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PROHIBITS THE STATE FROM BORROWING OR TAKING FUNDS USED FOR TRANSPORTATION, REDEVELOPMENT, OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT PROJECTS AND SERVICES. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.

• Prohibits the State, even during a period of severe fiscal hardship, from delaying the distribution of tax revenues for transportation, redevelopment, or local government projects and services.

Summary of Legislative Analyst’s Estimate of Net State and Local Government Fiscal Impact:
Due to restrictions on state authority over fuel and property taxes, the state would have to take alternative actions—probably in the range of $1 billion to several billion dollars annually. This would result in both:
• Reductions in General Fund program spending and/or increases in state revenues of those amounts.
• Comparable increases in funding for state and local transportation programs and local redevelopment.

ANALYSIS BY THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

BACKGROUND
Under the State Constitution, state and local government funding and responsibilities are interrelated. Both levels of government share revenues raised by some taxes—such as sales taxes and fuel taxes. Both levels also share the costs for some programs—such as many health and social services programs. While the state does not receive any property tax revenues, it has authority over the distribution of these revenues among local agencies and schools.

Over the years, the state has made decisions that have affected local government revenues and costs in various ways. Some of these decisions have benefited the state fiscally, and others have benefited local governments. For example, in the early 1990s, the state permanently shifted a share of city, county, and special district property tax revenues to schools. These shifts had the effect of reducing local agency resources and reducing state costs for education. Conversely, in the late 1990s, the state changed laws regarding trial court program funding. This change had the effect of shifting local agency costs to the state.

In recent years, the state’s voters have amended the Constitution to limit the state’s authority over local finances. Under Proposition 1A of 2004, the state no longer has the authority to permanently shift city, county, and special district property tax revenues to schools, or take certain other actions that affect local governments. In addition, Proposition 1A of 2006 restricts the state’s ability to borrow state gasoline sales tax revenues. These provisions in the Constitution, however, do not eliminate state authority to temporarily borrow or redirect some city, county, and special district funds. In addition, these propositions do not eliminate the state’s authority to redirect local redevelopment agency revenues. (Redevelopment agencies work on projects to improve blighted urban areas.)

PROPOSAL
As Figure 1 summarizes, this measure reduces or eliminates the state’s authority to:
• Use state fuel tax revenues to pay debt service on state transportation bonds.
• Borrow or change the distribution of state fuel tax revenues.
 Redirect redevelopment agency property taxes to any other local government.

 Temporarily shift property taxes from cities, counties, and special districts to schools.

 Use vehicle license fee (VLF) revenues to reimburse local governments for state mandated costs.

 As a result, this measure affects resources in the state’s General Fund and transportation funds. The General Fund is the state’s main funding source for schools, universities, prisons, health, and social services programs. Transportation funds are placed in separate accounts and used to pay for state and local transportation programs.

 Use of Funds to Pay for Transportation Bonds

 State Fuel Taxes. As Figure 2 shows, the state annually collects about $5.9 billion in fuel tax revenues for transportation purposes—with most of this amount coming from a 35.3 cents per gallon excise tax on gasoline. The amounts shown in Figure 2 reflect changes adopted in early 2010. Prior to these changes, the state charged two taxes on gasoline: an 18 cents per gallon excise tax and a sales tax based on the cost of the purchase. Under the changes, the state collects the same amount of total revenues but does not charge a state sales tax on gasoline. (These state fuel tax changes did not affect the local sales tax on gasoline.) Part of the reason the state made these changes is because revenues from the gasoline excise tax can be used more flexibly than sales tax revenues to pay debt service on transportation bonds.
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 Major Provisions of Proposition 22

 ✓ Restrictions Regarding State Fuel Taxes
   • Reduces state’s authority to use funds to pay debt service on transportation bonds.
   • Prohibits borrowing of funds by the state.
   • Limits state authority to change distribution of funds.

 ✓ Other Restrictions on the State
   • Prohibits redirection of redevelopment property tax revenues.
   • Eliminates state authority to temporarily shift property tax revenues from cities, counties, and special districts.
   • Prohibits state from using vehicle license fee revenues to pay for state-imposed mandates.

 ✓ Enforcement
   • Repeals state laws enacted after October 20, 2009, if they conflict with the measure.
   • Provides reimbursement if the state violates any term of the measure.

 Figure 2

 Current State Fuel Tax Revenues for Transportation Purposes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Excise Tax</th>
<th>Sales Tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>$5,100</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$5,570</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Local governments also charge taxes on fuels. The figure does not show these local revenues.
**Current Use of Fuel Tax Revenues.** The main uses of state fuel tax revenues are (1) constructing and maintaining highways, streets, and roads and (2) funding transit and intercity rail services. In addition, the state uses some of its fuel tax revenues to pay debt-service costs on voter-approved transportation bonds. In the current year, for example, the state will use about $850 million of fuel tax revenues to pay debt-service costs on bonds issued to fund highway, road, and transit projects. In future years, this amount is expected to increase to about $1 billion annually.

**Reduces State Authority.** The measure reduces state authority to use fuel tax revenues to pay for bonds. Under the measure, the state could not use fuel tax revenues to pay for any bonds that have already been issued. In addition, the state’s authority to use fuel tax revenues to pay for bonds that have not yet been issued would be significantly restricted.

Because of these restrictions, the state would need to pay about $1 billion of annual bond costs from its General Fund rather than from transportation accounts. (In the current year, the amount would be somewhat less because the state would have paid some of its bond costs using fuel tax revenues by the time of the election.) This, in turn, would (1) increase the amount of funds the state would have available to spend for transportation programs and (2) reduce the amount of General Fund resources the state would have available to spend on non-transportation programs.

**Borrowing of Fuel Tax Revenues**

**Current Authority to Borrow.** While state fuel tax revenues generally must be used for transportation purposes, the state may use these funds for other purposes under certain circumstances. Specifically:

- **Borrowing for Cash Flow Purposes.** The state historically has paid out most of its General Fund expenses between July and December of each year, but received most of its revenues between January and June. To help manage this uneven cash flow, the state often borrows funds from various state accounts, including fuel tax funds, on a temporary basis. The cash flow loans of fuel tax funds often total $1 billion or more.

- **Borrowing for Budget-Balancing Purposes.** In cases of severe state fiscal hardship, the state may use fuel tax revenues to help address a budgetary problem. The state must pay these funds back within three years. For example, at the time this analysis was prepared, the proposed 2010–11 state budget included a $650 million loan of state fuel tax revenues to the state General Fund.

**Prohibits Borrowing.** This measure generally prohibits fuel tax revenues from being loaned—either for cash flow or budget-balancing purposes—to the General Fund or to any other state fund. The state, therefore, would have to take alternative actions to address its short-term borrowing needs. These actions could include borrowing more from private markets, slowing state expenditures to accumulate larger reserves in its accounts, or speeding up the collection of tax revenues. In place of budgetary borrowing, the state would have to take alternative actions to balance future General Fund budgets—such as reducing state spending or increasing state taxes.

**Distribution of Fuel Tax Revenues**

**Current Distribution.** Roughly two-thirds of the state’s fuel tax revenues are spent by the state, and the rest is given to cities, counties, and transit districts. Although state law specifies how much money local agencies shall receive, the Legislature may pass a law with a majority vote of each house to change these funding distributions. For example, the state has made various changes to the allocation of transit funding over recent years.

**Limits Changes to Distribution.** This measure constrains the state’s authority to change the distribution of state fuel tax revenues to local agencies. In the case of fuel excise taxes, the measure requires that the formula to distribute these tax revenues to local governments for the construction or maintenance of local streets and roads be the one that was in effect on
June 30, 2009. (At that time, local governments received the revenues generated from 6 cents of the 18 cents being collected from the fuel excise tax.) Under this measure, the state could enact a law to change this allocation, but only by a two-thirds vote of each house of the Legislature and after the California Transportation Commission conducted a series of public hearings.

In the case of diesel sales tax revenues (used primarily for transit and transportation planning), current law requires that the funds be distributed 25 percent to the state and 75 percent to local governments, beginning in 2011–12. The measure specifies that the funds instead be split equally between local and state programs. This change in diesel sales tax revenue distribution, therefore, would provide somewhat lower ongoing funding for local transit purposes and more funding for state transit purposes than otherwise would be the case. Under the measure, the state could not change this distribution of funds.

**Allocation of Property Tax Revenues**

**Current Property Tax Distribution.** California property owners pay a 1 percent tax on the value of their homes and other properties, plus any additional property tax rates for voter-approved debt. State law specifies how county auditors are to distribute these revenues among local governments. Figure 3 shows the average share of property tax revenues local governments receive.

State law allows the state to make some changes to the distribution of property tax revenues. For example, the state may require redevelopment agencies to shift revenues to nearby schools. Recently, the state required redevelopment agencies to shift $2 billion of revenues to schools over two years. (This amount is roughly 15 percent of total redevelopment revenues.) In addition, during times of severe state fiscal hardship, the state may require that a portion of property tax revenues be temporarily shifted away

**Figure 3**

**Estimated Local Government Shares of the 1 Percent Property Tax**

Statewide Average

Excludes effect of any temporary property tax shifts.
from cities, counties, and special districts. In this case, however, the state must repay the local agencies for their losses within three years, including interest. Recently, the state required these agencies to shift $1.9 billion of funds to schools. The major reason the state made these revenue shifts was to reduce state General Fund costs for education and other programs.

**Reduces State Authority.** This measure prohibits the state from enacting new laws that require redevelopment agencies to shift funds to schools or other agencies. The measure also eliminates the state’s authority to shift property taxes temporarily during a severe state fiscal hardship. Under the measure, therefore, the state would have to take other actions to balance its budget in some years—such as reducing state spending or increasing state taxes.

**Use of VLF Revenues**

**Current VLF.** California vehicle owners pay a VLF based on their vehicle’s value at a rate of 1.15 percent, including a 0.65 percent ongoing rate and a 0.50 percent temporary rate. Most VLF revenues are distributed to local governments.

**Current Mandate Payments.** The state generally must reimburse local governments when it “mandates” that they provide a new program or higher level of service. The state usually provides reimbursements through appropriations in the annual budget act or by providing other offsetting funds.

**Restricts Use of VLF Funds.** This measure specifies that the state may not reimburse local governments for a mandate by giving them an increased share of VLF revenues collected under the ongoing rate. Under the measure, therefore, the state would have to reimburse local governments using other resources.

**State Laws That Are in Conflict With This Proposition**

**Voids Recent Laws.** Any law enacted between October 20, 2009, and November 2, 2010, that is in conflict with this proposition would be repealed. Several factors make it difficult to determine the practical effect of this provision.

First, parts of this measure would be subject to future interpretation by the courts. Second, in the spring of 2010, the state made significant changes to its fuel tax laws, and the full effect of this measure on these changes is not certain. Finally, at the time this analysis was prepared (early in the summer of 2010), the state was considering many new laws and funding changes to address its major budget difficulties. As a result, it is not possible to determine the full range of state laws that could be affected or repealed by this measure.

**Requires Reimbursement for Future Laws.** Under this measure, if a court ruled that the state violated a provision of Proposition 22, the State Controller would reimburse the affected local governments or accounts within 30 days. Funds for these reimbursements, including interest, would be taken from the state General Fund and would not require legislative approval.

**FISCAL EFFECTS**

**State General Fund**

**Effect in 2010–11.** This measure would (1) shift some debt-service costs to the state General Fund and (2) prohibit the General Fund from borrowing fuel tax revenues. As a result, the measure would reduce resources available for the state to spend on other programs, probably by about $1 billion in 2010–11. To balance the budget, the state would have to take other actions to raise revenues and/or decrease spending. Overall, the measure’s immediate fiscal effect would equal about 1 percent of total General Fund spending. As noted above, the measure also would repeal laws passed after this analysis was prepared that conflicted with its provisions.

**Longer-Term Effect.** Limiting the state’s authority to use fuel tax revenues to pay transportation bond costs would increase General Fund costs by about $1 billion annually for the next couple of decades. In addition, the measure’s constraints on state authority to borrow or redirect property tax and redevelopment revenues could result in increased costs or decreased resources available to the General Fund in some years. The
total annual fiscal effect from these changes is not possible to determine, but could range from about $1 billion (in most years) to several billion dollars (in some years).

**State and Local Transportation Programs and Local Government**

The fiscal effect of the measure on transportation programs and local governments largely would be the opposite of its effect on the state’s General Fund. Under the measure, the state would use General Fund revenues—instead of fuel tax revenues—to pay for transportation bonds. This would leave more fuel tax revenues available for state and local transportation programs.

In addition, limiting the state’s authority to redirect revenues likely would result in increased resources being available for redevelopment and state and local transportation programs. Limiting the state’s authority to borrow these revenues likely would also result in more stable revenues being available for local governments and transportation. The magnitude of this fiscal effect is not possible to determine, but could be in the range from about $1 billion (in most years) to several billions of dollars (in some years).
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THE PROBLEM—STATE POLITICIANS KEEP TAKING

LOCAL GOVERNMENT and TRANSPORTATION FUNDS.

For too long, Sacramento politicians have used loopholes in the law to take billions in taxpayer funds dedicated by the voters to local government and transportation services.

The State Legislature took and borrowed $5 billion last year and is planning to take billions more this year. State raids have forced deep cuts to vital local services like 9-1-1 emergency response, police, fire, libraries, senior services, road repairs, and public transportation improvements.

THE SOLUTION—YES on 22 will STOP STATE RAIDS of LOCAL GOVERNMENT and TRANSPORTATION FUNDS.

YES on 22 will:

1) STOP the State from taking or borrowing local tax dollars dedicated to cities and counties to fund vital local services like 9-1-1 response, police, and fire protection.

2) STOP the State from taking or diverting gas taxes we pay at the pump that voters have dedicated to local road repairs, transportation improvements, and public transportation.

YES on 22—PROTECTS VITAL LOCAL SERVICES, including PUBLIC SAFETY.

“Cities spend more than 60 percent of their general funds on police and fire services. By prohibiting State raids of local funds, Prop. 22 will help maintain law enforcement, 9-1-1 emergency response, and other public safety services.” —Chief Douglas Fry, President, FIRE CHIEFS DEPARTMENT, League of California Cities

YES on 22 will protect vital locally delivered services, including:

• Police and sheriff patrols
• 9-1-1 emergency dispatch
• Paramedic response
• Fire protection
• Senior services
• Youth anti-gang and after school programs
• Neighborhood parks and libraries
• Public transportation, like buses and commuter rail
• Local road safety repairs

YES on 22—ENSURES our GAS TAXES are DEDICATED to TRANSPORTATION.

THE SOLUTION—NO ON PROP. 22

Are proponents of Prop. 22—local government bureaucrats, developers and redevelopment agencies who create endless schemes to fill their coffers—really blind to California’s budget crisis?

Why else would they ask voters to pass an initiative where public schools stand to lose over one billion dollars next year, and billions more over the next decade, while handing billions in tax dollars to developers?

Then, Prop. 22 takes money firefighters across California use to fight fires and natural disasters.

And, Prop. 22 makes funding for affordable healthcare for children more difficult.

The Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association strongly urges a NO vote on 22.

The Fullerton Association of Concerned Taxpayers says NO.

They believe special protections for redevelopment agencies in Prop. 22 are a terrible idea. It would allow more sweetheart deals with for-profit developers.

It's a bad idea to amend California’s Constitution to reduce funding available for public education and shrink budgets for fire protection, public safety and healthcare, while protecting tax giveaways for local developers. California’s Constitution isn’t the place for local power grabs. Especially with no accountability!

“Prop. 22 locks in protections for redevelopment agencies that take over 10% of all property taxes and use them to enter into billions of dollars of long-term debt without voter approval.” —Lew Uhler, President, National Taxpayer Limitation Committee

Your tax dollars should go first to public schools, public safety and healthcare. And go LAST to local bureaucrats, developers and redevelopment agencies that support Proposition 22.

DOUGLAS FRY, President
Fire Chiefs Department, League of California Cities

KIM BUI-BURTON, President
California Library Association

SUSAN MANHEIMER, President
California Police Chiefs Association
Proposition 22 is another one of those propositions that sounds good, but is filled with hidden provisions that hurt taxpayers. Look at what it really does.

If Proposition 22 passes our schools stand to lose over $1 billion immediately and an additional $400 million every year after that. That is the equivalent of 5,700 teachers every year. It means larger class sizes. Overcrowded schools. Cuts in academics, music, art, vocational training, and classroom safety.

At a time when our public schools are already suffering from crippling budget cuts, Proposition 22 would devastate them. That’s why the California Teachers Association, joined by school principals and parents across the state, say strongly: Vote NO on Proposition 22.

If that isn’t bad enough, Proposition 22 also takes money that firefighters across the state need. The California Professional Firefighters opposes Proposition 22 because it will leave us all in greater danger from fires, earthquakes, floods, and other natural disasters. It also means cuts in emergency medical services, forcing longer response times if your family needs a paramedic—or perhaps no paramedic at all in a major emergency.

Proposition 22 will reduce funding available for health care at a time when our safety net for children is already collapsing. Tens of thousands of children in California are at risk of losing their health insurance and access to affordable health care if Proposition 22 passes.

Finally, Proposition 22 has another hidden provision—it locks protections for redevelopment agencies into the State Constitution forever. These agencies have the power to take your property away with eminent domain. They skim off billions in local property taxes, with much of that money ending up in the hands of local developers. And they do so with no direct voter oversight.

Supporters of Proposition 22 claim this will somehow help public services. We disagree. Your tax dollars should go first to schools, public safety, and health care. They should go LAST to the developers and the redevelopment agencies that support this proposal.

In 2004, voters approved Proposition 1A which allows local funds to be borrowed in times of real fiscal crisis, but requires full repayment within 3 years. Proposition 22 will reverse what Californians wisely approved in 2004, leaving schools, children’s health care, seniors, the blind and disabled with even less hope.

Riverside City Firefighter Timothy Strack says, “Proposition 22 won’t put one more firefighter on an engine or one more paramedic in an ambulance. It simply props open the door for redevelopment agencies to take away our public safety funding.”

We all know that ballot propositions often don’t do what they promise, and too often make things worse. Proposition 22 is the perfect example. During the current budget crisis we face throughout our state, why would locking in more budgeting for redevelopment agencies to take away our public safety funding?


LOU PAULSON, President
California Professional Firefighters

MALINDA MARKOWITZ, RN, Co-President
California Nurses Association

DONNA DREITH, Third Grade Teacher
Riverdale Joint Unified School District

In the past, the roles of California’s local and state governments were balanced. But that balance has been destroyed.

Year after year, State Politicians abuse loopholes in the law to take away local taxpayer dollars now dedicated to local services. The politicians redirect that local money to the State General Fund, where they spend it as they please.

State government keeps taking more and more, while our city and county services have been cut to the bone.

We have to close the loopholes and stop State raids of our local taxpayer funds.

READ 22 FOR YOURSELF:

• Yes on 22 stops State Politicians from taking funds used for local government services like emergency 9-1-1 response, police, fire, libraries, parks and senior services.

• Yes on 22 stops State Politicians from taking gas taxes that voters have dedicated to transportation improvements.

DON’T BE MISLED BY OPPONENTS’ SCARE TACTICS. Those opposed to 22 want State Politicians to be able to continue to take our local tax dollars. It’s that simple.

FACT: 22 protects only existing local revenues and does not reduce the amount schools are guaranteed by the State Constitution. Not even by one dime.

FACT: The Peace Officers Research Association of California, representing 60,000 law enforcement personnel, the California Fire Chiefs, Fire Districts Association of California and the California Police Chiefs support 22 because it protects more than $16 billion annually for local firefighting, law enforcement and 9-1-1 emergency response.

STOP State Politicians from Raiding Local Funds.
Vote YES on 22.
www.SaveLocalServices.com

DOUGLAS FRY, President
Fire Chiefs Department, League of California Cities

RON COTTINGHAM, President
Peace Officers Research Association of California

JANE LIGHT, Librarian
San Jose Public Library
management practices and providing continuity of funding for urban river parkways when allocating grant funds pursuant to this section. The department shall give highest priority for grants to urban river parkways that benefit the most underserved communities.

5088.2. The department shall provide grants to local agencies operating units of the state park system to assist in the operation and maintenance of those units. The department shall first grant available funds to local agencies operating units of the state park system that, prior to the implementation of this chapter, charged entry or parking fees on vehicles, and shall allocate any remaining funds, on a prorated basis, to local agencies to assist in the operation and maintenance of state park units managed by local agencies, based on the average annual operating expenses of those units over the three previous years, as certified by the chief financial officer of that local agency. Of the funds provided in subdivision (a) of Section 5088, an amount equal to 5 percent of the amount deposited in the fund shall be available for appropriation for the purposes of this section. The department shall develop guidelines for the implementation of this section.

5089. For the purposes of this chapter, eligible expenditures for wildlife conservation include direct expenditures and grants for operation, management, development, restoration, maintenance, law enforcement and public safety, interpretation, costs to provide appropriate public access, and other costs necessary for the protection and management of natural resources and wildlife, including scientific monitoring and analysis required for adaptive management.

5090. Funds provided pursuant to this chapter, and any appropriation or transfer of those funds, shall not be deemed to be a transfer of funds for the purposes of Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 2780) of Division 3 of the Fish and Game Code.

SEC. 2. Section 10751.5 is added to the Revenue and Taxation Code, to read:

10751.5. (a) Except as provided in subdivision (b), in addition to the license fee imposed pursuant to Section 10751, for licenses and renewals on or after January 1, 2011, there shall also be imposed an annual surcharge, to be called the State Parks Access Pass, in the amount of eighteen dollars ($18) on each vehicle subject to the license fee imposed by that section. All revenues from the surcharge shall be deposited into the State Parks and Wildlife Conservation Trust Fund pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 5081 of the Public Resources Code.

(b) The surcharge established in subdivision (a) shall not apply to the following vehicles:
(1) Vehicles subject to the Commercial Vehicle Registration Act (Section 4006.6 of the Vehicle Code).
(2) Trailers subject to Section 5014.1 of the Vehicle Code.
(3) Trailer coaches as defined by Section 635 of the Vehicle Code.

PROPOSITION 22

This initiative measure is submitted to the people of California in accordance with the provisions of Section 8 of Article II of the California Constitution.

This initiative measure amends, amends and renumbers, repeals, and adds sections to the California Constitution; therefore, existing provisions proposed to be deleted are printed in **strikeout-type** and new provisions proposed to be added are printed in **italic type** to indicate that they are new.

**PROPOSED LAW**

Section 1. Title.
This act shall be known and may be cited as the “Local Taxpayer, Public Safety, and Transportation Protection Act of 2010.”

Section 2. Findings and Declarations.
The people of the State of California find and declare that:
(a) In order to maintain local control over local taxpayer funds and protect vital services like local fire protection and 9-1-1 emergency response, law enforcement, emergency room care, public transit, and transportation improvements, California voters have repeatedly and overwhelmingly voted to restrict state politicians in Sacramento from taking revenues dedicated to funding local government services and dedicated to funding transportation improvement projects and services.
(b) By taking these actions, voters have acknowledged the critical importance of preventing State raids of revenues dedicated to funding vital local government services and transportation improvement projects and services.
(c) Despite the fact that voters have repeatedly passed measures to prevent the State from taking these revenues dedicated to funding local government services and transportation improvement projects and services, state politicians in Sacramento have seized and borrowed billions of dollars in local government and transportation funds.
(d) In recent years, state politicians in Sacramento have specifically:
   (1) Borrowed billions of dollars in local property tax revenues that would otherwise be used to fund local police, fire and paramedic response, and other vital local services;
   (2) Sought to take and borrow billions of dollars in gas tax revenues that voters have dedicated to on-going transportation projects and tried to use them for non-transportation purposes;
   (3) Taken local community redevelopment funds on numerous occasions and used them for unrelated purposes;
   (4) Taken billions of dollars from local public transit like bus, shuttle, light-rail, and regional commuter rail, and used these funds for unrelated state purposes.
   (e) The continued raiding and borrowing of revenues dedicated to funding local government services and dedicated to funding transportation improvement projects can cause severe consequences, such as layoffs of police, fire and paramedic first responders, fire station closures, healthcare cutbacks, delays in road safety improvements, public transit fare increases, and cutbacks in public transit services.
   (f) State politicians in Sacramento have continued to ignore the will of the voters, and current law provides no penalties when state politicians take or borrow these dedicated funds.
   (g) It is hereby resolved, that with approval of this ballot initiative, state politicians in Sacramento shall be prohibited from seizing, diverting, shifting, borrowing, transferring, suspending, or otherwise taking or interfering with tax revenues dedicated to...
SECTION 25.5. On or after November 3, 2004, the Legislature shall not enact a statute to do any of the following:

(A) Except as otherwise provided in subparagraphs (B) and (C), modify the manner in which ad valorem property tax revenues are allocated in accordance with subdivision (a) of Section 1 of Article XIII A so as to reduce for any fiscal year the percentage of the total amount of ad valorem property tax revenues in a county that is allocated among all of the local agencies in that county below the percentage of the total amount of those revenues that would be allocated among those agencies for the same fiscal year under the statutes in effect on November 3, 2004. For purposes of this subparagraph, “percentage” does not include any property tax revenues referenced in paragraph (2).

(B) Beginning with the 2008–09 fiscal year only, and except as otherwise provided in paragraph (C), subparagraph (A) may be suspended for a that fiscal year if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The Governor issues a proclamation that declares that, due to a severe state fiscal hardship, the suspension of subparagraph (A) is necessary.

(ii) The Legislature enacts an urgency statute, pursuant to a bill passed in each house of the Legislature by rollcall vote entered in the journal, two-thirds of the membership concurring, that contains a suspension of subparagraph (A) for that fiscal year and does not contain any other provision.

(iii) No later than the effective date of the statute described in clause (ii), a statute is enacted that provides for the full repayment to local agencies of the total amount of revenue losses, including interest as provided by law, resulting from the modification of ad valorem property tax revenue allocations to local agencies. This full repayment shall be made no later than the end of the third fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year to which the modification applies.

(C) (i) Subparagraph (A) shall not be suspended for more than two fiscal years during any period of 10 consecutive fiscal years, which period begins with the first fiscal year for which subparagraph (A) is suspended.

(ii) Subparagraph (A) shall not be suspended during any fiscal year if the full repayment required by a statute enacted in accordance with clause (iii) of subparagraph (B) has not yet been completed.

(iii) Subparagraph (A) shall not be suspended during any fiscal year if the amount that was required to be paid to cities, counties, and cities and counties under Section 10754.11 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, as that section read on November 3, 2004, has not been paid in full prior to the effective date of the statute providing for that suspension as described in clause (ii) of subparagraph (B).

(iv) (C) A suspension of subparagraph (A) shall not result in a total ad valorem property tax revenue loss to all local agencies within a county that exceeds 8 percent of the total amount of ad valorem property tax revenues that were allocated among all local agencies within that county for the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year for which subparagraph (A) is suspended.

(2) (A) Except as otherwise provided in subparagraphs (B) and (C), restrict the authority of a city, county, or city and county to impose a tax rate under, or change the method of distributing revenues derived under, the Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax Law set forth in Part 1.5 (commencing with Section 7200) of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, as that law read on November 3, 2004. The restriction imposed by this subparagraph also applies to the entitlement of a city, county, or city and county to the change in tax rate resulting from the end of the revenue exchange period, as defined in Section 7203.1 of the Revenue and Taxation Code as that section read on November 3, 2004.

(B) The Legislature may change by statute the method of distributing the revenues derived under a use tax imposed pursuant to the Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax Law to allow the State to participate in an interstate compact or to comply with federal law.

(C) The Legislature may authorize by statute two or more specifically identified local agencies within a county, with the approval of the governing body of each of those agencies, to enter into a contract to exchange allocations of ad valorem property tax revenues for revenues derived from a tax rate imposed under the Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax Law. The exchange under this subparagraph of revenues derived from a tax rate imposed under that law shall not require voter approval for the continued imposition of any portion of an existing tax rate from which those revenues are derived.

(3) Except as otherwise provided in subparagraph (C) of paragraph (2), change for any fiscal year the pro rata shares in which ad valorem property tax revenues are allocated among local agencies in a county other than pursuant to a bill passed in each house of the Legislature by rollcall vote entered in the journal, two-thirds of the membership concurring, that contains a suspension of subparagraph (A) for that fiscal year and does not contain any other provision.

(i) The Governor issues a proclamation that declares that, due to a severe state fiscal hardship, the suspension of subparagraph (A) is necessary.

(ii) The Legislature enacts an urgency statute, pursuant to a bill passed in each house of the Legislature by rollcall vote entered in the journal, two-thirds of the membership concurring, that contains a suspension of subparagraph (A) for that fiscal year and does not contain any other provision.

(iii) No later than the effective date of the statute described in clause (ii), a statute is enacted that provides for the full repayment to local agencies of the total amount of revenue losses, including interest as provided by law, resulting from the modification of ad valorem property tax revenue allocations to local agencies. This full repayment shall be made no later than the end of the third fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year to which the modification applies.

(C) (i) Subparagraph (A) shall not be suspended for more than two fiscal years during any period of 10 consecutive fiscal years, which period begins with the first fiscal year for which subparagraph (A) is suspended.

(ii) Subparagraph (A) shall not be suspended during any fiscal year if the full repayment required by a statute enacted in accordance with clause (iii) of subparagraph (B) has not yet been completed.

(iii) Subparagraph (A) shall not be suspended during any fiscal year if the amount that was required to be paid to cities, counties, and cities and counties under Section 10754.11 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, as that section read on November 3, 2004, has not been paid in full prior to the effective date of the statute providing for that suspension as described in clause (ii) of subparagraph (B).

(iv) (C) A suspension of subparagraph (A) shall not result in a total ad valorem property tax revenue loss to all local agencies within a county that exceeds 8 percent of the total amount of ad valorem property tax revenues that were allocated among all local agencies within that county for the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year for which subparagraph (A) is suspended.

(2) (A) Except as otherwise provided in subparagraphs (B) and (C), restrict the authority of a city, county, or city and county to impose a tax rate under, or change the method of distributing revenues derived under, the Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax Law set forth in Part 1.5 (commencing with Section 7200) of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, as that law read on November 3, 2004. The restriction imposed by this subparagraph also applies to the entitlement of a city, county, or city and county to the change in tax rate resulting from the end of the revenue exchange period, as defined in Section 7203.1 of the Revenue and Taxation Code as that section read on November 3, 2004.

(B) The Legislature may change by statute the method of distributing the revenues derived under a use tax imposed pursuant to the Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax Law to allow the State to participate in an interstate compact or to comply with federal law.

(C) The Legislature may authorize by statute two or more specifically identified local agencies within a county, with the approval of the governing body of each of those agencies, to enter into a contract to exchange allocations of ad valorem property tax revenues for revenues derived from a tax rate imposed under the Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax Law. The exchange under this subparagraph of revenues derived from a tax rate imposed under that law shall not require voter approval for the continued imposition of any portion of an existing tax rate from which those revenues are derived.

(3) Except as otherwise provided in subparagraph (C) of paragraph (2), change for any fiscal year the pro rata shares in which ad valorem property tax revenues are allocated among local agencies in a county other than pursuant to a bill passed in each house of the Legislature by rollcall vote entered in the journal, two-thirds of the membership concurring, that contains a suspension of subparagraph (A) for that fiscal year and does not contain any other provision.

(i) The Governor issues a proclamation that declares that, due to a severe state fiscal hardship, the suspension of subparagraph (A) is necessary.

(ii) The Legislature enacts an urgency statute, pursuant to a bill passed in each house of the Legislature by rollcall vote entered in the journal, two-thirds of the membership concurring, that contains a suspension of subparagraph (A) for that fiscal year and does not contain any other provision.

(iii) No later than the effective date of the statute described in clause (ii), a statute is enacted that provides for the full repayment to local agencies of the total amount of revenue losses, including interest as provided by law, resulting from the modification of ad valorem property tax revenue allocations to local agencies. This full repayment shall be made no later than the end of the third fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year to which the modification applies.

(C) (i) Subparagraph (A) shall not be suspended for more than two fiscal years during any period of 10 consecutive fiscal years, which period begins with the first fiscal year for which subparagraph (A) is suspended.

(ii) Subparagraph (A) shall not be suspended during any fiscal year if the full repayment required by a statute enacted in accordance with clause (iii) of subparagraph (B) has not yet been completed.

(iii) Subparagraph (A) shall not be suspended during any fiscal year if the amount that was required to be paid to cities, counties, and cities and counties under Section 10754.11 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, as that section read on November 3, 2004, has not been paid in full prior to the effective date of the statute providing for that suspension as described in clause (ii) of subparagraph (B).

(iv) (C) A suspension of subparagraph (A) shall not result in a total ad valorem property tax revenue loss to all local agencies within a county that exceeds 8 percent of the total amount of ad valorem property tax revenues that were allocated among all local agencies within that county for the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year for which subparagraph (A) is suspended.
by Section 97.68 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, as that section read on November 3, 2004.

(6) Restrict the authority of a local entity to impose a transactions and use tax rate in accordance with the Transactions and Use Tax Law (Part 1.6 (commencing with Section 7251) of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code), or change the method for distributing revenues derived under a transaction and use tax rate imposed under that law, as it read on November 3, 2004.

(7) Require a community redevelopment agency (A) to pay, remit, loan, or otherwise transfer, directly or indirectly, taxes on ad valorem real property and tangible personal property allocated to the agency pursuant to Section 16 of Article XVI to or for the benefit of the State, any agency of the State, or any jurisdiction; or (B) to use, restrict, or assign a particular purpose for such taxes for the benefit of the State, any agency of the State, or any jurisdiction, other than (i) for making payments to affected taxing agencies pursuant to Sections 33607.5 and 33607.7 of the Health and Safety Code or similar statutes requiring such payments, as those statutes read on January 1, 2008, or (ii) for the purpose of increasing, improving, and preserving the supply of low and moderate income housing available at affordable housing cost.

(b) For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) “Ad valorem property tax revenues” means all revenues derived from the tax collected by a county under subdivision (a) of Section 1 of Article XIII A, regardless of any of this revenue being otherwise classified by statute.

(2) “Local agency” has the same meaning as specified in Section 95 of the Revenue and Taxation Code as that section read on November 3, 2004.

(3) “Jurisdiction” has the same meaning as specified in Section 95 of the Revenue and Taxation Code as that section read on November 3, 2004.

Section 5. Section 1 is added to Article XIX of the California Constitution, to read:

SECTION 1. The Legislature shall not borrow revenue from the Highway Users Tax Account, or its successor, and shall not use these revenues for purposes, or in ways, other than those specifically permitted by this article.

Section 5.1. Section 1 of Article XIX of the California Constitution is amended and renumbered to read:

SECTION 1. SEC. 2. Revenues from taxes imposed by the State on motor vehicle fuels for use in motor vehicles upon public streets and highways, over and above the costs of collection and any refunds authorized by law, shall be deposited into the Highway Users Tax Account (Section 2100 of the Streets and Highways Code) or its successor, which is hereby declared to be a trust fund, and shall be allocated monthly in accordance with Section 4, and shall be used solely for the following purposes:

(a) The research, planning, construction, improvement, maintenance, and operation of public streets and highways (and their related public facilities for nonmotorized traffic), including the mitigation of their environmental effects, the payment for property taken or damaged for such purposes, and the administrative costs necessarily incurred in the foregoing purposes.

(b) The research, planning, construction, and improvement of exclusive public mass transit guideways (and their related fixed facilities), including the mitigation of their environmental effects, the payment for property taken or damaged for such purposes, the administrative costs necessarily incurred in the foregoing purposes, and the maintenance of the structures and the immediate right-of-way for the public mass transit guideways, but excluding the maintenance and operating costs for mass transit power systems and mass transit passenger facilities, vehicles, equipment, and services.

Section 5.2. Section 2 of Article XIX of the California Constitution is amended and renumbered to read:

SEC. 2. SEC. 3. Revenues from fees and taxes imposed by the State upon vehicles or their use or operation, over and above the costs of collection and any refunds authorized by law, shall be used for the following purposes:

(a) The state administration and enforcement of laws regulating the use, operation, or registration of vehicles used upon the public streets and highways of this State, including the enforcement of traffic and vehicle laws by state agencies and the mitigation of the environmental effects of motor vehicle operation due to air and sound emissions.

(b) The purposes specified in Section 1.2 of this article.

Section 5.3. Section 3 of Article XIX of the California Constitution is amended and renumbered to read:

SEC. 3. SEC. 4. (a) Except as provided in subdivision (b), the Legislature shall provide for the allocation of the revenues to be used for the purposes specified in Section 1 of this article in a manner which ensures the continuance of existing statutory allocation formulas in effect on June 30, 2009, which allocate the revenues described in Section 2 to cities, counties, and areas of the State; shall remain in effect.

(b) The Legislature shall not modify the statutory allocations in effect on June 30, 2009, unless and until both of the following have occurred:

(1) The Legislature determines in accordance with this subdivision that another basis for an equitable, geographical, and jurisdictional distribution exists; provided that, until such determination is made, any use of such revenues for purposes specified in subsection (b) of Section 1 of this article by or in a city, county, or area of the State shall be included within the existing statutory allocations to, or for expenditure in, that city, county, or area. Any future statutory revisions shall (A) provide for the allocation of these revenues, together with other similar revenues, in a manner which gives equal consideration to the transportation needs of all areas of the State and all segments of the population; and (B) be consistent with the orderly achievement of the adopted local, regional, and statewide goals for ground transportation in local general plans, regional transportation plans, and the California Transportation Plan;

(2) The process described in subdivision (c) has been completed. (c) The Legislature shall not modify the statutory allocation pursuant to subdivision (b) until all of the following have occurred:

(1) The California Transportation Commission has held no less than four public hearings in different parts of the State to receive public input about the local and regional goals for ground transportation in that part of the State;

(2) The California Transportation Commission has published a report describing the input received at the public hearings and how the modification to the statutory allocation is consistent with the orderly achievement of local, regional, and statewide goals for ground transportation in local general plans, regional transportation plans, and the California Transportation Plan; and

(3) Ninety days have passed since the publication of the report by the California Transportation Commission.

(d) A statute enacted by the Legislature modifying the statutory allocations must be by a bill passed in each house of the Legislature by rollcall vote entered in the journal, two-thirds of the membership concurring, provided that the bill does not contain any other unrelated provision.
(e) The revenues allocated by statute to cities, counties, and areas of the State pursuant to this article may be used solely by the entity to which they are allocated, and solely for the purposes described in Sections 2, 5, or 6 of this article.

(f) The Legislature may not take any action which permanently or temporarily does any of the following: (1) changes the status of the Highway Users Tax Account as a trust fund; (2) borrows, diverts, or appropriates these revenues for purposes other than those described in subdivision (e); or (3) delays, defers, suspends, or otherwise interrupts the payment, allocation, distribution, disbursal, or transfer of revenues from taxes described in Section 2 to cities, counties, and areas of the State pursuant to the procedures in effect on June 30, 2009.

Section 5.4. Section 4 of Article XIX of the California Constitution is amended and renumbered to read:

**SEC. 4.** Revenues allocated pursuant to Section 3 of Article XIX of the Constitution are not for the purposes specified in subdivision (b) of Section 2, except for research and planning, until such use is approved by a majority of the votes cast on the proposition authorizing such use of such revenues in an election held throughout the county or counties, or a specified area of a county or counties, within which the revenues are to be expended. The Legislature may authorize the revenues approved for allocation or expenditure under this section to be pledged or used for the payment of principal and interest on voter-approved bonds issued for the purposes specified in subdivision (b) of Section 2.

Section 5.5. Section 5 of Article XIX of the California Constitution is amended and renumbered to read:

**SEC. 5.** (a) The Legislature may authorize up to 25 percent of the revenues available for expenditure by any city or county, or by the State, allocated to the State pursuant to Section 4 for the purposes specified in subdivision (a) of Section 2 of this article to be pledged or used by the State, upon approval by the voters and appropriation by the Legislature, for the payment of principal and interest on voter-approved bonds for such purposes issued by the State on and after November 2, 2010 for such purposes.

(b) Up to 25 percent of the revenues allocated to any city or county pursuant to Section 4 for the purposes specified in subdivision (a) of Section 2 of this article may be pledged or used only by any city or county for the payment of principal and interest on voter-approved bonds issued by that city or county for such purposes.

Section 5.6. Section 6 of Article XIX of the California Constitution is repealed.

**SEC. 6.** The tax revenues designated under this article may be transferred to the General Fund only if one of the following conditions is imposed:

(a) That any amount loaned is to be repaid in full to the fund from which it was borrowed during the same fiscal year in which the loan was made, except that repayment may be delayed until a date not more than 30 days after the date of enactment of the budget bill for the subsequent fiscal year.

(b) That any amount loaned is to be repaid in full to the fund from which it was borrowed during the same fiscal year in which the loan was made and one of the following has occurred:

(1) The Governor has proclaimed a state of emergency and declares that the emergency will result in a significant negative fiscal impact to the General Fund.

(2) The aggregate amount of General Fund revenues for the current fiscal year, as projected by the Governor in a report to the Legislature in May of the current fiscal year, is less than the aggregate amount of General Fund revenues for the previous fiscal year, adjusted for the change in the cost of living and the change in population, as specified in the budget submitted by the Governor pursuant to Section 12 of Article IV in the current fiscal year.

(e) Nothing in this section prohibits the Legislature from authorizing, by statute, loans to local transportation agencies, cities, counties, or cities and counties, from funds that are subject to this article, for the purposes authorized under this article. Any loan authorized as described by this subdivision shall be repaid, with interest at the rate paid on money in the Pooled Money Investment Account, or any successor to that account, during the period of time that the money is loaned, to the fund from which it was borrowed, not later than four years after the date on which the loan was made.

Section 5.7. Section 7 is added to Article XIX of the California Constitution, to read:

**SEC. 7.** If the Legislature reduces or repeals the taxes described in Section 2 and adopts an alternative source of revenue to replace the moneys derived from those taxes, the replacement revenue shall be deposited into the Highway Users Tax Account, dedicated to the purposes listed in Section 2, and allocated to cities, counties, and areas of the State pursuant to Section 4. All other provisions of this article shall apply to any revenues adopted by the Legislature to replace the moneys derived from the taxes described in Section 2.

Section 5.8. Section 7 of Article XIX of the California Constitution is amended and renumbered to read:

**SEC. 7.** This article shall not affect or apply to fees or taxes imposed pursuant to the Sales and Use Tax Law or the Vehicle License Fee Law, and all amendments and additions now or hereafter made to such statutes.

Section 5.9. Section 8 of Article XIX of the California Constitution is amended and renumbered to read:

**SEC. 8.** Notwithstanding Sections 1 and 2 of this article, any real property acquired by the expenditure of the designated tax revenues by an entity other than the State for the purposes authorized in those sections, but no longer required for such purposes, may be used for local public park and recreational purposes.

Section 5.10. Section 9 of Article XIX of the California Constitution is amended and renumbered to read:

**SEC. 9.** Notwithstanding any other provision of this Constitution, the Legislature, by statute, with respect to surplus state property acquired by the expenditure of tax revenues designated in Sections 1 and 2 and 3 and located in the coastal zone, may authorize the transfer of such property, for a consideration at least equal to the acquisition cost paid by the State to acquire the property, to the Department of Parks and Recreation for state park purposes, or to the Department of Fish and Game for the protection and preservation of fish and wildlife habitat, or to the Wildlife Conservation Board for purposes of the Wildlife Conservation Law of 1947, or to the State Coastal Conservancy for the preservation of agricultural lands.

As used in this section, “coastal zone” means “coastal zone” as defined by Section 30103 of the Public Resources Code as such zone is described on January 1, 1977.

Section 6. Section 1 of Article XIX A of the California Constitution is amended to read:

**SECTION 1.** (a) The Legislature shall not borrow revenues from the Public Transportation Account, or any successor account, and shall not use these revenues for purposes, or in ways, other than those specifically permitted by this article.
(b) The funds in the Public Transportation Account in the State Transportation Fund, or any successor to that account, is a trust fund. The Legislature may not change the status of the Public Transportation Account as a trust fund. Funds in the Public Transportation Account may not be loaned or otherwise transferred to the General Fund or any other fund or account in the State Treasury, may be loaned to the General Fund only if one of the following conditions is imposed:

(c) All revenues specified in paragraphs (1) through (3), inclusive, of subdivision (a) of Section 7102 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, as that section read on July 30, 2009.

(d) Funds in the Public Transportation Account may only be used for transportation planning and mass transportation purposes. The revenues described in subdivision (c) are hereby continuously appropriated to the Controller without regard to fiscal years for allocation as follows:

(1) Fifty percent pursuant to subdivisions (a) through (f), inclusive, of Section 99315 of the Public Utilities Code, as that section read on July 30, 2009.

(2) Twenty-five percent pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 99312 of the Public Utilities Code, as that section read on July 30, 2009.

(3) Twenty-five percent pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 99312 of the Public Utilities Code, as that section read on July 30, 2009.

(a) That any amount loaned is to be repaid in full to the account during the same fiscal year in which the loan was made, except that repayment may be delayed until a date no more than 30 days after the date of enactment of the budget bill for the subsequent fiscal year.

(b) That any amount loaned is to be repaid in full to the account within three fiscal years from the date on which the loan was made and one of the following has occurred:

(1) The Governor has proclaimed a state of emergency and declares that the emergency will result in a significant negative fiscal impact to the General Fund.

(2) The aggregate amount of General Fund revenues for the current fiscal year, as projected by the Governor in a report to the Legislature in May of the current fiscal year, is less than the aggregate amount of General Fund revenues for the previous fiscal year, as specified in the budget submitted by the Governor pursuant to Section 12 of Article IV in the current fiscal year.

(c) For purposes of paragraph (1) of subdivision (d), “transportation planning” means only the purposes described in subdivisions (c) through (f), inclusive, of Section 99315 of the Public Utilities Code, as that section read on July 30, 2009.

(f) For purposes of this article, “mass transportation,” “public transit,” and “mass transit” have the same meaning as “public transportation.” “Public transportation” means:

(1) (A) Surface transportation service provided to the general public, complementary paratransit service provided to persons with disabilities as required by 42 U.S.C. 12143, or similar transportation provided to people with disabilities or the elderly; (B) operated by bus, rail, ferry, or other conveyance on a fixed route, demand response, or otherwise regularly available basis; (C) generally for which a fare is charged; and (D) provided by any transit district, included transit district, municipal operator, included municipal operator, eligible municipal operator, or transit development board, as those terms were defined in Article 1 of Chapter 4 of Part 11 of Division 10 of the Public Utilities Code on January 1, 2009, a joint powers authority formed to provide mass transportation services, an agency described in subdivision (f) of Section 15975 of the Government Code, as that section read on January 1, 2009, any recipient of funds under Sections 99260, 99260.7, 99275, or subdivision (c) of Section 99400 of the Public Utilities Code, as those sections read on January 1, 2009, or a consolidated agency as defined in Section 132533.1 of the Public Utilities Code, as that section read on January 1, 2009.

(2) Surface transportation service provided by the Department of Transportation pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 99315 of the Public Utilities Code, as that section read on July 30, 2009.

(3) Public transit capital improvement projects, including those identified in subdivision (b) of Section 99315 of the Public Utilities Code, as that section read on July 30, 2009.

Section 6.1. Section 2 of Article XIX A of the California Constitution is amended to read:

SEC. 2. (a) As used in this section, a “local transportation fund” is a fund created under Section 29530 of the Government Code, or any successor to that statute.

(b) All local transportation funds are hereby designated trust funds. The Legislature may not change the status of local transportation funds as trust funds.

(c) A local transportation fund that has been created pursuant to law may not be abolished.

(d) Money in a local transportation fund shall be allocated only by the local government that created the fund, and only for the purposes authorized under Article 11 (commencing with Section 29530) of Chapter 2 of Division 3 of Title 3 of the Government Code and Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 99200) of Part 11 of Division 10 of the Public Utilities Code, as those provisions existed on October 1, 1997. Neither the county nor the Legislature may authorize the expenditure of money in a local transportation fund for purposes other than those specified in this subdivision.

(e) This section constitutes the sole method of allocating, distributing, and using the revenues in a local transportation fund. The purposes described in subdivision (d) are the sole purposes for which the revenues in a local transportation fund may be used. The Legislature may not enact a statute or take any other action which, permanently or temporarily, does any of the following:

(1) Transfers, diverts, or appropriates the revenues in a local transportation fund for any other purpose than those described in subdivision (d);

(2) Authorizes the expenditures of the revenue in a local transportation fund for any other purpose than those described in subdivision (d);

(3) Borrows or loans the revenues in a local transportation fund, regardless of whether these revenues remain in the Retail Sales Tax Fund in the State Treasury or are transferred to another fund or account.

(f) The percentage of the tax imposed pursuant to Section 7202 of the Revenue and Taxation Code allocated to local transportation funds shall not be reduced below the percentage that was transmitted to such funds during the 2008 calendar year. Revenues allocated to local transportation funds shall be transmitted in accordance with Section 7204 of the Revenue and Taxation Code and deposited into local transportation funds in accordance with Section 29530 of the Government Code, as those sections read on June 30, 2009.
Section 7.0. Section 1 is added to Article XIX B of the California Constitution, to read:

SECTION 1. The Legislature shall not borrow revenues from the Transportation Investment Fund, or its successor, and shall not use these revenues for purposes, or in ways, other than those specifically permitted by this article.

Section 7.1. Section 1 of Article XIX B of the California Constitution is amended and renumbered to read:

SECTION 1. SEC. 2. (a) For the 2003–04 fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter, all moneys revenues that are collected during the fiscal year from taxes under the Sales and Use Tax Law (Part 1 (commencing with Section 6001) of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code), or any successor to that law, upon the sale, storage, use, or other consumption in this State of motor vehicle fuel, as defined for purposes of the Motor Vehicle Fuel License Tax Law (Part 2 (commencing with Section 7301) of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code), and that are deposited in the General Fund of the State pursuant to that law, shall be transferred to the Transportation Investment Fund or its successor, which is hereby created in the State Treasury and which is hereby declared to be a trust fund. The Legislature may not change the status of the Transportation Investment Fund as a trust fund.

(b) (1) For the 2003–04 to 2007–08 fiscal years, inclusive, moneys in the Transportation Investment Fund shall be allocated, upon appropriation by the Legislature, in accordance with Section 7104 of the Revenue and Taxation Code as that section read on March 6, 2002.

(2) For the 2008–09 fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter, moneys in the Transportation Investment Fund shall be allocated solely for the following purposes:

(A) Public transit and mass transportation. Moneys appropriated for public transit and mass transportation shall be allocated as follows: (i) Twenty-five percent pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 99312 of the Public Utilities Code, as that section read on July 30, 2009; (ii) Twenty-five percent pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 99312 of the Public Utilities Code, as that section read on July 30, 2009; and (iii) Fifty percent for the purposes of subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 99315 of the Public Utilities Code, as that section read on July 30, 2009.

(B) Transportation capital improvement projects, subject to the laws governing the State Transportation Improvement Program, or any successor to that program.

(C) Street and highway maintenance, rehabilitation, reconstruction, or storm damage repair conducted by cities, including a city and county.

(D) Street and highway maintenance, rehabilitation, reconstruction, or storm damage repair conducted by counties, including a city and county.

(c) For the 2008–09 fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter, moneys in the Transportation Investment Fund are hereby continuously appropriated to the Controller without regard to fiscal years, which shall be allocated, upon appropriation by the Legislature, as follows:

(A) Twenty percent of the moneys for the purposes set forth in subparagraph (A) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b).

(B) Forty percent of the moneys for the purposes set forth in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b).

(C) Twenty percent of the moneys for the purposes set forth in subparagraph (C) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b).

(D) Twenty percent of the moneys for the purposes set forth in subparagraph (D) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b).

(d) (1) Except as otherwise provided by paragraph (2), the transfer of revenues from the General Fund of the State to the Transportation Investment Fund pursuant to subdivision (a) may be suspended, in whole or in part, for a fiscal year if all of the following conditions are met:

(A) The Governor issues a proclamation that declares that, due to a severe state fiscal hardship, the suspension of the transfer of revenues required by subdivision (a) is necessary.

(B) The Legislature enacts by statute, pursuant to a bill passed in each house of the Legislature by rollcall vote entered in the journal, two-thirds of the membership concurring, a suspension for that fiscal year of the transfer of revenues required by subdivision (a) and the bill does not contain any other unrelated provision:

(C) No later than the effective date of the statute described in subparagraph (B), a separate statute is enacted that provides for the full repayment to the Transportation Investment Fund of the total amount of revenue that was not transferred to that fund as a result of the suspension, including interest as provided by law. This full repayment shall be made not later than the end of the third fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year to which the suspension applies:

(2) (A) The transfer required by subdivision (a) shall not be suspended for more than two fiscal years during any period of 10 consecutive fiscal years, which period begins with the first fiscal year commencing on or after July 1, 2007, for which the transfer required by subdivision (a) is suspended;

(B) The transfer required by subdivision (a) shall not be suspended during any fiscal year if a full repayment required by a statute enacted in accordance with subparagraph (C) of paragraph (1) has not yet been completed;

(e) (d) The Legislature may not enact a statute that modifies the percentage shares set forth in subdivision (c) by a bill passed in each house of the Legislature by rollcall vote entered in the journal, two-thirds of the membership concurring, provided that the bill does not contain any other unrelated provision and that the moneys described in subdivision (a) are expended solely for the purposes set forth in paragraph (2) of subdivision (b), until all of the following have occurred:

(1) The California Transportation Commission has held no less than four public hearings in different parts of the State to receive public input about the need for public transit, mass transportation, transportation capital improvement projects, and street and highway maintenance;

(2) The California Transportation Commission has published a report describing the input received at the public hearings and how the modification to the statutory allocation is consistent with the orderly achievement of local, regional and statewide goals for public transit, mass transportation, transportation capital improvements, and street and highway maintenance in a manner that is consistent with local general plans, regional transportation plans, and the California Transportation Plan;

(3) Ninety days have passed since the publication of the report by the California Transportation Commission;

(4) The statute enacted by the Legislature pursuant to this subdivision must be by a bill passed in each house of the Legislature by rollcall vote entered in the journal, two-thirds of the membership concurring, provided that the bill does not contain any other unrelated provision and that the revenues described in subdivision (a) are expended solely for the purposes set forth in paragraph (2) of subdivision (b).

(5) (c) (1) An amount equivalent to the total amount of
revenues that were not transferred from the General Fund of the State to the Transportation Investment Fund, as of July 1, 2007, because of a suspension of transfer of revenues pursuant to this section as it read on January 1, 2006, but excluding the amount to be paid to the Transportation Deferred Investment Fund pursuant to Section 63048.65 of the Government Code, shall be transferred from the General Fund to the Transportation Investment Fund no later than June 30, 2016. Until this total amount has been transferred, the amount of transfer payments to be made in each fiscal year shall not be less than one-tenth of the total amount required to be transferred by June 30, 2016. The transferred revenues shall be allocated solely for the purposes set forth in this section as if they had been received in the absence of a suspension of transfer of revenues.

(2) The Legislature may provide by statute for the issuance of bonds by the state or local agencies, as applicable, that are secured by the minimum transfer payments required by paragraph (1). Proceeds from the sale of those bonds shall be allocated solely for the purposes set forth in this section as if they were revenues subject to allocation pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (b).

(f) This section constitutes the sole method of allocating, distributing, and using the revenues described in subdivision (a). The purposes described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) are the sole purposes for which the revenues described in subdivision (a) may be used. The Legislature may not enact a statute or take any other action which, permanently or temporarily, does any of the following:

(1) Transfers, diverts, or appropriates the revenues described in subdivision (a) for any other purposes than those described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (b);

(2) Authorizes the expenditures of the revenues described in subdivision (a) for any other purposes than those described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) or;

(3) Borrows or loans the revenues described in subdivision (a), regardless of whether these revenues remain in the Transportation Investment Fund or are transferred to another fund or account such as the Public Transportation Account, a trust fund in the State Transportation Fund.

(g) For purposes of this article, “mass transportation,” “public transit” and “mass transit” have the same meanings as “public transportation.” “Public transportation” means:

(A) Surface transportation service provided to the general public, complementary paratransit service provided to persons with disabilities as required by 42 U.S.C. 12143, or similar transportation provided to people with disabilities or the elderly;

(B) operated by bus, rail, ferry, or other conveyance on a fixed route, demand response, or otherwise regularly available basis;

(C) generally for which a fare is charged; and

(D) provided by any transit district, included transit district, municipal operator, included municipal operator, eligible municipal operator, or transit development board, as those terms were defined in Article I of Chapter 4 of Part 11 of Division 10 of the Public Utilities Code on January 1, 2009, a joint powers authority formed to provide mass transportation services, an agency described in subdivision (f) of Section 15975 of the Government Code, as that section read on January 1, 2009, any recipient of funds under Sections 99260, 99260.7, 99275, or subdivision (c) of Section 99400 of the Public Utilities Code, as those sections read on January 1, 2009, or a consolidated agency as defined in Section 132333.1 of the Public Utilities Code, as that section read on January 1, 2009.

(2) Surface transportation service provided by the Department of Transportation pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 99315 of the Public Utilities Code, as that section read on July 30, 2009.

(3) Public transit capital improvement projects, including those identified in subdivision (b) of Section 99315 of the Public Utilities Code, as that section read on July 30, 2009.

(h) If the Legislature reduces or repeals the taxes described in subdivision (a) and adopts an alternative source of revenue to replace the moneys derived from those taxes, the replacement revenue shall be deposited into the Transportation Investment Fund, dedicated to the purposes listed in paragraph (2) of subdivision (b), and allocated pursuant to subdivision (c). All other provisions of this article shall apply to any revenues adopted by the Legislature to replace the moneys derived from the taxes described in subdivision (a).

Section 8. Article XIX C is added to the California Constitution, to read:

Article XIX C

SECTION 1. If any challenge to invalidate an action that violates Article XIX, XIX A, or XIX B is successful either by way of a final judgment, settlement, or resolution by administrative or legislative action, there is hereby continuously appropriated from the General Fund to the Controller, without regard to fiscal years, that amount of revenue necessary to restore the fund or account from which the revenues were unlawfully taken or diverted to its financial status had the unlawful action not been taken.

SEC. 2. If any challenge to invalidate an action that violates Section 24 or Section 25.5 of Article XIII is successful either by way of a final judgment, settlement, or resolution by administrative or legislative action, there is hereby continuously appropriated from the General Fund to the local government an amount of revenue equal to the amount of revenue unlawfully taken or diverted.

SEC. 3. Interest calculated at the Pooled Money Investment Fund rate from the date or dates the revenues were unlawfully taken or diverted shall accrue to the amounts required to be restored pursuant to this section. Within 30 days from the date a challenge is successful, the Controller shall make the transfer required by the continuous appropriation and issue a notice to the parties that the transfer has been completed.

SEC. 4. If in any challenge brought pursuant to this section a restraining order or preliminary injunction is issued, the plaintiffs or petitioners shall not be required to post a bond obligating the plaintiffs or petitioners to indemnify the government defendants or the State of California for any damage the restraining order or preliminary injunction may cause.

Section 9. Section 16 of Article XVI of the Constitution requires that a specified portion of the taxes levied upon the taxable property in a redevelopment project each year be allocated to the redevelopment agency to repay indebtedness incurred for the purpose of eliminating blight within the redevelopment project area. Section 16 of Article XVI prohibits the Legislature from reallocating some or that entire specified portion of the taxes to the State, an agency of the State, or any other taxing jurisdiction, instead of to the redevelopment agency. The Legislature has been illegally circumventing Section 16 of Article XVI in recent years by requiring redevelopment agencies to transfer a portion of those taxes for purposes other than the financing of redevelopment projects. A purpose of the amendments made by this measure is to prohibit the Legislature from requiring, after the taxes have been allocated to a redevelopment agency, the redevelopment agency to transfer some or all of those taxes to the State, an agency of the State, or a jurisdiction; or to use some or all of those taxes for the benefit of the State, an agency of the State, or a jurisdiction.
Section 10. Continuous Appropriations.

The provisions of Sections 6, 6.1, 7, 7.1, and 8 of this act that require a continuous appropriation to the Controller without regard to fiscal year are intended to be “appropriations made by law” within the meaning of Section 7 of Article XVI of the California Constitution.

Section 11. Liberal Construction.

The provisions of this act shall be liberally construed in order to effectuate its purposes.

Section 12. Conflicting Statutes.

Any statute passed by the Legislature between October 21, 2009 and the effective date of this measure, that would have been prohibited if this measure were in effect on the date it was enacted, is hereby repealed.

Section 13. Conflicting Ballot Measures.

In the event that this measure and another measure or measures relating to the direction or redirection of revenues dedicated to funding services provided by local governments or transportation projects or services, or both, appear on the same statewide election ballot, the provisions of the other measure or measures shall be deemed to be in conflict with this measure. In the event that this measure shall receive a greater number of affirmative votes, the provisions of this measure shall prevail in their entirety, and the provisions of the other measure or measures shall be null and void.

Section 14. Severability.

It is the intent of the People that the provisions of this act are severable and that if any provision of this act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any other provision or application of this act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application.

PROPOSITION 23

This initiative measure is submitted to the people in accordance with the provisions of Section 8 of Article II of the California Constitution.

This initiative measure adds a section to the Health and Safety Code; therefore, new provisions proposed to be added are printed in italic type to indicate that they are new.

PROPOSED LAW

California Jobs Initiative

SECTION 1. STATEMENT OF FINDINGS

(a) In 2006, the Legislature and Governor enacted a sweeping environmental law, AB 32. While protecting the environment is of utmost importance, we must balance such regulation with the ability to maintain jobs and protect our economy.

(b) At the time the bill was signed, the unemployment rate in California was 4.8 percent. California’s unemployment rate has since skyrocketed to more than 12 percent.

(c) Numerous economic studies predict that complying with AB 32 will cost Californians billions of dollars with massive increases in the price of gasoline, electricity, food and water, further punishing California consumers and households.

(d) California businesses cannot drive our economic recovery and create the jobs we need when faced with billions of dollars in new regulations and added costs; and

(e) California families being hit with job losses, pay cuts and furloughs cannot afford to pay the increased prices that will be passed onto them as a result of this legislation right now.

SEC. 2. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

The people desire to temporarily suspend the operation and implementation of AB 32 until the state’s unemployment rate returns to the levels that existed at the time of its adoption.

SEC. 3. Division 25.6 (commencing with Section 38600) is added to the Health and Safety Code, to read:

DIVISION 25.6. SUSPENSION OF AB 32

38600. (a) From and after the effective date of this division, Division 25.5 (commencing with Section 38500) of the Health and Safety Code is suspended until such time as the unemployment rate in California is 5.5 percent or less for four consecutive calendar quarters.

(b) While suspended, no state agency shall propose, promulgate, or adopt any regulation implementing Division 25.5 (commencing with Section 38500) and any regulation adopted prior to the effective date of this division shall be void and unenforceable until such time as the suspension is lifted.

PROPOSITION 24

This initiative measure is submitted to the people in accordance with the provisions of Section 8 of Article II of the California Constitution.

This initiative measure amends and repeals sections of the Revenue and Taxation Code; therefore, existing provisions proposed to be deleted are printed in strikeout type and new provisions proposed to be added are printed in italic type to indicate that they are new.

PROPOSED LAW

SECTION 1. Title

This act shall be known as the “Repeal Corporate Tax Loopholes Act.”

SEC. 2. Findings and Declarations

The people of the State of California find and declare that:

1. The State of California is in the midst of the worst financial crisis since the Great Depression. State revenues have plummeted, millions of Californians have lost their jobs, and hundreds of thousands of California homes have been lost in foreclosure sales. Projections suggest it could be many years before the state and its citizens recover.

2. To cope with the fiscal crisis, in 2008 and 2009 the Legislature and Governor raised taxes paid by the people of this state: the personal income tax, the state sales tax, and vehicle license fees. Yet at the same time they passed three special corporate tax breaks that give large corporations nearly $2 billion a year in state revenues.

3. No public hearings were held and no public notice was given before these corporate tax breaks were passed by the Legislature and signed into law by the Governor.

4. Corporations get these tax breaks without any requirements to create new jobs or to stop shipping current jobs overseas.

5. These loopholes benefit the biggest of corporations with gross incomes of over $1 billion. One study estimates that 80 percent of the benefits from the first loophole will go to just 0.1 percent of all California corporations. Similarly, estimates are that 87 percent of the benefits from one tax break will go to just 229 companies, each of which has gross income over $1 billion.

6. At the same time it created these corporate loopholes, the Legislature and Governor enacted $31 billion in cuts to the state budget—decimating funding for public schools and colleges, eliminating health care services to our neediest citizens, closing