

5-4-2015

ELIMINATION OF SPOUSAL SUPPORT. INITIATIVE STATUTE.

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.uchastings.edu/ca_ballot_inits

Recommended Citation

ELIMINATION OF SPOUSAL SUPPORT. INITIATIVE STATUTE. California Initiative 1671 (2015).
http://repository.uchastings.edu/ca_ballot_inits/1939

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the California Ballot Propositions and Initiatives at UC Hastings Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Initiatives by an authorized administrator of UC Hastings Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact marcusc@uchastings.edu.

February 24, 2015

CalAlimonyReform.org
ATTN: Steve Clark
7071 Warner Avenue, Suite F385,
Huntington Beach, CA 92647

RECEIVED
FEB 26 2015

INITIATIVE COORDINATOR
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

Office of the Attorney General
ATTN: Initiative Coordinator
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550

Dear Initiative Coordinator:

I am officially requesting that a circulating title and summary of the chief purpose and points of the proposed initiative measure be prepared.

I, Steve Clark, declare under penalty of perjury that I am a citizen of the United States, 18 years of age or older, and a resident of Orange county, California.

I, Steve Clark, acknowledge that it is a misdemeanor under state law (Section 18650 of the Elections Code) to knowingly or willfully allow the signatures on an initiative petition to be used for any purpose other than qualification of the proposed measure for the ballot. I certify that I will not knowingly or willfully allow the signatures for this initiative to be used for any purpose other than qualification of the measure for the ballot.



Steve Clark
Dated this 24th day of
February, 2015

12/30/14 10:49 AM
RN 14 24243 PAGE 1

INITIATIVE MEASURE TO BE SUBMITTED DIRECTLY TO THE VOTERS

12-point
Boldface
Type

The Attorney General of California has prepared the following circulating title and summary of the chief purpose and points of the proposed measure:

(Here set forth the unique numeric identifier provided by the Attorney General and circulating title and summary prepared by the Attorney General. Both the Attorney General's unique numeric identifier and the circulating title and summary must also be printed across the top of each page of the petition whereon signatures are to appear.)

TO THE HONORABLE SECRETARY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Type: Roman
Boldface not
smaller than
12-point

We, the undersigned, registered, qualified voters of California, residents of County, hereby propose amendments to the Family Code, relating to spousal support, and petition the Secretary of State to submit the same to the voters of California for their adoption or rejection at the next succeeding general election or at any special statewide election held prior to that general election or as otherwise provided by law.

The proposed statutory amendments read as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 2100 of the Family Code is amended to read:

2100. The Legislature finds and declares the following:

(a) It is the policy of the State of California (1) to marshal, preserve, and protect community and quasi-community assets and liabilities that exist at the date of separation so as to avoid dissipation of the community estate before distribution, (2) to ensure fair and sufficient child-~~and spousal~~ support awards, and (3) to achieve a division of community and quasi-community assets and liabilities on the dissolution or nullity of marriage or legal separation of the parties as provided under California law.

(b) Sound public policy further favors the reduction of the adversarial nature of marital dissolution and the attendant costs by fostering full disclosure and cooperative discovery.

(c) In order to promote this public policy, a full and accurate disclosure of all assets and liabilities in which one or both parties have or may have an interest must be made in the early stages of a proceeding for dissolution of marriage or legal separation of the parties, regardless of the characterization as community or separate, together with a disclosure of all income and expenses of the parties. Moreover, each party has a continuing duty to immediately, fully, and accurately update and augment that disclosure to the extent there have been any material changes so that at the time the parties enter into an agreement for the resolution of any of these issues, or at the time of trial on these issues, each party will have a full and complete knowledge of the relevant underlying facts.

SEC. 2. Section 2120 of the Family Code is amended to read:

2120. The Legislature finds and declares the following:

(a) The State of California has a strong policy of ensuring the division of community and quasi-community property in the dissolution of a marriage as set forth

in Division 7 (commencing with Section 2500), and of providing for fair and sufficient child-~~and spousal~~ support awards. These policy goals can only be implemented with full disclosure of community, quasi-community, and separate assets, liabilities, income, and expenses, as provided in Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 2100), and decisions freely and knowingly made.

(b) It occasionally happens that the division of property or the award of support, whether made as a result of agreement or trial, is inequitable when made due to the nondisclosure or other misconduct of one of the parties.

(c) The public policy of assuring finality of judgments must be balanced against the public interest in ensuring proper division of marital property, in ensuring sufficient support awards, and in deterring misconduct.

(d) The law governing the circumstances under which a judgment can be set aside, after the time for relief under Section 473 of the Code of Civil Procedure has passed, has been the subject of considerable confusion which has led to increased litigation and unpredictable and inconsistent decisions at the trial and appellate levels.

SEC. 3. Part 3 (commencing with Section 4300) of Division 9 of the Family Code is repealed.

SEC. 4. Part 3 (commencing with Section 4300) is added to Division 9 of the Family Code, to read:

PART 3. SPOUSAL SUPPORT

4300. The State of California has a strong policy of ensuring equality between parties to a marital dissolution, nullity of marriage, or legal separation. No party shall be unfairly burdened because the other party is unwilling to become self-supporting.

4305. There is no enforceable right to spousal support during marriage, upon dissolution or nullity of marriage, or upon separation of the parties.

4310. The enactment of this part shall be deemed a material change in circumstances warranting modification of existing spousal support orders. An award of spousal support with a duration of 10 years or more shall be reduced over the course of a five year period at the rate of 20 percent of the original amount per year. An award that is less than 10 years in duration shall be terminated immediately, unless the court finds that there is sufficient justification to continue the support award for no more than one year.

4315. This part does not apply to spousal support arrearages that have accrued before the effective date of this part, and those amounts continue to be owed to the supported party.

4320. The provisions of this part are severable. If any provision of this part or its application is held invalid, that invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application.

SEC. 5. Any law that is inconsistent with this initiative measure is void.

The Attorney General of California has prepared the following title and summary of the chief purpose and points of the proposed measure:

ELIMINATION OF SPOUSAL SUPPORT. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Eliminates a court's ability to award and enforce spousal support during marriage, upon legal separation, or upon divorce or annulment of marriage. Terminates existing spousal support awards if the award is for less than ten years, unless a court grants an extension of up to one year. Reduces to zero, at the rate of 20 percent per year over a five-year period, existing spousal support awards that are greater than ten years in length. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: **Increased state court costs not likely to exceed the low tens of millions of dollars annually over the next few years related to petitions to terminate existing spousal support orders. Unknown net effect on state court costs related to future divorce and legal separation proceedings.** (15-0007.)