

6-22-2018

REQUIRES USE OF STATE AND LOCAL
LAW-ENFORCEMENT RESOURCES FOR
FEDERAL IMMIGRATION PURPOSES.
RESTRICTS VOTER REGISTRATION
PROCESS. INITIATIVE STATUTE.

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.uchastings.edu/ca_ballot_inits

Recommended Citation

REQUIRES USE OF STATE AND LOCAL LAW-ENFORCEMENT RESOURCES FOR FEDERAL IMMIGRATION PURPOSES. RESTRICTS VOTER REGISTRATION PROCESS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. California Initiative 1853 (2018). https://repository.uchastings.edu/ca_ballot_inits/2130

This Initiative is brought to you for free and open access by the California Ballot Propositions and Initiatives at UC Hastings Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Initiatives by an authorized administrator of UC Hastings Scholarship Repository.

The Attorney General of California has prepared the following title and summary of the chief purpose and points of the proposed measure:

**REQUIRES USE OF STATE AND LOCAL LAW-ENFORCEMENT RESOURCES FOR
FEDERAL IMMIGRATION PURPOSES. RESTRICTS VOTER REGISTRATION**

PROCESS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires state and local law enforcement to attempt to verify the immigration status of arrestees suspected of being in United States without documentation and notify federal and state agencies when arrestees appear to be undocumented. Prohibits local governments and law-enforcement agencies from preventing or limiting cooperation with federal immigration authorities. Sets criminal penalties and minimum \$10,000 fines for noncompliance. Prohibits automatic voter registration; requires an affidavit for voter eligibility. Prohibits issuing driver's license or identification card without verifying citizenship or immigration status. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: **Net increase in state and local law enforcement costs, potentially reaching the low tens of millions of dollars annually, related to cooperation with federal immigration enforcement. One-time and ongoing costs—each potentially reaching several million dollars annually—to state and local governments for the verification and retention of proof of citizenship prior to individuals voting in their first election.** (18-0002.)

May 21, 2018

18-0002 Amdt. # |

Anabel Renteria
Initiative Coordinator
Office of the Attorney General
State of California
1300 I Street,
PO Box 994255
Sacramento, California 94244-2550

RECEIVED

MAY 23 2018

INITIATIVE COORDINATOR
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

RE: Amendments to Proposed Initiative and Request for Preparation of Circulating Title and Summary (Pursuant to Election Code Section 9002)

Dear Initiative Coordinator:

Pursuant to Article II, Section 10(d) of the California Constitution, and Elections Code Section 9002, we submit the enclosed **amended** statewide ballot measure ("Children, Family, and Community Protection Act") to your office and request that you prepare a circulating title and summary of the amended measure as provided by law. Enclosed are the required statements signed by the proponent pursuant to California Elections Code sections 9001 and 960. A check in the amount of \$2,000 was previously tendered to your office on April 18, 2018. The Proponent's voter registration address was also previously submitted with the original request, dated April 18, 2018.

Amendments in the Initiative language are reflected by strike-outs for any deleted language, and any new or added language is reflected by underscores.

Please direct inquiries from the media and the public to:

Ravi Mehta
Law offices of Ravi Mehta
1215 K. Street, 17th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814
Rmehta@capitol-advocates.com

I, Donald Rosenberg, declare under penalty of perjury that I am a citizen of the United States, 18 years of age or older, and a resident of Los Angeles County, California.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

SUBMITTED BY:


DONALD ROSENBERG

AMENDED INITIATIVE LANGUAGE

2018 - California

This initiative measure is submitted to the people in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Section 8 of the Constitution.

This initiative measure adds and deletes sections to various codes; therefore, new provisions proposed to be added are printed in {+ italic type +} to indicate that they are new, and provisions proposed to be deleted are printed in {- italic type -} to indicate that they are being deleted.

PROPOSED LAW

SECTION 1. Findings and Declaration.

The People of California find and declare as follows:

That they have suffered and are suffering personal injury and damage caused by the criminal conduct of illegal/undocumented aliens in this state.

That they have suffered and are suffering economic hardship caused by the presence of illegal/undocumented aliens in this state.

That they have suffered and are suffering election fraud by permitting non-citizens to register to vote and permitting them to vote in elections.

That they have a right to the protection of their government from any person or persons entering this country unlawfully.

Therefore, the People of California declare their intention to provide for cooperation between their agencies of state and local government with the federal government, and to establish a system of required notification by and between such agencies to prevent illegal/undocumented aliens in the United States from receiving benefits or public services in the State of California, and to prevent illegal aliens from obtaining drivers licenses, registering to vote, and/or from voting in elections.

SECTION 2. Law Enforcement Cooperation with ICE and other federal agencies.

{- SEC. 3, Chapter 17.25 (commencing with Section 7284 through 7284.12) is deleted in its entirety from Division 7 of Title 1 of the Government Code. -}

Section 834b is added to the Penal Code, to read:

{+ 834b. (a) Every law enforcement agency in California shall fully cooperate with United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) and other federal agencies regarding any person who is arrested if he or she is suspected of being present in the United States in violation of federal immigration laws. +}

{+ (b) With respect to any such person who is arrested, and suspected of being present in the United States in violation of federal immigration laws, every law enforcement agency shall do the following: +}

{+ (1) Attempt to verify the legal status of such person as a citizen of the United States, an alien lawfully admitted as a permanent resident, an alien lawfully admitted for a temporary period of time or as an alien who is present in the United States in violation of immigration laws. The verification process may include, but shall not be limited to, questioning the person regarding his or her date and place of birth, and entry into the United States, and demanding documentation to indicate his or her legal status. However, final determination of the person's status as an illegal alien shall not be made without the use of any available federally authorized database used for verification of an individual's citizenship or immigration status. +}

{+ (2) Notify the Attorney General of California, United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement, and any other public entity, including the Department of Motor Vehicles, Secretary of State and County Registrar of Voters of the illegal alien's current county of residency, of the apparent illegal status and provide any additional information that may be requested by any other public entity. +}

{+ (c) Any legislative, administrative, or other action by a city, county, or other legally authorized local governmental entity with jurisdictional boundaries, or by a law enforcement agency, to prevent or limit the cooperation required by subdivision (a) is expressly prohibited. +}

{+ (d) Any governmental entity, governmental agency, government official or employee, or any individual who fails to abide by the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a crime punishable either as a misdemeanor or felony, and also be subject to a fine of not less than \$10,000 for each offense. +}

SECTION 3. Repeal of Government Code Sections 7285.1, 7285.2, 7285.3, and Repeal of Labor Code Sections 90.2 and 1019.2.

All Americans face serious threats from terrorist organizations, as well as personal injury and damage caused by the criminal conduct of illegal aliens in this state. Interior

enforcement of Immigration laws is necessary to pick up where border security leaves off.

The United States Supreme Court has repeatedly held that state laws and policies are preempted when they conflict with federal law, as well as when they stand as an obstacle to the accomplishment and execution of the full purposes and objectives of Congress. Congress has set priorities through the Immigration and Naturalization Act (INA) to determine who may enter and remain in the United States. Sanctuary laws, ordinances, and policies shield aliens from the administration of federal law, thereby frustrating the execution of immigration law as Congress intended.

Sanctuary and other non-cooperation policies are harmful as they exacerbate national security threats, encourage illegal immigration, waste law enforcement and other taxpayer funded resources, and most importantly, put the public at risk by allowing known criminal aliens to be released back onto the streets. Therefore:

{- Government Code Sections 7285.1, 7285.2, and 7285.3 are repealed in their entirety. -
}

{- Labor Code Sections 90.2 and 1019.2 are repealed in their entirety. -}

SECTION 4. Exclusion of Illegal Aliens from obtaining or renewing drivers' licenses or California Identification cards.

In order to carry out the intention of the People of California that only citizens of the United States and aliens lawfully admitted to and lawfully present in the United States may obtain a drivers license, California Identification card, or any other government identification, and in order to carry out the intention of the People of California and to ensure the integrity of elections held herein, that only citizens of the United States may register and vote in elections the provisions of this section are adopted. +}

Vehicle Code Sections are either repealed, amended or added as follows:

{- Vehicle Code Section 12801 (c)(1), (c)(2), and (c)(3), and ~~(c)(4)~~, and any and all references thereto, is repealed in their entirety. -}

Vehicle Code Section 12801(d) shall be amended to read as follows:

{+ (d) The department shall not complete an application for a driver's license unless the applicant is in compliance with the requirements of subdivision (a) or (b). +}

{+ (1) A person shall not be eligible for nor receive or renew a drivers license or California Identification card or any other form of government identification until the legal status of that person has been verified using one of the following: +}

{+ (a) Information obtained through any available and federally authorized database +}

{+ (b) Original or duplicate original birth certificate +}

{+ (c) Valid United States Passport +}

{+ (d) Any other valid document issued by the United States evidencing legal immigration status +}

{- Vehicle Code Section 12801.9, and any and all references thereto, is repealed in their entirety. -}

{- Vehicle Code Section 12801.11, and any and all references thereto, is repealed in their entirety. -}

SECTION 5. Prohibition of automatic voter registration and proof of United States citizenship

Section 2001 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

{+ 2001. (a) In order to carry out the intention of the People of California and to ensure the integrity of elections held herein, that only Citizens of the United States may register to vote, and that only Citizens of the United States vote in elections, the provisions of this section are adopted. +}

{+ (b) No person shall be automatically registered to vote by any government entity, organization, political party, or individual. Registration to vote shall only occur by completing an affidavit, signed by the registrant under penalty of perjury, affirming that he/she is a United States citizen.

{+ (c) At the time of registering to vote, the registrant must select a party affiliation, or register as "Decline to state". +}

{+ (d) Pursuant to federal law, before the registrant is permitted to vote in their first election, he/she must provide proof of United States citizenship to establish eligibility. A copy of such proof must be retained by the elections official for a minimum four years for verification if the authenticity of such proof of Citizenship becomes an issue or is otherwise challenged. Proof of United States citizenship may be established in the following ways: +}

{+ (1) Information obtained through any available and federally authorized database +}

{+ (2) Original or duplicate original birth certificate +}

{+ (3) Valid United States Passport +}

{+ (4) Any other valid document issued by the United States evidencing legal immigration status +}

SECTION 6. Amendment, Federal Preemption, and Severability.

The statutory provisions contained in this measure may not be amended by the Legislature except to further its purposes by statute passed in each house by roll call vote entered in the journal, two-thirds of the membership concurring, or by a statute that becomes effective only when approved by two-thirds of the voters.

No language or provision contained herein is intended to preempt any federal laws. In the event any portion of this act is deemed to be in direct or implied conflict with federal laws, or in any way has the affect of preempting federal laws, federal law shall supersede any such language or provision and that language or provision shall be severable.

In the event that any portion of this act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, that invalidity shall not affect any other provision or application of the act, which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to that end the provisions of this act are severable.



June 7, 2017

Hon. Xavier Becerra
Attorney General
1300 I Street, 17th Floor
Sacramento, California 95814

Attention: Ms. Anabel Renteria
Initiative Coordinator

RECEIVED

JUN 07 2018

INITIATIVE COORDINATOR
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

Dear Attorney General Becerra:

Pursuant to Elections Code Section 9005, we have reviewed the proposed statutory initiative related to immigration (A.G. File No. 18-0002, Amendment No. 1).

OVERVIEW

This measure has four major provisions. It:

- Requires state and local law enforcement to cooperate with federal immigration officials.
- Eliminates existing state requirements that limit the ability of employers to cooperate with federal immigration officials.
- Requires proof of legal status for the issuance of driver's licenses and identification (ID) cards.
- Requires California voters provide proof of citizenship before voting.

Below, we discuss in greater detail each of these major provisions and then describe the overall fiscal effects of the measure.

LAW ENFORCEMENT COOPERATION WITH FEDERAL IMMIGRATION OFFICIALS

Background

Federal Immigration Laws. Federal law (1) specifies the conditions under which individuals born in other countries may be admitted to the U.S., (2) establishes a registration system to monitor their entry and movement, (3) specifies the process by which such individuals may legally remain, and (4) authorizes the arrest and detention of individuals who are illegally present in the U.S. (often referred to as undocumented individuals).

Legislative Analyst's Office
California Legislature
Mac Taylor • Legislative Analyst
925 L Street, Suite 1000 • Sacramento CA 95814
(916) 445-4656 • FAX 324-4281

Federal Government Responsible for Enforcing Immigrations Laws. The federal government is responsible for enforcing federal immigration laws. One of the primary federal agencies responsible for such enforcement is the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) agency. For example, ICE has the authority to issue an immigration detainer request for state and local law enforcement agencies to hold an individual beyond his or her scheduled release date (generally up to 48 hours) to facilitate transfer to the federal government. ICE can also request: to be notified of an individual's release date from jail or prison or that an individual in jail or prison be transferred to federal custody.

Delegation of Federal Immigration Enforcement to State and Local Law Enforcement. Federal law authorizes ICE to delegate some authority to enforce federal immigration laws to state and local law enforcement agencies (including state prisons and county jails) through formal agreements. These agreements define the extent of a participating agency's enforcement duties and activities, such as serving arrest warrants for immigration violations and initiating immigration detainers.

State Law Limits Cooperation With Federal Immigration Enforcement. Existing state law includes various immigration-related requirements on state and local governments. Most recently, in 2017 the Legislature enacted Chapter 495 (SB 54, de León) to limit the ability of law enforcement to cooperate with federal immigration authorities. (Most of these limitations do not apply to state prisons.) For example, state and local law enforcement agencies can only comply with transfer and notification requests from ICE under certain circumstances, such as if the individual in question has been convicted of a serious or violent felony. Moreover, law enforcement agencies are prohibited from using their resources to inquire into an individual's immigration status, comply with an immigration detainer request, and enter into formal immigration enforcement agreements with the federal government.

Senate Bill 54 also requires the California Department of Justice (DOJ) to develop guidelines and audit criteria to limit the use of law enforcement databases for immigration enforcement while still complying with both federal and state law. In cases in which law enforcement agencies cooperate with federal immigration officials, they are required to collect and report certain data to DOJ, such as the number of transfers made to federal immigration officials and the justification for the transfer.

Proposal

Requires Law Enforcement to Cooperate With Federal Immigration Officials. This measure prohibits law enforcement and local government agencies from having regulations or policies that prevent or limit cooperation with federal immigration enforcement. It also repeals nearly all of the requirements in SB 54. The measure states that every California law enforcement agency shall "fully cooperate" with ICE and other federal agencies regarding any person who is arrested and suspected of being in the U.S. illegally, including making attempts to verify the person's legal status. The measure requires that final determination of an individual's legal status be made through the use of a "federally authorized database used for verification of an individual's citizenship or immigration status." In addition, the measure requires law enforcement to notify various federal, state, and local public entities of the undocumented status

of arrested individuals, their current county of residence, and any other requested information. Any individual or agency that fails to comply with these requirements would be guilty of either a misdemeanor or felony and subject to a fine of at least \$10,000 for each violation.

EMPLOYER COOPERATION WITH FEDERAL IMMIGRATION OFFICIALS

Background

Federal Law Prohibits Businesses From Employing Individuals Not Legally Allowed to Work. Federal law prohibits employers from hiring individuals who are not legally allowed to work in the U.S., such as undocumented individuals. Federal law requires that employers verify the identity, employment eligibility, and immigration status of new hires with federal verification forms. Federal immigration officials can perform workplace inspections to check that the employer has authentic verification forms for each employee, as well as arrest employees who are not authorized to work and employers who knowingly employ such individuals.

Recent State Legislation Limits Employer Cooperation With Federal Immigration Officials. Chapter 492 of 2017 (AB 450, Chiu) prohibits employers from allowing federal immigration officials to conduct a workplace inspection without a warrant or subpoena issued by a judge. Employers must also notify employees of upcoming workplace inspections. Assembly Bill 450 also prohibits employers from re-checking the employment status of employees when not required to do so under federal law. Employers who violate these provisions are subject to civil penalties of between \$2,000 and \$10,000 per violation, depending on the violation. DOJ and the California Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) are responsible for enforcing the above requirements.

Proposal

Eliminates Existing Law That Limits Employer Cooperation With Federal Immigration Officials. This measure repeals the provisions of AB 450.

PROOF OF LEGAL STATUS FOR DRIVER'S LICENSES AND ID CARDS

Background

Undocumented Individuals Authorized to Obtain California Driver's License. The Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) issues driver's licenses or ID cards to California residents who submit satisfactory proof of being legally present in the U.S., as well as meet other requirements (such as passing certain tests for those seeking to drive). In order to demonstrate their legal status, applicants must present (1) a valid social security number, (2) evidence of California residency (such as a home utility bill), and (3) one of various official documents deemed acceptable in California to verify an applicant's identity and legal status (such as a birth certificate or a refugee travel document). For individuals who are unable to provide satisfactory proof of their legal status, existing state law allows them to obtain a driver's license if they meet all other application requirements (such as providing proof of identity and residency) and complete an interview with DMV. Such individuals, however, are not eligible for an ID card.

DMV annually issues about 7 million driver's licenses—including 120,000 driver's licenses to undocumented individuals—and 1.5 million ID cards. Application fees help offset DMV's administrative costs.

Proposal

Requires Proof of Legal Status for Driver's Licenses and ID Cards. The measure amends state law to change the types of documents that may be provided to verify the identity and legal status of an individual seeking a new or renewed driver's license or ID card. Specifically, the measure requires that individuals provide a valid U.S. passport, birth certificate, or other federal document demonstrating proof of being lawfully present in the United States. Accordingly, individuals could no longer use certain other documents to obtain a driver's license or ID card. The measure also authorizes DMV to verify an applicant's legal status obtained through any available federally authorized database. In addition, the measure prohibits the state from issuing or renewing driver's licenses to undocumented individuals.

PROOF OF CITIZENSHIP REQUIREMENTS FOR VOTING

Background

Federal and State Law Dictate Who Is Eligible to Vote. The U.S. Constitution guarantees U.S. citizens the right to vote, while federal and state laws provide guidelines for voter registration and the administration of elections. When registering to vote in California, individuals must attest—under penalty of perjury—that they are (1) a U.S. citizen, (2) at least 18 years old, (3) residing in California, (4) not currently in prison or on parole, and (5) not currently found mentally incompetent to vote by a court. Individuals are also asked to provide their driver's license number or the last four digits of their social security number. If new voters did not provide this information when they registered to vote, they might be required to show a form of identification or proof of residency the first time they vote. Individuals can register to vote online through the Secretary of State's website, through the local county elections office, or at the DMV. As of April 2018, the Secretary of State reports that about 19 million individuals are registered to vote in California. Approximately 2 million individuals register to vote annually.

Counties Primarily Responsible for Administering Elections. Federal and state law impose various requirements on the way elections are administered. The Secretary of State is the state's chief elections officer and oversees all federal and state elections in the state. However, county governments are primarily responsible for the administration of most local, state, and federal elections. Counties generally pay for the costs of administering elections.

Proposal

Requires Voters Prove Citizenship Before Voting. The measure requires that an individual provide proof of U.S. citizenship before he or she is permitted to vote in his or her first election. Proof can be provided through a valid federal document demonstrating citizenship (such as a valid U.S. passport or birth certificate) or through information obtained through any available federally authorized database. The measure requires that elections officials retain a copy of the

proof provided for at least four years. Finally, the measure also specifies that “no person shall be automatically registered to vote.”

FISCAL IMPACT

Potential Net Increased Costs for Law Enforcement This measure could both reduce and increase costs to state and local law enforcement. On the one hand, this measure could reduce workload and costs related to SB 54. For example, DOJ and local law enforcement would no longer be required to collect and report immigration-related data. On the other hand, this measure would likely increase enforcement workload and costs due to the measure’s requirements. For example, workload would likely increase related to the verification of the immigration status of arrestees, “full cooperation” with federal immigration officials regarding arrestees, and individuals staying longer in jail or prison than they otherwise would have. On net, we estimate that the measure would likely increase state and local law enforcement costs potentially reaching the low tens of millions of dollars annually.

We note, however, that the actual magnitude would depend primarily on (1) how this measure is interpreted by courts and implemented by the state and local governments and (2) how the federal government and undocumented individuals respond to the measure’s provisions. For example, it is unclear what specific activities (such as complying with immigration detention requests) law enforcement would be legally required to undertake in order to fully cooperate with federal immigration officials. Similarly, the extent to which law enforcement agencies would enter into agreements with the federal government to assist with federal immigration enforcement is uncertain.

Increased State and Local Elections Costs. This measure would increase costs to state and local elections officials related to the verification of citizenship and the retention of proofs of citizenship for at least four years. Because counties are primarily responsible for administering elections, local governments would generally incur most of the increased costs. Some of these costs, such as for equipment, would be one-time. Other costs, such as maintaining ongoing physical or digital storage to store and protect proof of citizenship, would be ongoing. In total, we estimate that one-time and ongoing costs could each reach several millions of dollars. The actual magnitude of these costs would depend primarily on how this measure is interpreted by courts and implemented.

Minor Impact Related to Employer Requirements and Driver’s Licenses. This measure would reduce workload and costs related to (1) DIR no longer enforcing state laws limiting employee cooperation with federal immigration officials and (2) DMV no longer processing driver’s licenses for undocumented individuals. Similarly, the amount of revenue generated from penalties or application fees related to this workload would be reduced. In total, we estimate that the net fiscal effect would be minor.

Other Fiscal Effects. This measure could result in various other fiscal effects. For example, to the extent that the measure results in more undocumented individuals being deported, state and local government tax revenues could decline. Additionally, undocumented residents (and potentially their documented family members) could choose to use fewer government services (such as Medi-Cal) to minimize interaction with government agencies. This would have the

effect of reducing state and local costs. The net impact of these and other potential fiscal effects on state and local government is unknown and would depend primarily on how undocumented individuals and various government entities respond to this measure.


Summary of Fiscal Effects. We estimate that this measure could have the following major fiscal effects on state and local governments:

- Net increase in state and local law enforcement costs, potentially reaching the low tens of millions of dollars annually, related to cooperation with federal immigration enforcement.
- One-time and ongoing costs—each potentially reaching several million dollars annually—to state and local governments for the verification and retention of proof of citizenship prior to individuals voting in their first election.

Sincerely,

for 

Mac Taylor
Legislative Analyst

for 

Michael Cohen
Director of Finance