas we can’t see.

Currently, when a rogue candidate captures a nomination, voters have the ability to write-in the candidate of their choice in the general election. But a hidden provision PROHIBITS WRITE-IN VOTES from being counted in general elections if Prop. 14 passes.

That means if one of the “top two” primary winners is convicted of a crime or discovered to be a member of an extremist group, voters are out of luck because Prop. 14 ends write-in voting.

Firefighters have joined with teachers, nurses and the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association opposing this initiative.

“The politicians behind Prop. 14 want to raise taxes without being held accountable. Vote NO.” — Jon Coupal, President Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association

We need “Open Primaries” to be “Open.” That means full disclosure on the ballot and no tricks. No on Proposition 14.

KEVIN R. NIDA, President
California State Firefighters’ Association

ALLAN CLARK, President
California School Employees Association

KATHY J. SACKMAN, RN, President
United Nurses Associations of California / Union of Health Care Professionals

Proposition 14 is supported by people like you who are sick of the mess in Sacramento and Washington D.C. and want to do something about it.

The opponents of Proposition 14 are primarily special interests who helped create this mess and benefit from the way things are.

Their claims are deceptive and absurd.

FACT: If Proposition 14 passes, every candidate’s party registration for the past decade will be posted publicly. This means no candidate will be able to mislead voters about their party registration history. And it’s more disclosure than is required of candidates today.

FACT: Proposition 14 will have no significant financial impacts whatsoever.

Why do opponents of reform make these false charges? Because they benefit from a system that is broken.

Vote yes on 14 to:

• Reduce gridlock by electing the best candidates to state office and Congress, regardless of political party;
• Give independent voters an equal voice in primary elections; and
• Elect more practical individuals who can work together for the common good.

Vote Yes On 14. We’ve had enough. 
www.YESON14OPENPRIMARY.com

JEANNINE ENGLISH, AARP
California State President

CARL GUARDINO, President
Silicon Valley Leadership Group

ALLAN ZAREMBERG, President
California Chamber of Commerce
shall not be bound by the findings of the lead governmental agency in determining whether the presumption has been overcome.

(4) This subdivision applies only to replacement property that is acquired or constructed on or after January 1, 1995, and to property repairs performed on or after that date.

(j) Unless specifically provided otherwise, amendments to this section adopted prior to November 1, 1988, shall be are effective for changes in ownership that occur, and new construction that is completed, after the effective date of the amendment. Unless specifically provided otherwise, amendments to this section adopted after November 1, 1988, shall be are effective for changes in ownership that occur, and new construction that is completed, on or after the effective date of the amendment.

PROPOSITION 14

This amendment proposed by Senate Constitutional Amendment 4 of the 2009–2010 Regular Session (Resolution Chapter 2, Statutes of 2009) expressly amends the California Constitution by amending sections thereof; therefore, existing provisions proposed to be deleted are printed in strikeout type and new provisions proposed to be added are printed in italic type to indicate that they are new.

PROPOSED LAW

First—This measure shall be known and may be cited as the “Top Two Candidates Open Primary Act.”

Second—The People of the State of California hereby find and declare all of the following:

(a) Purpose. The Top Two Candidates Open Primary Act is hereby adopted by the People of California to protect and preserve the right of every Californian to vote for the candidate of his or her choice. This act, along with legislation already enacted by the Legislature to implement this act, are intended to implement an open primary system in California as set forth below.

(b) Top Two Candidate Open Primary. All registered voters otherwise qualified to vote shall be guaranteed the unrestricted right to vote for the candidate of their choice in all state and congressional elections. All candidates for a given state or congressional office shall be listed on a single primary ballot. The top two candidates, as determined by the voters in an open primary, shall advance to a general election in which the winner shall be the candidate receiving the greatest number of votes cast in an open general election.

(c) Open Voter Registration. At the time they register, all voters shall have the freedom to choose whether or not to disclose their party preference. No voter shall be denied the right to vote for the candidate of his or her choice in either a primary or a general election for statewide constitutional office, the State Legislature, or the Congress of the United States based upon his or her disclosure or nondisclosure of party preference. Existing voter registrations, which specify a political party affiliation, shall be deemed to have disclosed that party as the voter’s political party preference unless a new affidavit of registration is filed.

(d) Open Candidate Disclosure. At the time they file to run for public office, all candidates shall have the choice to declare a party preference. The preference chosen shall accompany the candidate’s name on both the primary and general election ballots. The names of candidates who choose not to declare a party preference shall be accompanied by the designation “No Party Preference” on both the primary and general election ballots. Selection of a party preference by a candidate for state or congressional office shall not constitute or imply endorsement of the candidate by the party designated, and no candidate for that office shall be deemed the official candidate of any party by virtue of his or her selection in the primary.

(e) Freedom of Political Parties. Nothing in this act shall restrict the right of individuals to join or organize into political parties or in any way restrict the right of private association of political parties. Nothing in this measure shall restrict the parties’ right to contribute to, endorse, or otherwise support a candidate for state elective or congressional office. Political parties may establish such procedures as they see fit to endorse or support candidates or otherwise participate in all elections, and they may informally “nominate” candidates for election to voter-nominated offices at a party convention or by whatever lawful mechanism they so choose, other than at state-conducted primary elections. Political parties may also adopt such rules as they see fit for the selection of party officials (including central committee members, presidential electors, and party officers). This may include restricting participation in elections for party officials to those who disclose a party preference for that party at the time of registration.

(f) Presidential Primaries. This act makes no change in current law as it relates to presidential primaries. This act conforms to the ruling of the United States Supreme Court in Washington State Grange v. Washington State Republican Party (2008) 128 S.Ct. 1184. Each political party retains the right either to close its presidential primaries to those voters who disclose their party preference for that party at the time of registration or to open its presidential primary to include those voters who register without disclosing a political party preference.

Third—That Section 5 of Article II thereof is amended to read:

SEC. 5. (a) A voter-nomination primary election shall be conducted to select the candidates for congressional and state elective offices in California. All voters may vote at a voter-nominated primary election for any candidate for congressional and state elective office without regard to the political party preference disclosed by the candidate or the voter, provided that the voter is otherwise qualified to vote for candidates for the office in question. The
candidates who are the top two vote-getters at a voter-nominated primary election for a congressional or state elective office shall, regardless of party preference, compete in the ensuing general election.

(b) Except as otherwise provided by Section 6, a candidate for a congressional or state elective office may have his or her political party preference, or lack of political party preference, indicated upon the ballot for the office in the manner provided by statute. A political party or party central committee shall not nominate a candidate for any congressional or state elective office at the voter-nominated primary. This subdivision shall not be interpreted to prohibit a political party or party central committee from endorsing, supporting, or opposing any candidate for a congressional or state elective office. A political party or party central committee shall not have the right to have its preferred candidate participate in the general election for a voter-nominated office other than a candidate who is one of the two highest vote-getters at the primary election, as provided in subdivision (a).

(c) The Legislature shall provide for primary partisan elections for partisan offices presidential candidates, and political party and party central committees, including an open presidential primary whereby the candidates on the ballot are those found by the Secretary of State to be recognized candidates throughout the nation or throughout California for the office of President of the United States, and those whose names are placed on the ballot by petition, but excluding any candidate who has withdrawn by filing an affidavit of noncandidacy.

(d) A political party that participated in a primary election for a partisan office pursuant to subdivision (c) has the right to participate in the general election for that office and shall not be denied the ability to place on the general election ballot the candidate who received, at the primary election, the highest vote among that party’s candidates.

Fourth—That Section 6 of Article II thereof is amended to read:

SEC. 6. (a) All judicial, school, county, and city offices, including the Superintendent of Public Instruction, shall be nonpartisan.

(b) No A political party or party central committee shall not endorse, support, or oppose shall not nominate a candidate for nonpartisan office, and the candidate’s party preference shall not be included on the ballot for the nonpartisan office.

Fifth—This measure shall become operative on January 1, 2011.

PROPOSITION 15

This law proposed by Assembly Bill 583 (Statutes of 2008, Chapter 735) is submitted to the people in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Section 10 of the California Constitution.

This proposed law adds sections to the Elections Code; adds and repeals sections of the Government Code; and adds and repeals sections of the Revenue and Taxation Code; therefore, provisions proposed to be deleted are printed in strikeout type and new provisions proposed to be added are printed in italic type to indicate that they are new.

PROPOSED LAW

SECTION 1. Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 20600) is added to Division 20 of the Elections Code, to read:

CHAPTER 7. FAIR ELECTIONS FUND

Section 20600. (a) Each lobbying firm, as defined by Section 82038.5 of the Government Code, each lobbyist, as defined by Section 82039 of the Government Code, and each lobbyist employer, as defined by Section 82039.5 of the Government Code, shall pay the Secretary of State a nonrefundable fee of seven hundred dollars ($700) every two years. Twenty-five dollars ($25) of each fee from each lobbyist shall be deposited in the General Fund and used, when appropriated, for the purposes of Article 1 (commencing with Section 86100) of Chapter 6 of Title 9 of the Government Code. The remaining amount of each fee shall be deposited in the Fair Elections Fund established pursuant to Section 91133 of the Government Code. The fees in this section may be paid in even-numbered years when registrations are renewed pursuant to Section 86106 of the Government Code.

(b) The Secretary of State shall biennially adjust the amount of the fees collected pursuant to this section to reflect any increase or decrease in the Consumer Price Index.

SEC. 2. Section 85300 of the Government Code is repealed.

85300. No public officer shall expend and no candidate shall accept any public moneys for the purpose of seeking elective office.

SEC. 3. Section 86102 of the Government Code is repealed.

86102. Each lobbying firm and lobbyist employer required to file a registration statement under this chapter may be charged not more than twenty-five dollars ($25) per year for each lobbyist required to be listed on its registration statement.

SEC. 4. Chapter 12 (commencing with Section 91015) is added to Title 9 of the Government Code, to read:

CHAPTER 12. CALIFORNIA FAIR ELECTIONS ACT OF 2008

Article 1. General

91015. This chapter shall be known and may be cited as the California Fair Elections Act of 2008.

91017. The people find and declare all of the following: