University of California, Hastings College of the Law UC Hastings Scholarship Repository

Initiatives

California Ballot Propositions and Initiatives

10-20-2017

Changes the Rules of Professional Conduct for Attorneys. Initiative Statute.

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.uchastings.edu/ca ballot inits

Recommended Citation

Changes the Rules of Professional Conduct for Attorneys. Initiative Statute. California Initiative 1812 (2017). $https://repository.uchastings.edu/ca_ballot_inits/2089$

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the California Ballot Propositions and Initiatives at UC Hastings Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Initiatives by an authorized administrator of UC Hastings Scholarship Repository.

August 29, 2017

Office of the Attorney General ATTN: Ashley Johansson, Initiative Coordinator P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 By Priority Mail **RECEIVED**

AUG 3 1 2017

INITIATIVE COORDINATOR ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

Re: Amended Initiative 17-0016

Dear Ms. Johansson:

I request that the Circulating Title and Summary be prepared using the accompanying Amended Initiative 17-0016. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michael H. Vartanian

Millel Vantam

August 9, 2017

RECEIVED

AUG 1 6 2017

Office of the Attorney General ATTN: Ashley Johansson, Initiative Coordinator P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550

INITIATIVE COORDINATOR ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

Re:

Request for Circulating Title and Summary for Proposed Initiative Amendment to Business & Professions Code § 6076

Dear Ms. Johansson:

Pursuant to Elections Code § 9002 (b), I request that a circulating title and summary of the accompanying proposed initiative amendment to BPC § 6076 be prepared.

Public contact information (Elections Code § 9002 (b)): All inquiries or correspondence about this initiative should be directed to: Michael H. Vartanian, 93 Ridgeview Avenue, San Jose, CA 95127; Tel. (408) 748-4038; michael.h.vartanian@gmail.com.

Thank you for your services.

Michel Yastaren

Sincerely,

Michael H. Vartanian

Encl. Check \$2000

Certification (Elections Code 9001 (b)) Statement (Elections Code 9608)

AMENDED INITIATIVE 17-0016 "ADOPTION OF ABA MODEL RULES"

Section 6076 of the Business & Professions Code is amended to read:

With the approval of the Supreme Court, the rules of professional conduct for all members of the State Bar shall be the Model Rules of Professional Conduct of the American Bar Association which the Board of Trustees may enforce. The Board may formulate and enforce variations to these Rules subject to the provisions of this Chapter.

The Attorney General of California has prepared the following title and summary of the chief purpose and points of the proposed measure:

CHANGES THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT FOR ATTORNEYS.

INITIATIVE STATUTE. Replaces the current Rules of Professional Conduct for California attorneys with the American Bar Association's Model Rules of Professional Conduct, subject to approval by the California Supreme Court. Allows the State Bar of California Board of Trustees to continue to enforce rules of professional conduct and to formulate and enforce variations of the rules. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: Minor effect on state costs related to the consideration and adoption of attorney conduct rules. Any increase in costs would likely be offset by fees currently paid by State Bar members. (17-0016.)



AP17:083

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE October 20, 2017 CONTACT: SOS Press Office (916) 653-6575

Proposed Initiative Enters Circulation

Changes the Rules of Professional Conduct for Attorneys. Initiative Statute.

SACRAMENTO – Secretary of State Alex Padilla announced the proponent of a new initiative was cleared to begin collecting petition signatures today.

The Attorney General prepares the legal title and summary that is required to appear on initiative petitions. When the official language is complete, the Attorney General forwards it to the proponent and to the Secretary of State, and the initiative may be circulated for signatures. The Secretary of State then provides calendar deadlines to the proponent and to county elections officials. The Attorney General's official title and summary for the measure is as follows:

CHANGES THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT FOR ATTORNEYS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Replaces the current Rules of Professional Conduct for California attorneys with the American Bar Association's Model Rules of Professional Conduct, subject to approval by the California Supreme Court. Allows the State Bar of California Board of Trustees to continue to enforce rules of professional conduct and to formulate and enforce variations of the rules. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: Minor effect on state costs related to the consideration and adoption of attorney conduct rules. Any increase in costs would likely be offset by fees currently paid by State Bar members. (17-0016.)

The Secretary of State's tracking number for this measure is 1812 and the Attorney General's tracking number is 17-0016.

The proponent of the measure, Michael Vartanian, must collect the signatures of 365,880 registered voters (five percent of the total votes cast for Governor in the November 2014 general election) in order to qualify it for the ballot. The proponent has 180 days to circulate petitions for the measure, meaning the signatures must be submitted to county elections officials no later than April 18, 2018. The proponent can be reached (408) 748-4038 or michael.h.vartanian@gmail.com.

Follow the California Secretary of State on **Twitter** and **Facebook**.



October 5, 2017

RECEIVED

OCT 05 2017

Hon. Xavier Becerra Attorney General 1300 I Street, 17th Floor Sacramento, California 95814

INITIATIVE COORDINATOR ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

Attention:

Ms. Ashley Johansson Initiative Coordinator

Dear Attorney General Becerra:

Pursuant to Elections Code 9005, we have reviewed the proposed statutory initiative regarding rules of professional conduct for attorneys (A.G. File No. 17-0016, Amendment No. 1).

Background

State Bar of California. The State Bar of California, governed by a 19-member board of trustees, is established in the California Constitution as a semi-autonomous entity within the judicial branch. The California Constitution requires attorneys to be members of the State Bar to practice law in the state. As of September 2017, the State Bar reported having 263,165 members—of which 189,703 (or 72 percent) are active members able to practice law.

The State Bar's primary functions include admitting individuals to practice law in California (such as by administering the State Bar exam) and regulating the professional conduct of attorneys—such as by adopting rules of professional conduct and maintaining a discipline system. Such activities are generally supported by various fees, including annual State Bar membership dues authorized by the Legislature or the California Supreme Court, as well as proceeds from legal settlements. In 2016, the State Bar reported total expenses of \$148 million and total revenues of \$194 million.

Rules of Professional Conduct for California Attorneys. California—similar to other states—has professional and ethical requirements for attorneys practicing law in the state. For example, attorneys are required to keep certain information provided by clients confidential. These requirements are outlined in the California Rules of Professional Conduct, state law, and in rules approved by the California Supreme Court.

California's Rules of Professional Conduct must be approved by the California Supreme Court in order for them to be binding upon all attorneys. The Supreme Court considers proposed rule changes that are either (1) adopted by the State Bar Board of Trustees or (2) approved by a majority of active members of the State Bar. Requiring a vote of members of the State Bar for such changes is more costly than when rule changes are forwarded directly to the Supreme Court by the State Bar Board of Trustees.

American Bar Association (ABA) Model Rules of Professional Conduct. The ABA, a national voluntary professional organization for attorneys, has promulgated a series of ethical and professional responsibility guidelines known as the Model Rules of Professional Conduct. For example, the rules provide guidance on when attorneys can decline to represent an individual. While most states have adopted rules similar to these ABA rules, California has not. While California's Rules of Professional Conduct include some provisions that are similar to the ABA rules, some provisions differ from the ABA rules. For example, the California rules contain more detailed requirements related to keeping certain information provided by clients confidential and do not include specific rules related to responsibilities towards clients with diminished capacity to make decisions. The California Supreme Court is currently considering whether to approve a State Bar Board of Trustees proposal that would more closely align the California Rules of Professional Conduct to the ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct.

Proposal

Hon. Xavier Becerra

This measure requires that the ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct be the rules for all California State Bar members, if approved by the California Supreme Court. It also states that the State Bar Board of Trustees is authorized to formulate and to enforce variations to the ABA rules in accordance with state law.

Fiscal Effects

Under current law, the California Supreme Court is authorized to consider and adopt proposed changes to the California Rules of Professional Conduct, including adoption of the ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct. For example, as discussed earlier, the Supreme Court is currently considering adopting rules that are similar to the ABA rules. Since the measure does not require that the Supreme Court adopt the ABA rules, the measure's provisions related to the adoption of ABA rules would likely not have any fiscal effect.

Based on the way that the measure is written, it appears that the State Bar Board of Trustees would no longer be able to submit rules directly to the Supreme Court for consideration. This is because the measure replaces a provision in existing state law that allows for this process. This could both change the number and cost of future rule changes. The net effect of these factors is unknown. However, any increase in cost would likely be minor and offset by fees currently paid by members of the State Bar.

Summary of Fiscal Effects. We estimate that this measure would have the following fiscal effect:

Minor effect on state costs related to the consideration and adoption of attorney conduct rules.
Any increase in costs would likely be offset by fees currently paid by State Bar members.

Sincerely,

Mac Taylor

Legislative Analyst

Michael Cohen

Director of Finance